

Imparting Stability to Pearl Millet-Clusterbean Intercropping System Through Adopting Suitable Variety

B.A. Kunadia, I.S. Patel, R.G. Patil, S.K. Patel, S.M. Patel, S.I. Patel and V.J. Bhatiya
*AICRP for Dryland Agriculture, Regional Research Station,
Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardar Krushinagar 385 506, India*

Abstract: Intercropping helps to stabilize farmers' income under dryland conditions. Pearl millet and clusterbean intercropping proved advantageous because of difference in their growth and feeding habits. However, the sustainability of the system depends on suitable variety of intercrop. In order to identify suitable variety of clusterbean for intercropping in pearl millet, a field experiment was conducted consecutively for eight years (1986 to 1994) with nine treatments involving five sole intercropping treatments at a row ratio of 2:2 in RBD having four replications on sandy loam soil (Typic Ustipsamments) of AICRP for Dryland Agriculture, Sardar Krushinagar. The results revealed that intercropping of clusterbean variety Malosan (net profit, Rs. 2416 ha⁻¹) or HG 75 (net profit, Rs. 2316 ha⁻¹), with pearl millet was superior to rest of the treatments in respect of equivalent yield, net profit, CBR and LER.

Key words: Pearl millet, clusterbean, intercropping.

Intercropping is an important tool for assured income in dryland conditions of North Gujarat agroclimatic zone, which is characterized by aberrant weather conditions and low water retention capacity of sandy to sandy loam soils. Pearl millet+clusterbean is one of the best systems under such situation because of differential growing habit of both these crops (Anonymous, 1984). This is also evident from the wider adoption of this system by the farmers of this region (Anonymous, 1987). However, no information is available pertaining to suitable variety of clusterbean for intercropping in pearl millet. Therefore, present study was undertaken to find out most suitable variety of clusterbean for intercropping in pearl millet for this region.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment on intercropping in pearl millet (GHB 30) with four clusterbean varieties (HG 75, HG 7-42-1, IC 9065 and Malosan) was conducted on sandy loam soil (Typic Ustipsamments) during *kharif* seasons of 1986 and 1994 (except drought year of 1987) at AICRP for Dryland Agriculture Centre, Sardar Krushinagar, in RBD with four replications. There were nine treatments, viz., T1: pearl millet sole, T2: HG-75 sole, T3: HG-7-4/P2-1 sole, T4: IC 9065 sole, T5: Malosan sole, T6: GHB-30 + HG-75 (2:2), T7: GHB-30 + HG-7-4/P2-1 (2:2), T8: IC 9065 (2:2) and T9: GHB- 30 + Malosan (2:2). Both the crops were sown at a distance of 45 x 15 cm. The soil of the experimental plot

was low in available N (160 kg ha^{-1}). Pearl millet crop was fertilized with 50 kg N ha^{-1} through urea as basal and 25 kg N ha^{-1} as top dressing. In the case of clusterbean, 30 kg N ha^{-1} as urea was applied at the time of sowing only. All the biometric observations were recorded at the time of harvest. The yield data were subjected to statistical analysis. LER and CBR were worked out using the following methods:

$$\text{LER} = (\text{Yield of main crop/Yield of main crop in sole}) + (\text{Yield of intercrop/Yield of intercrop in sole})$$

$$\text{CBR} = \text{Gross realization/cost of cultivation}$$

Results and Discussion

In general, most of the biometric observations (Table 1) were favourably influenced by the intercropping treatments as compared to their respective sole treatments. Increase in height of pearl millet plant in intercropping system could be due to initial fast growth rate owing to no competition for sunlight, moisture and nutrient by clusterbean and ultimately this had also

reflected on the number of leaves/plant, number of effective tillers/plant and bolder seeds in intercropping treatments as compared to pearl millet sole crop. However, these positive effects could not replenish the loss in yield due to area occupied by clusterbean and hence, lower grain yield on unit area basis in intercropping was recorded in comparison to sole pearl millet. Similar pattern was also observed in the case of clusterbean. Here, increase in height of clusterbean in intercropping system seems to be due to initial slow growing habit, which might have subjected the crop to shadow effect of pearl millet plants. So, for getting better light interception at later growth stages, the clusterbean plants might have been forced to increase the height in intercropping treatments. This clearly shows the better adaptability of clusterbean crop in intercropping system. The magnitude of reduction in yield of clusterbean was higher as compared to depression in yield of pearl millet under intercropping system. This implies that adverse effect of pearl millet on clusterbean was more pronounced than clusterbean on pearl millet. Total dry

