Comparative studies of organic manure and chemical fertilizers in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.)

Avinash Tomer^{1*}, Vijai Kumar¹ and Anita Saini²

Ch. Chhotu Ram (PG) College, Muzaffarnagar Corresponding Author's Email: tomeravi115@gmail.com

Received: May 2025; Revised Accepted: August 2025

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the 2022–23 and 2023–24 at Horticultural Research Farm, Ch. Chhotu Ram (P.G.) College, Muzaffarnagar, C.C.S university Meerut (U.P.), to study the comparative effect of organic and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield parameters of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cv. Nantes. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 12 treatments and 3 replications, including combinations of recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF), farmyard manure (FYM), and vermicompost. Results revealed that the application of 80% RDF + Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha (T_6) significantly outperformed other treatments in terms of plant height, number of leaves, leaf length, fresh plant weight, root length and yield. T_6 recorded the maximum plant height (44.19 cm and 45.64 cm), number of leaves (15.30 and 15.76), root length (20.87 cm and 22.21 cm) and highest yield (146.46 and 150.23 q/ha) in 2022–23 and 2023–24, respectively. The enhanced performance under T_6 is attributed to the synergistic effects of chemical and organic nutrient sources, improved nutrient availability and enhanced soil health and microbial activity. These findings underscore the potential of integrated nutrients management, particularly the combination of reduced chemical inputs with vermicompost, in improving carrot productivity sustainably.

Keywords: Carrot, Growth, INM, Yield and Vermicompost.

Introduction

Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) is one of the most widely consumed root vegetables globally due to its rich nutritional profile, including high levels of beta-carotene, fiber, vitamins and antioxidants (Ahmad *et al.*, 2021). The demand for high-quality carrots has increased significantly, necessitating efficient agronomic practices to maximize yield and improve nutritional quality. One of the most crucial aspects of carrot production is soil fertility management, which significantly influences growth, yield, and overall plant health (Kumar *et al.*, 2020). Soil fertility is typically maintained using either organic or chemical fertiliz-

ers, both of which have distinct effects on soil health and crop productivity. Organic fertilizers, derived from plant and animal residues, enhance soil organic matter content, improve microbial activity and provide a slow and sustained nutrient release (Peyvast et al., 2019). In contrast, chemical fertilizers provide readily available nutrients that promote rapid plant growth but may lead to soil degradation, nutrient leaching and environmental pollution when misused (Singh et al., 2022). The comparative effectiveness of these fertilizers in carrot production remains a topic of interest among researchers and farmers alike. Carrots are a significant vegetable crop cultivated worldwide, particularly in temperate and subtropical regions. The Nantes cultivar is highly favoured for its sweet taste, smooth texture, and high market de-

¹Ch. Chhotu Ram (PG) College, Muzaffarnagar

²Department of Botany GVMGC, Sonipat, Haryana

mand. Carrots contribute substantially to human nutrition and are used in various food products, including juices, salads and processed foods (Hassan et al., 2018). The economic importance of carrot production further underscores the need for sustainable agricultural practices that ensure high yield and quality while maintaining soil health. Fertilization is one of the most critical factors influencing plant growth, development, and yield. Organic and chemical fertilizers differ in their composition, nutrient release patterns and overall impact on soil and plant health (Sharma and Gupta, 2021). While organic fertilizers improve soil structure and promote beneficial microbial communities, they often have lower nutrient concentrations and slower nutrient release rates compared to chemical fertilizers (Zhou et al., 2020).

Chemical fertilizers, on the other hand, provide immediate nutrient availability but may negatively impact soil microbial diversity and long-term soil fertility (Rahman et al., 2019). Several studies have examined the effects of different fertilization strategies on carrot growth and yield. Research has shown that balanced fertilization significantly enhances root development, biomass accumulation and carotenoid content in carrots (Mahmood et al., 2017). However, excessive reliance on chemical fertilizers may lead to issues such as nitrate accumulation, reduced soil organic matter, and increased susceptibility to pests and diseases (Jat et al., 2021). Comparative studies on organic and chemical fertilizers in root vegetables, including carrots, have yielded varied results. Some studies suggest that organic fertilizers lead to better soil structure and long-term sustainability, while others highlight the immediate productivity benefits of chemical fertilizers (Ali et al., 2019). The use of compost, farmyard manure, and biofertilizers has been linked to improved soil aeration, moisture retention, and enhanced microbial activity, which contribute to steady nutrient uptake and growth (Goyal et al., 2022). Conversely, synthetic fertilizers such as urea, ammonium nitrate, and phosphate-based compounds have been found to boost yield but may degrade soil quality over time (Panda et al., 2020). The effectiveness of these fertilization strategies also depends on factors such as soil type, climate conditions, and crop variety. Research on carrots specifically has indicated that a combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers can maximize both yield and soil health benefits (Tiwari *et al.*, 2018). However, there is still a need for more region-specific studies to determine the best fertilization strategies for different agro-climatic conditions.

