Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Properties and Crop Yield under Black Gram-Wheat Cropping System in a Typic Haplustept

D.S. Rathore¹, H.S. Purohit¹, B.L. Yadav^{1*} and S.R. Sharma²

¹Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur 313 001, India

²Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, SKN College of Agriculture, Johner 303 329, India

Received: July 2010

Abstract: Field experiments were conducted during 2003-04 and 2004-05 to develop suitable integrated nutrient supply system for black gram-wheat cropping system. The study was aimed to find out the effect of integration of chemical source of phosphorus with bio-fertilizers on black gram and their residual effect on succeeding wheat crop at Udaipur. Incorporation of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ considerably decreased the bulk density and increased the porosity, cation exchange capacity, organic carbon and available N, P and K status of the soil. Study showed the application of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ or application of 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ significantly increased the seed and stover yield of black gram as well as of succeeding wheat grown in sequence. Dual inoculation (PSB + VAM) to black gram also increased the yield of black gram and succeeding wheat crop grown in sequence.

Key words: INM, bulk density, NPK, C.E.C., organic carbon, productivity, black gram, wheat.

Continuous addition of chemical fertilizers poses problems like toxicity due to high amount of sales as a residue of fertilizers, deterioration of the physical properties of soil, impairing the aeration and soil-water-plant relationship resulting in decreased productivity. Farm yard manure (FYM) ameliorates this problem as organic matter helps in increasing adsorptive power of the soil for cations and anions particularly phosphorus and nitrates. These adsorbed nutrient ions are released slowly for the benefit of crops during entire growth period. It also helps to improve the organic carbon (OC) status, availability of primary and secondary nutrients in the soil (Badanur et al., 1990), and also supplies sufficient amount of micronutrients in available forms. However, due to its low nutrient and slow acting nature, FYM alone may not be able to meet the high nutrient requirements of crops. Likewise, the use of NPK fertilizers alone under modern intensive farming may not be sufficient. Large number of experiments has demonstrated the importance of FYM and bio-fertilizers in supplementing the nutrient requirements of crops and providing yield stability (Venkateswarlu and Wani, 1999). Therefore, the right combination of FYM, chemical fertilizer (P) and bio-fertilizers seems to be the way-out. The objective of the present study was to investigate the changes in physicochemical properties and levels of OC, available

N, P and K of soil and yield of black gram-wheat under integrated nutrient management practices.

Materials and Methods

The experiments were conducted during kharif and rabi seasons of 2003-04 and 2004-05 at the research farm of Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur. The experimental soil was clay loam in texture with pH 7.8 and EC 1.18 dS m-1 containing 0.76% OC, 268.4 kg ha⁻¹ available N, 19.5 kg ha⁻¹ available P₂O₅ and 370.8 kg ha⁻¹ available K₂O. The experiment was conducted at the same site during both the seasons and years in split-plot design with 2 levels of FYM (0 and 5 t ha-1) and 4 levels of phosphorus (0, 20, 30 and 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) in mainplot and bio-fertilizers (untreated control, phosphate solubilizing bacteria i.e. PSB, Vesicular Arbsucular Mycorrhiza i.e. VAM and PSB + VAM) in sub-plots. The treatments were replicated thrice. The FYM was incorporated 20 days before sowing in the soil as per treatment. The recommended dose of N (20 kg ha⁻¹) was applied through urea. Phosphorus was applied through diammonium phosphate. The seed of black gram were inoculated with PSB (Phosphorus megatherium var. Phosphacticum) before sowing and VAM (Glomus fasciculatum) was drilled below seed just before sowing as per treatment. Black gram was sown on July 5, 2003 and July 6, 2004. After harvest of black gram, each experimental plot was prepared manually without disturbing

