Heat of warming of feed and water in goats

R S UPADHAYAYA¹ and U B CHAUDHARY²

Central Institute for Research on Goats, Makhdoom, Farah, Uttar Pradesh 281 122 India

Received: 4 October 2004; Accepted: 3 August 2005

ABSTRACT

Heat of warming (HW) was estimated in 18 adults barbari bucks fed on barley straw supplemented with barley grain and protein supplements. The HW values (kj/h/d) ranged from 188.69 to 211.20 in experiment 1 and 148.11 to 171.06 in experiment 2. The HW amounted to 2.9 to 4.16% of metabolizable energy intake in bucks. The HW values may be considered while assessing the energy requirements of bucks in cold season.

Key words: Feed, Goats, Heat of warming, Water

Heat of warming (HW) is the heat used by the animal to warm the feed and water it ingests to body temperature. This is usually a minor component of over all heat loss but not the one to be neglected as a steer spends about 2000 kcal to warm the feed ingested (Blaxter 1967). Sheep grazing high moisture pasture spend about 20% of daily heat production as HW (Nicol and Young 1981). Increased oxygen consumption was reported in calves drinking cool milk (Holmes 1971 b). The HW may also be significant for nutritional management of animals suffering from acute rumen impaction, milk fever and hypothyroidism which are characterized by subnormal body temperature (Blood et al. 1983). Upathyaya and Karnani (2003) reported HW in buffalo and cow calves as 3.2 and 2.13 MJ/h/d, respectively, which constituted about 7 to 8% of daily metabolizable energy intake. There is a lack of information on HW in goats. The estimation of HW and its effect on ME requirements in goats have been reported in this communication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The HW was estimated in Barbari bucks in two different experiments described as under.

Experiment 1: Adult Barbari bucks (9) were distributed in 3 equal groups following randomised block design. The bucks were housed in a well ventilated stall and offered clean and freshwater twice a day. The barley straw (BS) was offered @ 56 to 62 g/kg $W^{0.75}$ to all the animals. Coarsely ground barley grain was offered @ 12g/kg $W^{0.75}$, while linseed-cake was given @ 5.80, 8.86 and 12.01g DM/kg $W^{0.75}$ to the animals in the groups A, B and C respectively. The experiment was initiated in the first week of December and continued up to first week of January.

Experiment 2: Adult Barbari bucks (9) were distributed in 3 equal groups as in experiment 1. The feeding and housing management of animals was also similar, however cottonseed-cake was given in place of linseed-cake @ 6.36, 11.05 and 14.09 g DM/kgW⁰⁷⁵ in group A1, B1 and C1, respectively. This experiment was initiated in mid January and continued up to third week of February.

The preliminary feeding period consisted of 21 days in both the experiments followed by 6 days of metabolism trial during which animals were maintained in metabolic cages and intake of feed and water was recorded. The temperature of different feed stuffs and water was recorded by mercury thermometer as described by Upadhyaya and Karnani (2003). The rectal temperature of animals was recorded on the last day of the experiment using a clinical thermometer. The HW was calculated as per following equation:

Where HW = 1 (TR-TW)

I = Intake of feed and water in kg; TR, rectal temperature (°C); and TW, temperature of feed and water (°C).

The specific heat of feed was taken to be 1.0 (Blaxter *et al.* 1959). The ME was calculated as 1g TDN=3.56 kcal (Blaxter 1967) or 14.9 kj of ME. The statistical analysis of data was done according to Snedecor and Cocharan (1968).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The minimum and maximum climatic temperatures (°C) for December, January and February were 7.23 and 22.66; 7.32 and 18.11, 10.11 and 24.67 respectively. The corresponding values for relative humidity (%) were 72.75, 80.95 and 61.46. The intake of dry matter, water, ME and