The environmental impact of fertilization practices is a growing concern in modern agriculture. Organic fertilizers are often promoted as environmentally friendly due to their ability to enhance soil biodiversity and reduce chemical runoff into water bodies (Choudhary et al. 2021). In contrast, excessive use of chemical fertilizers contributes to greenhouse gas emissions, soil acidification, and water contamination (Lal et al., 2019). The economic aspect of fertilizer use is also significant, as organic fertilizers can be cost-effective in the long run by improving soil fertility and reducing dependency on synthetic inputs (Reddy et al., 2023). However, their initial lower nutrient availability and application challenges may pose limitations compared to readily available chemical fertilizers. For instance, studies on chemical fertilizers frequently highlight their ability to rapidly increase nutrient availability, leading to enhanced root development and higher yields in carrot production. However, concerns about longterm soil degradation, reduced microbial diversity, and environmental pollution persist (Verma et al., 2021).

Conversely, research on organic fertilizers often underscores their benefits in improving soil structure, microbial activity and long-term fertility. Yet, challenges such as slower nutrient release, inconsistent availability, and potential yield limitations compared to synthetic inputs remain underexplored in a comparative context. Furthermore, the economic feasibility of organic versus chemical fertilization strategies is crucial for farmers' decision-making. While organic fertilizers may reduce input costs and promote sustainable farming, their immediate yield impact and labourintensive application methods may deter widespread adoption. On the other hand, chemical fertilizers, though efficient, may lead to increased dependency and fluctuating costs. Thus, a comprehensive study that examines the growth per310 Tomer et al

formance, soil health implications and economic viability of organic and chemical fertilizers under diverse agro-climatic conditions for Nantes cultivar of carrot is necessary. Such research would bridge the existing knowledge gap and provide practical recommendations for sustainable and efficient carrot cultivation.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The field experiment was conducted during thetwo consecutive seasons 2022-23 and 2023-24 at Horticultural Chaudhary Chhotu Ram (P.G.) College, Muzaffarnagar (U.P.) The experiment was laid out into Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications with 12 treatments viz. T_1 = Control (no fertilizers), T_2 = RDF 100%(NPK) Kg/ha, T₃= Farm yard manure @ 24 tonnes/ha, T4= Vermicompost @ 6 tonnes/ha T5= 80%RDF + Farm yard manure @ 12tonnes/ha, T₂= 80%RDF + Vermicompost @ 3tonnes/ha, T₇= 80%RDF + Vermicompost @ 3tonnes/ha, T8 = 60%RDF + Vermicompost @ 1.5tonnes/ha, T_a= 40%RDF + Farm yard manure @ 16 tonnes/ha, T10 =40%RDF + Vermi compost @ 4 tonnes, T_{11} = 20%RDF + Farm yard manure @ 20 tonnes and T_{12} = 20%RDF + Vermi compost @ 4 tonnes. Standard culture practices recommended for carrot were followed uniformly in all experimental plots.

Total ten observation recorded plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf length, leaf width, fresh weight of plant, dry weight of plant, root length, fresh weight of root, dry and weight of root and yield per hectare.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant Height

The application of integrated nutrient management significantly influenced plant height during both years of the experiment. Treatment T_6 (80% RDF + Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) recorded the maximum plant height (44.19 cm and 45.64 cm in 2022–23 and 2023–24, respectively), followed by T_5 (80% RDF + FYM @ 12 t/ha) and T3 (FYM @ 24 t/ha), (Table 1). The lowest plant height was observed in the control. The increase in plant height under T_6 can be attributed to the synergistic effect of inorganic nutrients and the gradual release of nutrients from vermicompost, enhanc-

ing nutrient availability, microbial activity and soil health (Chatterjee *et al.* 2014; Singh *et al.*, 2020). Vermicompost is rich in plant growth regulators and enzymes, which stimulate cell elongation and division.