*Email: bly_soil@yahoo.co.in

22 RATHORE et al.

Table 1. Effect of FYM, phosphorus levels and bio-fertilizers on bulk, density, CEC and organic carbon content in soil after harvest of black gram

| Treatments | Bulk d | lensity (| g cc ⁻¹) | Po | rosity (| %) | CEC | [cmol (P+ |) kg-1] | Orga | nic carb | on (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------|----------------------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-----------|---------|-------|----------|--------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean |
| FYM (t ha-1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1.461 | 1.485 | 1.473 | 45.14 | 45.45 | 45.30 | 21.606 | 22.370 | 21.988 | 0.780 | 0.809 | 0.804 |
| 5 | 1.426 | 1.436 | 1.431 | 46.11 | 46.22 | 46.17 | 23.685 | 23.557 | 23.621 | 0.850 | 0.868 | 0.869 |
| SEm± | 0.010 | 0.016 | | 0.220 | 0.216 | | 0.217 | 0.146 | | 0.004 | 0.004 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.030 | 0.048 | | 0.668 | 0.639 | | 0.658 | 0.443 | | 0.011 | 0.012 | |
| P levels (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1.447 | 1.467 | 1.457 | 45.64 | 45.80 | 45.72 | 21.902 | 22.324 | 22.113 | 0.802 | 0.826 | 0.814 |
| 20 | 1.445 | 1.464 | 1.455 | 45.65 | 45.82 | 45.74 | 22.569 | 22.924 | 22.747 | 0.813 | 0.833 | 0.823 |
| 30 | 1.443 | 1.462 | 1.453 | 45.66 | 45.83 | 45.75 | 22.659 | 23.024 | 22.842 | 0.820 | 0.844 | 0.832 |
| 40 | 1.440 | 1.450 | 1.445 | 45.54 | 45.90 | 45.72 | 23.451 | 23.581 | 23.516 | 0.825 | 0.850 | 0.838 |
| SEm± | 0.0142 | 0.023 | | 0.312 | 0.298 | | 0.307 | 0.207 | | 0.005 | 0.005 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | | NS | NS | | 0.931 | 0.627 | | 0.016 | 0.017 | |
| Biofertilizers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No inoculation | 1.462 | 1.486 | 1.474 | 45.50 | 45.77 | 45.64 | 22.409 | 22.755 | 22.582 | 0.758 | 0.796 | 0.777 |
| PSB | 1.443 | 1.458 | 1.451 | 45.66 | 45.84 | 45.75 | 22.602 | 22.943 | 22.773 | 0.819 | 0.845 | 0.832 |
| VAM | 1.440 | 1.458 | 1.449 | 45.67 | 45.86 | 45.77 | 22.661 | 22.933 | 22.797 | 0.826 | 0.848 | 0.837 |
| PSB+VAM | 1.431 | 1.443 | 1.437 | 45.68 | 45.89 | 45.79 | 22.909 | 23.223 | 23.066 | 0.857 | 0.864 | 0.861 |
| SEm± | 0.011 | 0.022 | | 0.291 | 0.250 | | 0.193 | 0.136 | | 0.004 | 0.005 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | | NS | NS | , | NS | NS | | 0.011 | 0.014 | |

original plan of the layout and wheat variety Raj. 3765 was sown. Nitrogen was applied through urea @ 90 kg N ha⁻¹ in two equal splits at sowing and at first irrigation. Physico-chemical properties of soil after harvest of wheat crop were analyzed as per standard methods.

Results and Discussion

Soil properties

The bulk density of soil after harvest of black gram and succeeding wheat crop under FYM treated plots decreased as compared to control

Table 2. Residual effect of FYM, phosphorus levels and bio fertilizers on bulk density, CEC and organic carbon content of soil after harvest of succeeding wheat