Present address: ¹Principal Scientist (Animal Nutrition), ²Senior Scientist (Animal Nutrition), Division of Nutrition, Feed Resources and Products Technology.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Groups/ attributes	A	В	С	Stat difference	
Body weight (kg)	28.17±0.17	26.34±0.84	27.00±0.67	NS	
DM intake (g/kgw ⁶⁷⁵)	53.93±1.11	52.73±2.87	58.06±1.88	NS	
Water intake (ml/kgw ⁰⁷⁴)	105.50 ± 5.43	124.52±4.99	125.05±25.35	NS	
Metabolizable energy intake (ki/kgw ⁿ⁷⁵)	426.79±17.41	441.18±31.53	498.59±25.32	NS	
Heat of warming (ki/h/d)	188.69±9.34	210.85±28.94	211.20±59.83	NS	
(ki/kew ^{0.75})	16.45±0.61	18.19 ± 0.78	18.25±3.07	NS	
Heat of warming as per cent of ME intake	3.88±0.28	4.16±0.31	3.64±0.51	NS	

Table 1. Intake of dry matter, energy and water by goats and heat of warming (experiment 1)

Table 2. Intake of dry matter, energy and water by goats and heat of warming (experiment 2)

Al	BI	C1	Stat difference	<i>C</i> .D.
27.25±0.59	26.84±0.34	25.89±0.09	NS	-
47.33±1.39	53.15±4.48	55.58±3.07	NS	
89.97±7.03	110.32±7.36	111.26±6.86	NS	
419.95±27.64	466.36±12.10	507.52±26.23	NS	
148.11±16.25	161.62±15.80	171.06±16.33	NS	
12,12a±0.67	14.33b±0.41	14.92b±1.00	P<0.05	2.12
2,90±0.16	3.08±0.13	2.94±0.08	NS	
	A1 27.25 ± 0.59 47.33 ± 1.39 89.97 ± 7.03 419.95 ± 27.64 148.11 ± 16.25 $12.12a\pm0.67$ 2.90 ± 0.16	A1B1 27.25 ± 0.59 26.84 ± 0.34 47.33 ± 1.39 53.15 ± 4.48 89.97 ± 7.03 110.32 ± 7.36 419.95 ± 27.64 466.36 ± 12.10 148.11 ± 16.25 161.62 ± 15.80 12.12 ± 0.67 14.33 ± 0.41 2.90 ± 0.16 3.08 ± 0.13	A1B1C1 27.25 ± 0.59 26.84 ± 0.34 25.89 ± 0.09 47.33 ± 1.39 53.15 ± 4.48 55.58 ± 3.07 89.97 ± 7.03 110.32 ± 7.36 111.26 ± 6.86 419.95 ± 27.64 466.36 ± 12.10 507.52 ± 26.23 148.11 ± 16.25 161.62 ± 15.80 171.06 ± 16.33 12.12 ± 0.67 14.33 ± 0.41 14.92 ± 1.00 2.90 ± 0.16 3.08 ± 0.13 2.94 ± 0.08	A1B1C1Stat difference 27.25 ± 0.59 26.84 ± 0.34 25.89 ± 0.09 NS 47.33 ± 1.39 53.15 ± 4.48 55.58 ± 3.07 NS 89.97 ± 7.03 110.32 ± 7.36 111.26 ± 6.86 NS 419.95 ± 27.64 466.36 ± 12.10 507.52 ± 26.23 NS 148.11 ± 16.25 161.62 ± 15.80 171.06 ± 16.33 NS 12.12 ± 0.67 14.33 ± 0.41 14.92 ± 1.00 P<0.05