Number of Leaves per Plant

Treatment T_6 also produced the highest number of leaves per plant (15.30 and 15.76), indicating a positive influence on vegetative growth. FYM-based treatments T_5 and T_3 followed, while control plots showed the lowest leaf count (Table, 1). Improved leaf production in T_6 may be due to the enhanced supply of macro and micronutrients and better soil aeration provided by vermicompost. According to Kale *et al.*, (2005), vermicompost enhances the physiological functions and photosynthetic efficiency of plants, resulting in increased leaf number.

Leaf Length and Width

Leaf length was significantly greater in T₆ (34.62 cm and 35.86 cm), while leaf width was highest in T5 (14.13 cm and 15.76 cm). This reflects the better growth conditions and nutrient uptake under integrated nutrient management systems. The increase in leaf dimensions under these treatments may be attributed to improved nitrogen availability, which promotes chlorophyll synthesis and cell expansion (Kumar *et al.*, 2019). FYM and vermicompost also enhance the moisture-holding capacity and porosity of the soil, supporting leaf development (Table 1).

Fresh and Dry Weight of Plant

 T_6 recorded the highest fresh plant weight (218.99 g and 220.43 g), indicating superior biomass accumulation, followed by T_5 and T3. Dry weight was, however, highest in T_5 (19.14 g and 20.69 g), followed by T_7 and T_3 (Table 1). This suggested that FYM contributes to biomass density and carbon fixation efficiency. FYM's slow nutrient release supports sustained growth (Patel *et al.*, 2018). The high microbial activity associated with organic manures also enhances root and shoot biomass (Ramesh *et al.*, 2014).

Root Length

T₆ consistently showed the best performance

Table 1. Study the comparative effect of organic and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield parameters of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cv. Nantes