| Treatments | Bulk o | density (g | g cc ⁻¹) | Po | rosity (% | 6) | CEC | [cmol (P+ |) kg-1] | Organ | nic carbo | on (%) |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|---------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean |
| FYM (t ha-1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1.46100 | 1.48500 | 1.473 | 44.8400 | 45.1300 | 44.99 | 20.5000 | 21.1900 | 20.85 | 0.7500 | 0.7600 | 0.760 |
| 5 | 1.42600 | 1.43600 | 1.431 | 45.8100 | 45.9000 | 45.86 | 22.5800 | 22.3800 | 22.48 | 0.8100 | 0.8200 | 0.820 |
| SEm± | 0.01016 | 0.01529 | | 0.2361 | 0.2814 | | 0.2008 | 0.1395 | | 0.0046 | 0.0051 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.03082 | 0.04638 | | 0.7162 | NS | | 0.6089 | 0.4232 | | 0.0141 | 0.0154 | |
| P levels (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1.44700 | 1.46700 | 1.457 | 45.3400 | 45.4800 | 45.41 | 20.8000 | 21.1400 | 20.97 | 0.6700 | 0.6700 | 0.670 |
| 20 | 1.44500 | 1.46400 | 1.455 | 45.3500 | 45.5000 | 45.43 | 21.4600 | 21.7400 | 21.60 | 0.7800 | 0.7800 | 0.780 |
| 30 | 1.44300 | 1.46200 | 1.453 | 45.3500 | 45.5100 | 45.43 | 21.5600 | 21.8400 | 21.70 | 0.7900 | 0.7900 | 0.790 |
| 40 | 1.44000 | 1.45000 | 1.445 | 45.3600 | 45.5800 | 45.47 | 22.3500 | 22.4000 | 22.38 | 0.7900 | 0.8000 | 0.800 |
| SEm± | 0.01437 | 0.02182 | | 0.3339 | 0.3979 | | 0.2839 | 0.1973 | | 0.0046 | 0.0051 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | | NS | NS | | 0.8612 | 0.5986 | | NS | NS | |
| Biofertilizers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No inoculation | 1.46200 | 1.48600 | 1.474 | 45.2000 | 45.4500 | 45.32 | 21.3100 | 21.5700 | 21.44 | 0.7700 | 0.7800 | 0.770 |
| PSB | 1.44300 | 1.45800 | 1.451 | 45.3600 | 45.5200 | 45.44 | 21.5000 | 21.7500 | 21.63 | 0.7800 | 0.7900 | 0.780 |
| VAM | 1.44000 | 1.45800 | 1.449 | 45.3700 | 45.5400 | 45.46 | 21.5600 | 21.7600 | 21.66 | 0.7900 | 0.7900 | 0.790 |
| PSB+VAM | 1.43100 | 1.44300 | 1.437 | 45.3800 | 45.5700 | 45.48 | 21.8000 | 22.0400 | 21.92 | 0.7900 | 0.8000 | 0.861 |
| SEm± | 0.01110 | 0.02121 | | 0.2942 | 0.3113 | | 0.1576 | 0.1446 | | 0.0055 | 0.0071 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | | NS | NS | | NS | NS | | NS | NS | |

Table 3. Effect of FYM, phosphorus levels and biofertilizers on available nitrogen, physphorus and potassium status of soil after harvest of black gram

