

The Role of Rural Women in Decision Making Process in Arid Rajasthan

D K Saha and Usha Rani

Central Arid Zone Research Institute, Jodhpur—342 003 India

Abstract An attempt has been made to study the role of rural women in decision making processes of various socio-economic activities. In decision making processes especially in agriculture, livestock and socio-religious matters, women were consulted by the heads of households. However, in some activities the role of women in decision making processes differ as indicated by the Friedman (Xr^2) test. By and large, little difference in decision making processes between different groups establish homogeneous character of the population.

Key words Decision making process, Role of Women, Friedman (Xr^2) test

In the rural arid areas of western Rajasthan, limited information is available on rural women. However, in this inhospitable climatic condition women play a pertinent role in households activities not only by rearing children and cooking food but also taking active part in agricultural and livestock activities. Some of the agricultural operations like weeding and harvesting of grains are entirely done by the women besides collection of fuel from grazing land and fetching drinking water from distant

places. Due to early age at marriage women have to bear extra burden of frequent child birth without proper spacing. Agarwal (1975) reported that a rural Rajasthani married woman has 2.2 children before she attains the age of 25 years.

Keeping this in view a study was initiated to investigate the role of women in decision making processes and the impact of various socio-economic factors in decision making processes.

Table 1 Socio economic characters of sample households

| Characters | Caste | | | Mean or Overall |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| | Upper | Middle | Lower | |
| Size of land holding (ha) | | | | |
| Marginal (up to 3.50) | 1(13) | 2(25) | 5(62) | 8 |
| Small (3.51-7) | 4(36) | 4(36) | 3(28) | 11 |
| Medium (7.01-10) | 6(67) | — | 3(33) | 9 |
| Large (>10) | 16(84) | — | 3(16) | 19 |
| Average size of family | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.9 |
| Average No. of | | | | |
| (A) Workers | | | | |
| Male | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| Female | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| (B) Dependents | | | | |
| Male | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Female | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Literacy (%) | | | | |
| Male | 69.6 | 54.9 | 63.4 | 67.3 |
| Female | 11.1 | 16.2 | — | 8.0 |
| Type of settlement | | | | |
| Village | 6(31) | 4(21) | 9(48) | 19 |
| Dhani | 15(84) | 1(5) | 2(11) | 18 |
| Both | 6(60) | 1(10) | 3(30) | 10 |

Figures in parenthesis are % of total

Table 1. Decision making (%) by farm women with various Socio-economic characters

| Decision making dimensions | Size of land holding | | | | Caste categories | | | Type of farming | | |
|--|----------------------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Marginal | Small | Medium | Large | Upper | Middle | Lower | Irrigated | Un-irrigated | Share cropping |
| Agricultural activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Selection of crops | 87 | 36 | 56 | 42 | 48 | 67 | 57 | 55 | 48 | 60 |
| Allocation of land for different crops | 87 | 45 | 67 | 47 | 56 | 50 | 57 | 64 | 48 | 67 |
| Application of fertilizer/FYM | 75 | 36 | 56 | 47 | 37 | 33 | 43 | 55 | 43 | 61 |
| Marketing of farm produce | 87 | 27 | 67 | 47 | 52 | 50 | 57 | 64 | 43 | 67 |
| Purchase and disposal of land | 87 | 45 | 67 | 52 | 59 | 67 | 71 | 64 | 48 | 73 |
| Renting in/out land | 75 | 45 | 56 | 42 | 44 | 67 | 50 | 36 | 48 | 67 |
| Exchange of labour | 75 | 36 | 44 | 37 | 37 | 67 | 50 | 36 | 38 | 61 |
| Live stock activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchase and disposal of livestock | 100 | 82 | 78 | 79 | 70 | 83 | 93 | 91 | 76 | 87 |
| Species of animals | 100 | 82 | 100 | 79 | 55 | 67 | 71 | 91 | 81 | 93 |
| Management of feed and fodder | 100 | 82 | 89 | 84 | 78 | 83 | 93 | 100 | 76 | 93 |
| Disposal of livestock produce | 100 | 91 | 89 | 89 | 85 | 67 | 93 | 100 | 81 | 100 |
| Consumption of livestock produce | 100 | 82 | 89 | 89 | 85 | 67 | 93 | 100 | 81 | 93 |
| Financial activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management of finance | 100 | 55 | 67 | 37 | 48 | 67 | 78 | 36 | 62 | 67 |
| Amount and source of borrowing | 87 | 64 | 67 | 47 | 55 | 67 | 71 | 45 | 62 | 73 |
| Purchase of household assets | 87 | 64 | 67 | 32 | 67 | 83 | 71 | 91 | 57 | 67 |
| Social and religious activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marital settlement of children | 87 | 100 | 78 | 84 | 89 | 83 | 78 | 91 | 71 | 100 |
| Education of children | 87 | 100 | 100 | 84 | 85 | 83 | 78 | 82 | 76 | 93 |
| Attending social function | 87 | 91 | 89 | 79 | 81 | 83 | 71 | 82 | 86 | 94 |
| Giving donation | 87 | 82 | 44 | 63 | 84 | 50 | 71 | 82 | 62 | 93 |

