



ISAH Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture Year 2024, Volume-6, Issue-2 (July-December)

Enhancing performance and profitability of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) through growing methods and bio-regulators in semi-arid region

Pooja Devi Meena¹, O.P. Garhwal², L.N. Bairwa³, R.K. Bagri⁴, O.P. Meena⁵, R.N. Sharma⁶, Uadal Singh⁷ and R.K. Sharma⁸

^{1,2,3}Deptt. of Horticulture, SKNAU, Jobner, Jaipur-303329, Rajasthan

⁴Deptt. of Plant Pathology, RARI, Durgapura

⁵Deptt. of Agronomy, RARI, Durgapura

⁶Deptt. of Extension Education, SKNAU, Jobner

⁷Deptt. of Horticulture, RARI, Durgapura

⁸Deptt. of Seed technology, COH&F, Jhalawar

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 07 February 2025

Accepted: 10 March 2025

Keywords: Bottle gourd, growing methods, bio-regulators, yield, quality

doi:10.48165/ijah.2024.6.2.11

ABSTRACT

The study revealed the different treatment combination of growing methods (vertical and horizontal) and foliar application of bio-regulators (control, NAA at 200 ppm, GA₃ at 150 ppm, ethrel at 200 ppm, and salicylic acid at 150 ppm) in bottle gourd significantly influenced the yield, quality and profitability of bottle gourd in semi-arid conditions. The results indicated that the vertical trailing system registered significantly higher vine length (70.12 cm), number of nodes (25.86), number of leaves (24.73), leaf area (518.18 cm²), fruit length (17.70 cm), number of fruits/ vine (4.08), fruit weight (0.78 kg), fruit yield/ vine (3.19 kg), TSS (3.96 %), total sugar (3.07 g/100 g), crude protein (0.51%) and Ascorbic acid (11.72 mg/100g). Similarly, foliar application of GA₃ at 50 ppm registered maximum vine length (67.83 cm), number of nodes (25.76), number of leaves (24.30), leaf area (523.14 cm²), fruit length (17.92 cm), number of fruits/ vine (4.13), fruit weight (0.77 kg), fruit yield/ vine (3.16 kg), TSS (3.91%), total sugar (3.08 g/100 g), crude protein (0.52 %) and Ascorbic acid (11.44 mg/100g) and greater net returns as compared to control.

Introduction

Bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Stand L.] is a commonly grown and used vegetable in India. It belongs to the family Cucurbitaceae. Besides being an important vegetable crop, it also has good medicinal as well as nutritional value. The fruits are also used as a vegetable or for making sweets (*halwa*, *kheer*, *petha*, *barfi*, and pickles). It is economically found growing in Ethiopia, Africa, and Central America. In India, bottle gourd is grown on an area of 200,000 hectares with an annual production of 3274,000 metric tonnes (Anonymous, 2023). It occupies 5200 hectares

of land in Rajasthan, producing 26982 metric tonnes (Anonymous, 2023).

The traditional method of planting bottle gourd tends to restrict light penetration to lower leaves and thus reduce the photosynthetic efficiency. The dense vine canopy also hampers proper air circulation and enhances high humidity, which can promote the occurrence and spread of diseases. As vining plant, bottle gourd grows best with support, which keeps them off the ground and encourages straight fruit growth. Since trailing allows vertical growth instead of sprawling all over the plot, it not only keeps the produce off the ground, but it also allows for the growth of more plants

Corresponding author

Email: poojameena480@gmail.com (P.D. Meena)

in a smaller area.

The yield of cucurbit depends to a great extent on sex expression and sex ratio. Early nodes bear male flowers and higher amounts, whereas hermaphrodites and pistillate flowers are found in later nodes. These results in delaying harvesting as well as yield reduction. The problem can be overcome by the exogenous application of plant growth regulators as well as bio-regulators. The host of physiological processes involved in crop development, such as blooming and fruiting, are controlled by plant growth regulators. Additionally, they are employed in the germination, inhibition of growth and post-harvest ripening of assimilates. Bio-regulators are employed in very tiny amounts to control plant development. They also govern the development of the shoot and the root. Bio-regulators directly affect male and female flower ratio, fruit set, fruit drop, and ultimately yield (Bose *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, the use of bio-regulators like NAA, ethrel, and GA₃ in bottle gourd may become an important tool for yield enhancement as well as timely harvest. Thus, the study was undertaken to investigate the effect of vertical trailing system and bio-regulators on yield and quality of bottle gourd.

Material and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during the *summer* seasons of 2022 and 2023 on bottle gourd cv. Pusa Santushti at the Horticulture Farm, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. The experimental site is situated at 26.50° North latitude and 75.47° East longitude at an altitude of 390 meters above mean sea level. In Rajasthan, this region falls under agro-climatic zone-III (Semi-Arid Eastern Plains). The region has a typically semi-arid climate characterized by dry atmosphere.

The experiment consisted of 10 treatment combinations with two growing methods and five levels of bio-regulators in a factorial randomized block design with three replications. In growing method, vertical trailing system (G₁) and horizontal/traditional system (G₂) were used to raise the crop. The five levels of bio-regulators viz., control (B₁), NAA at 200 ppm (B₂), GA₃ at 50 ppm (B₃), ethrel at 200 ppm (B₄), and salicylic acid at 150 ppm (B₅) were applied as a foliar spray at 2-true leaf stage. Appropriate standard and uniform agronomical and cultural practices and plant protection measures were adopted for raising a healthy crop. The observations on growth and yield parameters were recorded during the investigation on five plants, which were randomly selected from each treatment.