| Treatments | Nit | rogen (kg h | ia ⁻¹) | Phos | phorus (kg | ha ⁻¹) | Pota | assium (kg | ha ⁻¹) |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--------|------------|--------------------|---------|------------|--------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean | 2003 | 2004 | Mean |
| FYM (t ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 284.870 | 280.340 | 282.60 | 19.500 | 20.290 | 19.89 | 359.550 | 329.250 | 344.40 |
| 5 | 301.180 | 298.990 | 300.08 | 23.860 | 24.550 | 24.20 | 370.840 | 343.340 | 357.09 |
| SEm± | 1.020 | 1.459 | | 0.104 | 0.098 | | 1.809 | 2.417 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 3.094 | 4.425 | | 0.315 | 0.299 | | 5.487 | 7.333 | |
| P levels (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 285.610 | 278.950 | 282.28 | 18.400 | 20.560 | 19.48 | 359.060 | 327.280 | 343.17 |
| 20 | 293.610 | 289.920 | 291.75 | 21.700 | 22.350 | 22.02 | 364.490 | 335.960 | 350.22 |
| 30 | 295.950 | 293.410 | 294.68 | 22.830 | 23.330 | 23.08 | 366.750 | 338.520 | 352.63 |
| 40 | 296.930 | 296.380 | 296.66 | 23.770 | 23.430 | 23.60 | 370.480 | 343.430 | 356.95 |
| SEm± | 1.442 | 2.063 | | 0.146 | 0.139 | | 2.558 | 3.419 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 4.375 | 6.258 | | 0.445 | 0.422 | | 7.760 | 10.372 | |
| Biofertilizers | | | | | | | | | |
| No inoculation | 289.510 | 284.710 | 287.11 | 18.890 | 20.830 | 19.86 | 361.150 | 332.790 | 346.97 |
| PSB | 293.940 | 290.850 | 292.39 | 22.270 | 22.570 | 22.42 | 366.020 | 337.240 | 351.63 |
| VAM | 293.970 | 290.940 | 292.46 | 22.500 | 22.780 | 22.64 | 366.060 | 337.460 | 351.76 |
| PSB+VAM | 294.680 | 291.160 | 293.42 | 23.050 | 23.500 | 23.27 | 367.530 | 337.700 | 352.61 |
| SEm± | 1.382 | 1.993 | | 0.133 | 0.137 | | 2.533 | 3.094 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 3.935 | 5.673 | | 0.379 | 0.390 | | NS | NS | |

resulting in significant increase in soil porosity (Table 1 and 2). Similar results were recorded by Babhulkar *et al.* (2000). The improvement in the soil physical properties might be due to increased organic matter and improved soil structure. Bhatnagar *et al.* (1992) also reported that the total

porosity was significantly higher in treatments receiving FYM. P application and bio-fertilizers did not significantly influence the bulk density and porosity (Table 1).

The CEC of soil was significantly increased by the application of 5 t ha⁻¹ of FYM and higher dose

Table 4. Residual effect of FYM, phosphorus levels and bio-fertilizers on available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (kg ha⁻¹) status of soil after harvest of succeeding wheat

| Treatments | Nit | rogen (kg h | na ⁻¹) | Phos | ohorus (kg | g ha ⁻¹) | Pota | assium (kg | ha ⁻¹) |
|--------------------|----------|-------------|--------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|---------|------------|--------------------|
| | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | Mean | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | Mean | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | Mean |
| FYM (t ha-1) | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 277.9700 | 273.560 | 275.77 | 17.40 | 18.120 | 17.76 | 340.000 | 309.050 | 324.52 |
| 5 | 285.1500 | 281.060 | 283.11 | 19.76 | 20.380 | 20.07 | 246.260 | 317.990 | 332.13 |
| SEm± | 1.0480 | 1.495 | | 0.114 | 0.105 | | 1.850 | 2.446 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 3.1790 | 4.534 | | 0.338 | 0.319 | | 5.610 | 7.420 | |
| P levels (kg ha-1) | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 276.9600 | 271.850 | 274.41 | 16.80 | 18.890 | 17.85 | 338.760 | 310.430 | 324.59 |
| 20 | 282.2100 | 277.140 | 279.67 | 18.60 | 19.180 | 18.89 | 341.890 | 311.110 | 326.50 |
| 30 | 283.0500 | 278.630 | 280.84 | 18.98 | 19.410 | 19.20 | 344.150 | 313.670 | 328.91 |
| 40 | 284.0300 | 281.600 | 282.82 | 19.92 | 19.520 | 19.72 | 347.730 | 318.880 | 333.30 |
| SEm± | 1.4820 | 2.114 | | 0.157 | 0.149 | | 2.616 | 3.443 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 4.4970 | 6.412 | | 0.478 | 0.451 | | NS | NS | |
| Biofertilizers | | | | | | | | | |
| No inoculation | 278.3600 | 273.240 | 275.80 | 15.79 | 17.660 | 16.73 | 339.090 | 309.940 | 324.51 |
| PSB | 282.4200 | 278.190 | 280.30 | 19.17 | 19.400 | 19.29 | 343.960 | 314.390 | 329.17 |
| VAM | 282.5700 | 278.290 | 280.43 | 19.40 | 19.610 | 19.51 | 344.000 | 314.610 | 329.31 |
| PSB+VAM | 282.9000 | 279.500 | 281.20 | 19.95 | 20.330 | 20.14 | 345.470 | 315.150 | 330.31 |
| SEm± | 1.4720 | 2.009 | | 0.152 | 0.143 | | 2.557 | 3.202 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | | 0.432 | 0.408 | | NS | NS | |