Treatments
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
@ 1.5tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40%RDF + Farm yard manure @ 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40%RDF + Vermi compost @ 4 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Farm yard manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₂ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₂ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₂ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₃ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₄ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₅ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₆ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₇ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₈ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₉ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₉ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₉ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₉ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₉ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₀ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost manure @ 20 to
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
@ 4 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20%RDF + Farm yard manure @ 20 tonnes 35.41 36.86 13.3 13.76 25.51 26.76 9.23 10.00 163.98 165.40 T ₁₂ = 20%RDF + Vermi compost @ 4 tonnes C.D. 2.01 1.50 0.38 0.46 1.49 1.12 1.68 1.38 7.78 9.45 SE(m) 0.68 0.50 0.13 0.15 0.50 0.38 0.53 0.43 2.64 3.22 SE(d) 0.96 0.71 0.18 0.22 0.71 0.54 0.7 0.62 3.73 4.55 C.V. 3.10 3.87 1.84 2.12 3.04 2.20 6.265 5.86 2.40 2.90 Parameters Dry weight of plant Root length of root Fresh weight of root Dry weight of root Yield per hectar Treatments 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 <
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
@ 4 tonnes C.D. 2.01 1.50 0.38 0.46 1.49 1.12 1.68 1.38 7.78 9.49 SE(m) 0.68 0.50 0.13 0.15 0.50 0.38 0.53 0.43 2.64 3.22 SE(d) 0.96 0.71 0.18 0.22 0.71 0.54 0.7 0.62 3.73 4.55 C.V. 3.10 3.87 1.84 2.12 3.04 2.20 6.265 5.86 2.40 2.90 Parameters Dry weight Root length Fresh weight Dry weight Yield per hectar Treatments of plant 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2
C.D. 2.01 1.50 0.38 0.46 1.49 1.12 1.68 1.38 7.78 9.49 SE(m) 0.68 0.50 0.13 0.15 0.50 0.38 0.53 0.43 2.64 3.21 SE(d) 0.96 0.71 0.18 0.22 0.71 0.54 0.7 0.62 3.73 4.55 C.V. 3.10 3.87 1.84 2.12 3.04 2.20 6.265 5.86 2.40 2.90 Parameters Treatments Of plant Root length of root Fresh weight of root Dry weight of root Yield per hectar 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23
SE(m) 0.68 0.50 0.13 0.15 0.50 0.38 0.53 0.43 2.64 3.22 SE(d) 0.96 0.71 0.18 0.22 0.71 0.54 0.7 0.62 3.73 4.55 C.V. 3.10 3.87 1.84 2.12 3.04 2.20 6.265 5.86 2.40 2.90 Parameters Treatments Of plant Root length of root Fresh weight of root Dry weight of root Yield per hectar 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23
SE(d) 0.96 0.71 0.18 0.22 0.71 0.54 0.7 0.62 3.73 4.55 C.V. 3.10 3.87 1.84 2.12 3.04 2.20 6.265 5.86 2.40 2.90 Parameters Dry weight of plant Fresh weight of root Dry weight of root Yield per hectar of root 2022-23 2023-24<
C.V. 3.10 3.87 1.84 2.12 3.04 2.20 6.265 5.86 2.40 2.90 Parameters Dry weight Treatments Root length of plant 2022-23 Fresh weight of root 2022-23 Dry weight 2022-23 Dry weight 2022-23 Vield per hectar 2022-23 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2023-24
Parameters Treatments Dry weight Root length Fresh weight Of root Of root Of root
Treatments of plant of 2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2022-24 2022-23 2022-24 2022-23 2022-24 2022-2022-2020-2020-2020-2020-2
<u>2022-23 2023-24 2022-23 2022-23 2022-23 2022-23 2022-23 2022-23 2022-23 2022-23 2022-23 2022-2020-2000-200</u>
T = Control (no fertilizers) 16.33 17.88 11.09 12.43 47.53 50.32 8.66 10.00 77.11 80.8
-1, control the relative term $-10.00 - 10.00 - 11.00 - 11.00 - 10.$
T ₂ = RDF 100%(NPK) Kg/ha 16.97 18.52 14.95 16.29 45.43 48.22 12.98 14.32 118.45 122.2
T ₃ = Farm yard manure @ 17.22 18.77 15.98 17.31 47.42 50.21 14.64 15.98 130.22 133.9
24 tonnes/ha
T ₄ = Vermicompost @ 6 tonnes/ha 18.67 20.22 17.00 18.34 52.42 55.21 15.09 16.43 127.13 130.8
$T_5 = 80\%$ RDF + Farm yard manure 19.14 20.69 18.87 20.21 62.55 65.34 18.28 19.62 145.21 148.95
@ 12tonnes/ha
T ₆ = 80% RDF + Vermicompost @ 17.74 19.29 20.87 22.21 67.42 70.21 19.31 20.65 146.46 150.2
3 tonnes/ha
T ₇ = 80% RDF + Vermicompost @ 18.19 19.73 17.42 18.76 52.42 55.21 15.95 17.29 132.10 135.8
3 tonnes/ha $T_s = 60\%$ RDF + Vermicompost @ 15.38 16.93 17.98 19.32 57.32 60.11 17.20 18.54 138.88 142.6
$T_s = 60\%$ RDF + Vermicompost @ 15.38 16.93 17.98 19.32 57.32 60.11 17.20 18.54 138.88 142.6
1.5 tonnes/ha
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.3
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.3 @ 16 tonnes/ha
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.30 @ 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40% RDF + Vermi compost 13.98 15.53 13.66 15.00 43.53 46.32 10.98 12.32 116.56 120.30
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.30 @ 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40% RDF + Vermi compost 13.98 15.53 13.66 15.00 43.53 46.32 10.98 12.32 116.56 120.30 @ 4 tonnes
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.3 @ 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40% RDF + Vermi compost 13.98 15.53 13.66 15.00 43.53 46.32 10.98 12.32 116.56 120.3 @ 4 tonnes
1.5 tonnes/ha T_9 = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.3 © 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40% RDF + Vermi compost 13.98 15.53 13.66 15.00 43.53 46.32 10.98 12.32 116.56 120.3 © 4 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20% RDF + Farm yard 14.46 16.00 11.54 12.88 41.64 44.43 8.98 10.32 108.66 112.49
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.50
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.39 (a) 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40% RDF + Vermi compost 13.98 15.53 13.66 15.00 43.53 46.32 10.98 12.32 116.56 120.39 (a) 4 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20% RDF + Farm yard 14.46 16.00 11.54 12.88 41.64 44.43 8.98 10.32 108.66 112.49 (a) 11.24 (a) 11.25 (a)
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.5 @ 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40% RDF + Vermi compost 13.98 15.53 13.66 15.00 43.53 46.32 10.98 12.32 116.56 120.5 @ 4 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20% RDF + Farm yard 14.46 16.00 11.54 12.88 41.64 44.43 8.98 10.32 108.66 112.4 manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₂ = 20% RDF + Vermi compost 15.43 16.98 11.92 13.26 43.14 45.93 9.00 10.34 96.58 100.3 @ 4 tonnes C.D. 0.628 0.796 0.765 0.875 2.250 2.478 0.694 0.691 5.777 5.74 SE(m) 0.213 0.270 0.259 0.296 0.764 0.834 0.235 0.234 1.957 1.94
1.5 tonnes/ha T ₉ = 40% RDF + Farm yard manure 15.85 17.39 12.66 14.00 42.53 45.32 10.09 11.43 112.62 116.5 @ 16 tonnes/ha T ₁₀ = 40% RDF + Vermi compost 13.98 15.53 13.66 15.00 43.53 46.32 10.98 12.32 116.56 120.5 @ 4 tonnes T ₁₁ = 20% RDF + Farm yard 14.46 16.00 11.54 12.88 41.64 44.43 8.98 10.32 108.66 112.4 manure @ 20 tonnes T ₁₂ = 20% RDF + Vermi compost 15.43 16.98 11.92 13.26 43.14 45.93 9.00 10.34 96.58 100.3 @ 4 tonnes C.D. 0.628 0.796 0.765 0.875 2.250 2.478 0.694 0.691 5.777 5.74