Table 1. Effect of different treatments on biometric observations (Mean of 8 years)

| Treatments | Pearl millet | | | | Clusterbean | | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|
| | Plant height (cm) | Effective tillers/plant | Leaves/plant | 100 grain weight (g) | Plant height (cm) | Pods/plant | Seeds/pod | 100 seed weight (g) |
| T1 | 137.73 | 1.35 | 7.95 | 5.90 | - | - | - | - |
| T2 | - | - | - | - | 71.73 | 18.72 | 7.61 | 28.83 |
| T3 | - | - | - | - | 69.53 | 18.40 | 7.54 | 30.38 |
| T4 | - | - | - | - | 72.28 | 18.98 | 7.81 | 31.05 |
| T5 | - | - | - | - | 75.41 | 14.49 | 7.46 | 32.13 |
| T6 | 141.62 | 1.62 | 8.18 | 6.01 | 72.86 | 18.31 | 7.39 | 29.14 |
| T7 | 141.33 | 1.57 | 7.88 | 5.91 | 74.77 | 19.61 | 7.39 | 31.42 |
| T8 | 143.04 | 1.67 | 8.47 | 6.09 | 77.60 | 19.29 | 7.31 | 29.21 |
| T9 | 139.93 | 1.45 | 8.18 | 6.20 | 81.60 | 17.93 | 7.34 | 33.83 |

matter production was invariably higher in intercropping than in sole systems of both the crops. This also proves the compatibility of both the crops under intercropping system.

The grain and straw yields of pearl millet fluctuated considerably over the years. A minimum grain yield (61 kg ha^{-1}) was obtained in 1992 with pearl millet sole treatment and maximum (2922 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded in 1990 with the said treatment. During the last three years (1992-1994), yield of pearl millet was below average, as crop was affected by ergot and smut diseases due to humid weather at later growth stages, as a result of high rainfall (1518.7, 859.2 and 1626.1 mm) during these years. However, this variation in yield of clusterbean was not as that of pearl millet, which showed higher stability of this crop than pearl millet under erratic weather conditions of North Gujarat agroclimatic zone.

The equivalent yield in terms of pearl millet grain (Table 2) was calculated using main and by-products of base and intercrops at prevailing market price. The effect of different treatments was significant on equivalent yield during 6 years out of 8 years of experimentation. In the years of normal rainfall, all the intercropping treatments were at par with pearl millet sole. However, in the later two years, clusterbean sole treatments were superior to pearl millet sole because of the reasons mentioned earlier. In pooled analysis, pearl millet sole as well as pearl millet + clusterbean treatments were at par with each other, but both of them were significantly superior to clusterbean sole. This clearly suggests better economical viability of intercropping or pearl millet sole over clusterbean sole system. The data further revealed that treatment effect was not consistent which is evident from significant Y x T interaction.

Table 2. Year wise equivalent yield (kg ha^{-1}) in terms of pearl millet grain under different treatments