24 RATHORE et al.

Table 5. Effect of FYM and phosphorus levels on yield of black gram (Pooled over two years)

| Phosphorus | F | YM levels (t ha | a ⁻¹) | FYM levels (t ha-1) | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|--|
| (kg ha ⁻¹) | 0 | 5 | Mean | 0 | 5 | Mean | |
| | S | eed yield (q ha | Stover yield (q ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
| 0 | 7.430 | 9.010 | 8.220 | 13.850 | 16.770 | 15.310 | |
| 20 | 8.040 | 10.070 | 9.060 | 15.220 | 19.100 | 17.160 | |
| 30 | 8.460 | 11.110 | 9.780 | 16.110 | 21.160 | 18.630 | |
| 40 | 9.260 | 11.470 | 10.360 | 17.640 | 21.850 | 19.740 | |
| Mean | 8.300 | 10.470 | | 15.710 | 19.720 | | |
| | FYM | P | FYM x P | FYM | P | FYM x P | |
| SEm± | 0.048 | 0.067 | 0.095 | 0.091 | 0.129 | 0.183 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.138 | 0.198 | 0.276 | 0.264 | 0.374 | 0.529 | |

FYM - Farm yard manure.

of phosphorus (40 kg ha⁻¹). The OC of soil after the harvest of black gram and wheat was higher where FYM had been applied (Table 1 and 2). The highest OC content was recorded where FYM was applied @ 5 t ha⁻¹, it may be due to addition of organic manure, which stimulated the growth and activity of micro-

before start of the experiment (Table 3 and 4). The increase was maximum where FYM (5 t ha⁻¹) and phosphorus (40 kg ha⁻¹) were applied. It may be due to sufficient nutrients supplied through FYM and P fertilizers (Rao *et al.*, 1987). The results clearly indicate that the legume crop fixed and increased the

Table 6. Effect of FYM and biofertilizers on yield of black gram (Pooled over two years)

| Biofertilizers | | FYM levels (t ha | -1) | FYM levels (t ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|----------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------------------------------|--------|----------|--|--|
| | 0 | 5 | Mean | 0 | 5 | Mean | | |
| | | Seed yield (q ha | -1) | Stover yield (q ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
| Control | 7.930 | 9.610 | 8.770 | 15.010 | 18.180 | 16.590 | | |
| PSB | 8.180 | 10.310 | 9.250 | 15.470 | 19.520 | 17.500 | | |
| VAM | 8.290 | 10.590 | 9.440 | 15.690 | 20.040 | 17.860 | | |
| PSB+VAM | 8.790 | 11.150 | 9.970 | 16.650 | 21.140 | 18.880 | | |
| Mean | 8.300 | 10.410 | | | | | | |
| | FYM | BF | FYM x BF | FYM | BF | FYM x BF | | |
| SEm± | 0.048 | 0.064 | 0.090 | 0.091 | 0.122 | 0.183 | | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.138 | 0.179 | 0.253 | 0.264 | 0.343 | 0.529 | | |

BF - Biofertilizer, FYM - Farm yard manure.

organisms and also due to better root growth. These observations are in conformity with the findings of Varalakshmi *et al.* (2005). Higher production of biomass might have also increased the OC content (Babhulkar *et al.*, 2000).

The available nitrogen in soil after harvest of both the crops was higher than the initial content available nitrogen content in the soil. Similar results were reported by Rao (2003) and Badanar *et al.* (1990).