312 Tomer et al

in terms of root length (20.87 and 22.21 cm), This implies that vermicompost significantly promotes root proliferation and thickness (Table 1). Improved root traits may be due to better aeration and structure of the rhizosphere in organically enriched soils (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2016). Vermicompost contains auxins and cytokinins, which promote root elongation and branching (Arancon *et al.*, 2004).

Fresh weight of root

Among the various treatment combinations treatment T_6 = 80%RDF + Vermicompost @ 3tonnes/ha produce maximum fresh weight (19.31 and 20.65 g) during both year of trail 2022-23 and 2023-24 respectively. It was followed by treatment T_5 (18.28 and 19.62 g) and T_8 (17.20 and 18.54g). Minimum fresh weight was noticed in control (8.66 and 10.00 g). These findings are accordance with Kumar *et al.*, (2019) (Table 1).

Dry weight of root

Among the various treatment combinations treatment T_6 = 80%RDF + Vermicompost @ 3 tonnes/ha produce maximum fresh weight (67.42 and 70.21 g) during both year of trail 2022-23 and 2023-24 respectively. It was followed by treatment T_5 (62.55 and 65.34g) and T_8 (57.32 and 50.32g). Minimum fresh weight was noticed in control (47.53 and 50.32 g). These findings are accordance with Kumar *et al.*, (2019), (Table 1).

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, S., Iqbal, M., Nawaz, M. Q. and Khan, M. A. 2021. Nutritional and medicinal significance of carrots (*Daucus carota* L.): A review. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Studies*. **9**(1): 23–27.
- Ali, S., Khan, A. and Bukhari, S. 2019. Comparative analysis of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of root vegetables. *Journal of Plant Nutrition.* **42**(10): 1151–1160.
- Arancon, N. Q., Edwards, C. A., Bierman, P., Welch, C., and Metzger, J. D. 2004. Influences of vermicomposts on field strawberries: Part 1. Effects on growth and yield. *Bioresource Technology*. **93**(2): 145–153.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Das, T. K., Sudhishri, S., Sharma, A. R., Bandyopadhyay, K. K. and Aggarwal, P.

Yield (q/ha)

Yield was maximized in T_6 (146.46 and 150.23 q/ha), followed closely by T_5 and T_8 . The increase in yield is likely a consequence of enhanced vegetative growth, efficient nutrient uptake, and improved root health, (Table 1). Integrated nutrient management (INM) strategies increase nutrient-use efficiency and reduce nutrient losses, thus boosting yield potential (Das *et al.*, 2017). The synergy between RDF and organic manures ensures continuous nutrient availability throughout the crop cycle.

CONCLUSION

The present study clearly demonstrates the positive impact of integrated nutrient management (INM) on the growth, biomass accumulation, root development, and yield of the crop across two consecutive years. Among the various treatment combinations, T6 (80% RDF + Vermicompost @ 3 t/ha) consistently outperformed other treatments by recording the highest values for plant height, number of leaves, leaf dimensions, root length, root biomass, and overall yield. The superior performance of T6 can be attributed to the synergistic effects of inorganic fertilizers and vermicompost, which enhance nutrient availability, improve soil physical properties, and stimulate microbial and enzymatic activity in the rhizosphere.