| Treatments | Years | | | | | | | | Pooled |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| | 1986 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | |
| T1 | 1319 | 1293 | 1518 | 3483 | 2462 | 631 | 263 | 697 | 1458 |
| T2 | 757 | 555 | 469 | 1585 | 1282 | 1029 | 818 | 832 | 916 |
| T3 | 825 | 633 | 484 | 1514 | 1329 | 700 | 893 | 842 | 906 |
| T4 | 984 | 629 | 479 | 1561 | 1505 | 683 | 915 | 801 | 944 |
| T5 | 1001 | 657 | 283 | 1210 | 1298 | 413 | 781 | 705 | 793 |
| T6 | 1314 | 1250 | 1201 | 2270 | 2336 | 714 | 556 | 775 | 1301 |
| T7 | 1161 | 1282 | 1350 | 2352 | 2091 | 535 | 541 | 835 | 1268 |
| T8 | 1285 | 1439 | 1327 | 2386 | 1947 | 543 | 517 | 757 | 1275 |
| T9 | 1253 | 1372 | 1323 | 2204 | 2507 | 552 | 608 | 792 | 1326 |
| CD at 5% | | | | | | | | | |
| : T | NS | 465 | 436 | 366 | 774 | NS | 210 | 86 | 337 |
| : Y x T | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 379 |
| C.V.% | 28.26 | 26.03 | 26.34 | 10.06 | 23.41 | 54.18 | 18.08 | 6.11 | 24.16 |
| Rainfall (mm) | 184.5 | 407.0 | 778.0 | 895.4 | 288.4 | 1518.7 | 859.2 | 1626.1 | |
| Rainy days | 12 | 25 | 26 | 41 | 20 | 34 | 20 | 49 | |

Table 3. Economics and land equivalent ratio (LER) of different treatments

| Treatment | Mean equivalent yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Gross realization (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Total expenditure (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Net profit (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | CBR | LER |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|-------|------|
| T1 | 1458 | 5832 | 3500 | 2332 | - | 1 |
| T2 | 916 | 3664 | 2475 | 1189 | - | 1 |
| T3 | 906 | 3624 | 2475 | 1149 | - | 1 |
| T4 | 944 | 3776 | 2475 | 1301 | - | 1 |
| T5 | 793 | 3172 | 2475 | -697 | - | 1 |
| T6 | 1301 | 5204 | 2888 | 2316 | 1:1.8 | 1 |
| T7 | 1268 | 5074 | 2888 | 2184 | 1:1.7 | 1 |
| T8 | 1275 | 5100 | 2888 | 2212 | 1:1.7 | 1.03 |
| T9 | 1326 | 5304 | 2888 | 2416 | 1:1.8 | 1.07 |
| Prices (Rs. kg ⁻¹) : | | Grain | By-product | | | |
| Pearl millet | | 4.00 | 0.40 | | | |
| Clusterbean | | 6.00 | 0.50 | | | |
| LER = Land Equivalent Ratio; | | CBR = Cost Benefit Ratio. | | | | |

However, when the data were pooled over the years, either intercropping or pearl millet sole was found superior to clusterbean sole. This shows the stability of the system over the years. Among the clusterbean varieties tested, higher yields in intercropping treatments were obtained with either Malosan or HG-75 variety in most of the years, and in the pooled analysis. Further, these two varieties of clusterbean are widely grown by the farmers of North Gujarat and this will certainly facilitate wider adaptability of intercropping system.

The data on economics of different treatments (Table 3) revealed that highest gross income of Rs. 5832 ha⁻¹ was realized with pearl millet sole and was followed by pearl millet + clusterbean var. Malosan (Rs. 5304 ha⁻¹) and pearl millet + clusterbean var. HG-75 (Rs. 5204 ha⁻¹). Net income values also indicated that intercropping of pearl millet with either Malosan (T9) or HG-75

(T6) variety of clusterbean was more profitable than that from rest of the treatments. LER in treatments T9 and T6 was more than one and CBR was 1:1.8. Similar increase in net income with intercropping of pearl millet + clusterbean have been reported by Singh *et al.* (1989).

Thus, for stable income, so as to minimise the risk involved in dryland agriculture of North Gujarat agroclimatic zone, intercropping of pearl millet as main crop with either Malosan or HG-75 variety of clusterbean (2:2) is recommended.

References

- Anonymous 1987. *Status Report, North Gujarat Agroclimatic Zone*. Vol. 1, GAU, Sardar Krushinagar.
- Anonymous 1984. *Annual Report, All India Coordinated Research Project for Dryland Agriculture*. GAU, Sardar Krushinagar.
- Singh, G.D., Keshwa, G.L. and Sharma, K.B. 1989. Effect of N and intercropping on growth and yield of pearl millet. *Haryana Journal of Agronomy* 5(2): 179-181.