The available phosphorus content in soil increased significantly due to various nutrient management practices (Table 3 and 4) and was highest with application of 5 t FYM ha⁻¹ and 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ and dual inoculation with PSB and VAM

Table 7. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on yield of black gram (Pooled over two years)

| Biofertilizers | | P1 | evels (kg h | a ⁻¹) | | P levels (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------------|------|------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--|
| | 0 | 20 | 30 | 40 | Mean | 0 | 20 | 30 | 40 | Mean | |
| | | See | d yield (q l | na ⁻¹) | | Stover yield (q ha ⁻¹) | | | | | |
| Control | 7.780 | 8.770 | 9.120 | 9.40 | 8.77 | 14.480 | 16.620 | 17.380 | 17.90 | 16.59 | |
| PSB | 8.060 | 9.090 | 9.640 | 10.20 | 9.25 | 15.000 | 17.220 | 18.370 | 19.43 | 17.50 | |
| VAM | 8.270 | 9.270 | 9.820 | 10.39 | 9.44 | 15.400 | 17.260 | 18.710 | 19.79 | 17.86 | |
| PSB+VAM | 8.770 | 9.100 | 10.550 | 11.47 | 9.97 | 16.360 | 17.550 | 20.090 | 21.85 | 18.88 | |
| Mean | 8.220 | 9.060 | 9.780 | 10.36 | | 15.310 | 17.160 | 18.630 | 19.74 | | |
| | P | BF | P x BF | | | P | BF | P x BF | | | |
| SEm± | 0.067 | 0.064 | 0.128 | | | 0.129 | 0.122 | 0.244 | | | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.195 | 0.179 | 0.358 | | | 0.374 | 0.343 | 0.686 | | | |
| DE DI 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |

BF - Biofertilizer.

Table 8. Residual effect of FYM, phosphorus levels and bio-fertilizers on yield of succeeding wheat

| Treatments | Gı | rain yield (q ha | a ⁻¹) | St | raw yield (q ha | a ⁻¹) |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | Pooled | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | Pooled |
| FYM (t ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 40.900 | 52.070 | 46.490 | 60.230 | 77.460 | 68.840 |
| 5 | 45.420 | 54.520 | 49.970 | 65.970 | 81.450 | 73.710 |
| SEm± | 0.502 | 0.631 | 0.403 | 0.520 | 0.489 | 0.357 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 1.521 | 1.914 | 1.680 | 1.578 | 1.482 | 1.034 |
| P levels (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 37.620 | 48.970 | 43.300 | 50.970 | 70.190 | 60.580 |
| 20 | 42.380 | 52.200 | 47.200 | 60.810 | 76.920 | 68.870 |
| 30 | 45.380 | 55.030 | 50.200 | 67.830 | 82.790 | 75.310 |
| 40 | 47.260 | 56.970 | 52.110 | 72.810 | 87.910 | 80.360 |
| SEm± | 0.709 | 0.892 | 0.570 | 0.736 | 0.691 | 0.505 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 2.152 | 2.707 | 1.651 | 2.320 | 2.096 | 1.462 |
| Biofertilizers | | | | | | |
| No inoculation | 40.370 | 48.130 | 44.250 | 56.400 | 72.480 | 64.440 |
| PSB | 43.320 | 53.370 | 48.350 | 63.660 | 80.000 | 71.830 |
| VAM | 43.510 | 53.850 | 48.680 | 64.080 | 80.460 | 72.270 |
| PSB+VAM | 45.440 | 57.830 | 51.630 | 68.280 | 84.870 | 76.570 |
| SEm± | 0.643 | 0.680 | 0.468 | 0.595 | 0.573 | 0.413 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 1.831 | 1.935 | 1.314 | 1.695 | 1.632 | 1.160 |

bio-fertilizers. Incorporation of FYM along with inorganic P increased the availability of P and this may be due to reduction in fixation of water soluble P, increased mineralization of organic P due to microbial action and enhanced availability of P (Varalakshmi *et al.*, 2005). Inoculation of black gram seed with PSB and VAM enhances available P status of soil by solublizing bound phosphate into available forms (Singh and Yadav, 2008).