- 2016. Soil and environmental conservation through resource conservation technologies in the Indian semi-arid region: A review. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*. **4**(1): 1–12.
- Chatterjee, R., Bandyopadhyay, S. and Jana, J. C. 2014. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) in an Inceptisol of Eastern India. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. **62**(3): 264–270.
- Choudhary, M., Singh, R. and Yadav, B. 2021. Environmental benefits of organic fertilizers: A review. Sustainable Agriculture Reviews. 44: 85– 99.
- Das, A., Lal, R., Patel, D. P., Kumar, M., Ngachan, S.

- V., Bordoloi, J. S. and Ramakrishna, G. I. 2017. Effects of integrated nutrient management on productivity and soil quality in a maizemustard cropping system in the Eastern Himalayas, India. *Soil Research.* **55**(1): 93–102.
- Kale, R. D., Mallesh, B. C., Kubra, B. and Bagyaraj, D. J. 2005. Influence of vermicompost application on the available macronutrients and selected microbial populations in paddy field: A field study. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*. 62(3), 293–302.
- Goyal, S., Mishra, M. M. and Hooda, K. S. 2022. Use of organic manures and biofertilizers in vegetable production. *Vegetable Science*. **49**(2): 157–163.
- Hassan, M. A., Ali, M. Y. and Islam, M. N. 2018. Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) production and its importance in human nutrition: A review. *International Journal of Horticulture, Agriculture and Food Science.* **2**(6): 280–285.
- Jat, R. A., Yadav, A. K. and Meena, R. S. 2021. Overuse of chemical fertilizers and its impact on soil health: A review. *International Journal of Environment and Agriculture Research*. **7**(4): 45–52.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, A. and Meena, R. K. 2019. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield attributes of carrot (Daucus carota L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 8(1): 1497–1500.
- Kumar, A., Singh, S., Yadav, D. S. and Meena, V. S. 2019. Role of integrated nutrient management in sustainable crop production and soil health: A review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 8(4): 179–200.
- Kumar, R., Yadav, R. K. and Singh, P. 2020. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. **9**(2): 1603–1610. Peyvast, G.,
- Peyvast *et al.*, 2019. Effects of organic fertilizers on the growth and yield of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Acta Horticulturae*. **1009**: 161–165
- Lal, R., Stewart, B. A. and Uphoff, N. 2019. Chemical fertilizers and environmental consequences. *Advances in Agronomy.* **158**: 1–30.
- Mahmood, A., Shahid, M. and Imran, M. 2017. Effect of balanced fertilization on growth, yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Pakistani*

- Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 54(3): 593-598.
- Panda, D., Sahoo, B. B. and Nayak, B. R. 2020. Effect of synthetic fertilizers on soil quality and crop productivity. *Journal of Plant and Soil Health*. **5**(2): 45–52.
- Patel, M. R., Patel, B. T., and Parmar, R. M. 2018. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. **6**(1): 178–182.
- Rahman, M. A., Hossain, M. M. and Sultana, S. 2019. Chemical fertilizer impacts on soil and environment: A review. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research.* **15**(4): 93–100.
- Ramesh, P., Panwar, N. R., Singh, A. B. and Ramana, S. 2014. Influence of organic manures on productivity and soil quality of vegetable crops. *Journal of Organic Agriculture and*
- Reddy, B. N., Kumar, M. A. and Prasad, Y. G. 2023. Economic assessment of organic and chemical fertilizers in vegetable farming. *Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development*. **59**(1): 32–39.
- Sharma, M. and Gupta, R. 2021. Organic versus chemical fertilizers: Impacts on soil fertility and crop productivity. *Agricultural Reviews.* **42**(2): 123–130.
- Singh, J., Sharma, S. and Kumar, V. 2022. Impact of chemical fertilizers on soil health and environment: A review. *Journal of Environmental Biology.* **43**(4): 733–740.
- Singh, R., Bhardwaj, S. K. and Thakur, P. 2020. Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on growth and yield of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Vegetable Science*. **47**(1): 64–67.
- Tiwari, R. J., Shukla, Y. R. and Meena, N. L. 2018. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. **6**(2): 2112–2116.
- Verma, S., Pandey, A. and Singh, J. 2021. Impact of chemical fertilizers on soil microbial diversity and environment. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. **23**: 1341–1357
- Zhou, W., Lv, T., Chen, Y. and Wu, F. 2020. Comparative study of organic and inorganic fertilizers on crop yield and soil properties. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition.* **20**(3): 1072–1080.