Materials and Methods

Data were collected from forty seven farm families selected on the basis of size of land holding. The composition of sample was large (40%); medium (19%), small (23%) and marginal (17%). Data were analysed with the help of frequency distribution. The role of women were examined in various dimensions of decision making activities like agriculture, livestock, financial and socio-religious (Table 2) with reference to socio economic parameters like caste, type of farming and size of land holding. The difference, if any, between the different socio-economic factors with the decision making processes of women were tested with the help of Friedman (X_r^2) test (Siegel 1956).

Results and Discussion

The study was carried out in an arid village of district Jodhpur of western Rajasthan. The village is inhabited by heterogeneous caste/community. The settlement pattern was by and large, compact and *dhanis* (scattered dwellings). As far as various castes/communities are concerned socio-economically better off class formed 58% of the total households compared to others (Table 1). The dependent population was slightly more among the male (1.55) than the female (1.27). The level of literacy among the female population was only 8.0 compared to their counterparts male (67%). The intercaste variation in the level of literacy was, to some extent, observed where the level of literacy was slightly better among the middle order castes. The average size of family was 6.90, although the higher caste had more number of persons (7.36).

Role of farm women in decision making processes: It is evident that in all groups of size holding, women were consulted by their heads of family but critical examination of the table 2 shows that in case of marginal farmers frequency of consultancy of women was more, especially in economic activities (agricultural and financial activities) compared to

other categories. Consultancy of women in economically well to do families were more in social and religious activities. Thus it may be inferred that size of holding do affect the women's participation in decision making.

Caste is an important factor in the socio-economic fabric of Indian society. Especially, in the rural arid Rajasthan, most of the activities are controlled by the institution of caste. It can be seen that the role of women in decision making processes was predominant in middle and lower caste categories as compared to upper caste. On the contrary, in social activities participation of upper caste women was more (80%).

The decision making process was further examined with the type of farming i.e. irrigated farming, unirrigated farming and share cropping. It revealed that decision making processes with type of farming did not vary significantly. In all categories more than 60% farm women were consulted by their heads of household.

The Friedman X_r^2 test also indicated significant relationship between the decision making processes with the size of land holding (Table 3).

Table 3 Friedman X_r^2 values

| Socio-economic factors | Observed values | Tabulated values P = .100 |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Size of land holding | 6.9* | 6.14 |
| Caste | 0.5 ^{N.S} | 5.2 |
| Type of farming | 4.5 ^{N.S} | 5.2 |

Significant at 10 % probability level

References

- Agarwal BL 1975 The Family planning Programme in Rajasthan. *The Journal of Family Welfare* 21 (3) 69-76.
 Siegel Sidney 1956 *Nonparametric Statistics*. McGrawHill Book Company, Inc.