Application of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ in black gram crop significantly increased the available potassium content of soil after harvest of black gram and succeeding wheat crop. The higher availability of potassium due to FYM may be ascribed to the reduction of K fixation and release of K due to the interaction of organic matter with clay (Tandon, 1987). Similar results were reported by Jagadeeshwari and Kumaraswamy (2000).

Crop yields

The seed and stover yields of black gram increased significantly due to application of FYM, P and inoculation with various bio-fertilizers. Interaction effect of FYM and P, FYM and bio-fertilizers, and P and bio-fertilizers on seed and stover yield of black gram was found significant (Table 5 to 7). In general, combined application of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹, 5 t ha⁻¹ of FYM + dual inoculation of PSB + VAM and 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ + dual inoculation of PSB + VAM gave higher seed

and stover yields of black gram. This combination was found significantly superior over other combinations. However, the interaction effect of FYM and P, FYM and bio-fertilizers and P and bio-fertilizers was non-significant with respect to grain and straw yields of residual succeeding wheat crop (Table 8). It might be attributed to the response of wheat to the residual effect of nutrients and legume crop on account of balanced supply of inorganic P fertilizers, FYM and bio-fertilizers. These results are in line with the findings of Anilkumar *et al.* (2003) and Singh and Yadav (2008).

This study indicated that integrated use of 5 t FYM ha⁻¹, 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and dual inoculation of PSB and VAM resulted in significantly higher seed/grain and stover/straw yield of black gram/wheat as well as improved fertility status of soil.

References

Anilkumar, B.H., Sharanappa, K.T., Krishne Gowda and Sudhir, K. 2003. Growth, yield and nutrient uptake as influenced by integrated nutrient management in dryland finger millet. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Science 37: 24-28.

Babhulkar, R.M., Wandle, W.P., Badole and Balpande, S.S. 2000. Residual effect of long term application of FYM and fertilizer on soil properties (Vertisols) and yield of soybean. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 48: 89-92.

Badanur, V.P., Poleshi, C.M. and Naik, B.K. 1990. Effect of organic matter on crop yield and physical and 26 RATHORE et al.

chemical properties of Vertisol. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 38: 426-429.

- Bhatnagar, V.K., Kundu, S. and Ved Prakash 1992. Effect of long-term manuring and fertilization on soil physical properties under soybean (*Glysine max*) wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping sequence. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 3: 212-214.
- Jagadeeshwari, P.V. and Kumaraswamy, K. 2000. Long term effect of manure, fertilizer schedule on the yield and nutrient uptake by rice crop in a permanent manurial experiment. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 48: 833-836.
- Mellakki, M.A. and Vadanur, V.P. 2000. Residual effect of crop residues in conjunction with organics, inorganics and cellulolytic organisms on chickpea grown on Vertisol. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 48: 392-395.
- Rao, M.R., Rego, T.J. and Wiley, R.W. 1987. Response of cereals to nitrogen in sole cropping and intercropping with different legumes. *Plant and Soil* 101: 167-177.

- Rao, S.S. 2003. Nutrient balance and economics of integrated nutrient management in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal* 90: 465-471.
- Singh, R.S. and Yadav, M.K. 2008. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of long duration pigeonpea under rainfed condition. *Journal of Food Legume*. 21: 46-48.
- Tandon, H.L.S. 1987. *Phosphorus Research and Agricultural Production in India*. FDCO, New Delhi.
- Varalakshmi, L.R., Srinivasmurthy, C.A. and Bhaskar, S. 2005. Effect of integrated use of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on organic carbon, available N, P and K in sustaining productivity of groundnut-fingernillet cropping system. *Journal of The Indian Society of Soil Science* 53: 315-318.
- Venkateswarlu, B. and Wani, S.P. 1999. Bio-fertilizers: An important component of integrated plant nutrient supply (IPNS) in dry lands. In *Fifty Years of Dry land Agricultural Research in India*, pp. 379-394. Central Research Institute for Dry land Agriculture, Hyderabad.