HW values in animals under experiment, 1 and 2 are presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The mean values of rectal temperature of animals in experiment 1 were 39.6±0.19, 39.57±0.07 and 39.6±0.19 in groups A, B and C respectively. The corresponding values of rectal temperature in experiments 2 were 39.7±0.09, 39.5±0.07 and 39.6±0.17 in groups A1, B1 and C1 respectively. The temperature of feed stuffs in experiment 1 and 2 were as follows: barley straw 17.8 and 20.5°C; barley grain 14.0 and 19°C; water 16 and 18.8°C, respectively; linseed-cake 17°C; and cottonseedcake 19.5°C. The mean values of HW (kj/kgW^{0 75}) in experiment 1 were 16.45, 18.19 and 18.25 in groups A, B and C respectively. The HW described as per cent of ME intake ranged between 3.64 to 4.16 of ME intake. The mean values of HW (kj/kgW075) in experiment 2 were 12.12, 14.33 and 14.92 in groups A1, B1 and C1 respectively. The HW values in group B1 and C1 were significantly higher (P<0.05) than that in group A1, which may be due to high intake of water and dry matter in groups B1 and C1. The HW values as per cent of ME intake ranged between 2.90 to 3.08. The mean values of HW were relatively lower in experiment 2 than that in experiment 1, which may be due to increase in ambient temperature in February with concomitant rise in the temperature of feedstuffs and water. Blaxter et al. (1959) reported HW in 2 sheep fed on low feeding level as 34 Cal and 41 Cal per day, these values appear close to the HW values reported in present study. The HW required to warm the dietary cool milk to rectal temperature in young calves was reported as 47 kcal or 196.65 kj (Holmes 1971b). The

possibility of substitution of heat energy required for HW from heat of microbial fermentation arising from rumen has been discussed by Nicol and Young (1981), however these workers suggested that HW may be incorporated in conventional heat loss model proposed by Monteith and Mount (1974) as critical temperature of animal increased due to heat inflow in the rumen consequent upon ingestion of cold feed.

The biochemical changes occurring in animal body due to cold stress include gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis in liver (Webster 1976). It is likely that sudden demand of thermal energy required for warming the feed and water to body temperature is also met by these biochemical reactions. Lower weight gains have been reported in calves (Taylor and Lonsdale 1969) and pigs (Holmes 1971a) given less warm liquid feed. The HW is not mentioned in the existing feeding standards for goats (AFRC 1997, Kearl 1982, NRC 1981 and Ranjhan 1998) as a component of energy requirements of goats in cold environments. The results of this study indicate necessity of considering HW for assessing the energy requirements of goats in cold season.

REFERENCES

- AFRC. 1997. Technical committee on responses to nutrients report No. 10. The nutrition of goats. *Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews* series (B) 67: 765–30.
- Blaxter K L, Graham N Mc, Wainman F W and Armstrong D G. 1959. Environmental temperature, energy metabolism and heat regulation in sheep. The partition of heat losses in closely clipped

December 2005]

sheep. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge 52: 25-40.

Blaxter K L. 1967. The Energy Metabolism of Ruminants. pp. 122– 123. Huchinson Scientific and Technical London.

- Blood D C, Radostits O M and Handerson J A. 1983. Veterinary Medicine. 6th edn, pp. 15 and 39. ELBS and Bailliere and Tindall, Eastbourne.
- Holmes C W. 1971a. Growth of pigs fed cool whey at two ambient temperatures. Animal Production 13: 1-6.
- Holmes C W. 1971b. The effect of milk given at different temperatures on the oxygen consumption of young calves. *Animal Production* 13: 619-25.
- Kearl L C. 1982. Nutrient requirements of ruminants in developing countries. International Feedstuffs Institute. Utah Agricultural Experiment station. Utah state University, Logan Utah 84322. USA.
- Monteith J L and Mount L E. 1974. *Heat loss from Animals and Man.* Butterworths and Co., Ltd, London.

NRC. 1981. Nutrient Requirement of Goats. National Academy

Press, Washington, D.C.

- Nicol A M and Young B A. 1981. The heat of warming of feed. Proceedings of New Zealand Society of Animal production 41: 151-62.
- Ranjhan S K. 1998. Nutrient requirement of livestock and poultry. pp. 209–301.
- Snedecor G W and Cochran W G. 1968. *Statistical Methods*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- Taylor J C and Lonsdale C R. 1969. The artificial rearing of calves and their growth on grass diets 1. The effect of type and temperature of milk substitutes given ad libitum. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 73: 279–87.
- Upadhyaya R S and Karnani L K, 2003. Heat of warming of feed and water in buffalo and cow calves. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition* 20: 94–96.
- Webster A J F. 1976. The influence of climatic environment on metabolism in cattle. *Principles of Cattle Production*. pp. 109– 11. (Eds) Swan H and Broster W H. Butterworths. London.