Total soluble solids (TSS) per cent of randomly selected fruits was determined with the help of a digital refractometer at the time of harvesting fruits. The total sugar content, protein content, and ascorbic acid content of the fruit were determined following the procedures outlined by A.O.A.C. (1980).

In calculating the benefit:cost, only fruit yield has been considered as the product. The total cost of cultivation and gross income were estimated on the basis of the average fruit yield per hectare for each treatment. The cost of cultivation included money spent on preparatory tillage, seed costs, plant growth regulators (NAA, GA₃, ethrel, and salicylic acid), manures and fertilizers, irrigation, weeding, interculture operations, plant protection, harvesting, and various labour charges, etc. The gross income was estimated by calculating returns from the sale of fruits. The net income was calculated by deducting the total cost of cultivation from the gross income.

Results and Discussion

The growing method and foliar spray of bio-regulators had a significant impact on the growth parameters of bottle gourd (Table 1). The vertical trailing system registered higher vine length (70.12 cm), number of nodes (25.86), number of leaves (24.73), leaf area (518.18 cm²) and number of secondary branches (4.04) at 45 DAS over the traditional method of growing. However, it did not affect the number of primary branches, which remained consistent at 5.34, showing no significant difference. The trailing system provided better scope for plants to exploit sunlight, which resulted in higher photosynthetic activity, assimilation of carbohydrates and increased plant growth. The results corroborate the findings of Kalyanrao *et al.* (2012), Sharma *et al.* (2016) and Singh *et al.* (2023) in bottle gourd.

The results clearly demonstrate that foliar application of GA₃ at 50 ppm significantly increased the vine length (67.83 cm), number of nodes (25.76), number of leaves (24.30), leaf area (523.14 cm²) and number of primary branches (5.52) as compared to other treatments in pooled analysis. However, the number of secondary branches were recorded higher with the foliar application of ethrel at 200 ppm, followed by GA₃ at 50 ppm. The results are in agreement with Soni *et al.* (2015) and Ansari and Chowdhary (2018).

The pooled data on yield and yield-attributing characters presented in Table 2 indicate a significant difference in the effect of growing methods and bio-regulators on bottle gourd. It indicated that significantly higher fruit length (17.70 cm), number of fruits/ vine (4.08), average fruit weight (0.78 kg), fruit yield/ vine (3.19 kg) and marketable fruit yield/ ha (255.06 q) were recorded with the trailing method as compared to the traditional method. The better fruit length in trailing may be due to the gravitational force and the better fruit weight may result from the translocation of more dry matter from the source to these components, which have significant growth characteristics. These results are in conformation with the results of Kalyanrao *et al.* (2012) in bottle gourd, Ahmed *et al.* (2021) in ridge gourd, and Khan *et al.* (2021) in bitter gourd.

Table 1. Effect of growing methods and bio-regulators on growth parameters of bottle gourd

| Treatments | Vine length (cm) (45 DAS) | | | No. of nodes | | | No. of leaves | | | Leaf area (cm ²) | | | No. of primary branches | | | No. of secondary branches | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------|--------|--------------|---------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|---------|--------|-------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled |
| (A.) Growing methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trailing method (G ₁) | 70.25 | 70 | 70.12 | 25.71 | 26.02 | 25.86 | 24.66 | 24.80 | 24.73 | 513.60 | 522.77 | 518.18 | 5.25 | 5.43 | 5.34 | 4.07 | 4.02 | 4.04 |
| Traditional method (G ₂) | 57.78 | 59.46 | 58.62 | 23.00 | 23.15 | 23.07 | 21.73 | 22.29 | 22.01 | 467.44 | 477.59 | 472.51 | 5.03 | 5.19 | 5.11 | 3.66 | 3.95 | 3.81 |
| SEM± | 1.06 | 1.01 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.30 | 6.93 | 7.81 | 5.22 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 3.14 | 2.99 | 2.09 | 1.09 | 1.12 | 0.76 | 0.99 | 1.51 | 0.87 | 20.60 | 23.22 | 14.98 | NS | NS | NS | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.11 |
| (B.) Bio-regulators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (water spray) B ₁ | 57.65 | 58.44 | 58.05 | 22.15 | 22.69 | 22.42 | 20.92 | 21.12 | 21.02 | 442.14 | 453.48 | 447.81 | 4.61 | 4.75 | 4.68 | 3.43 | 3.55 | 3.49 |
| NAA @ 200 ppm (B ₂) | 66.76 | 67.19 | 66.98 | 25.26 | 25.35 | 25.31 | 23.81 | 24.21 | 24.01 | 512.42 | 515.62 | 514.02 | 5.32 | 5.49 | 5.41 | 3.98 | 4.16 | 4.07 |
| GA ₃ @ 50 ppm (B ₃) | 67.56 | 68.1 | 67.83 | 25.69 | 25.82 | 25.76 | 24.10 | 24.49 | 24.30 | 516.81 | 529.46 | 523.14 | 5.42 | 5.61 | 5.52 | 4.10 | 4.18 | 4.14 |
| Ethrel @ 200 ppm (B ₄) | 67.1 | 67.82 | 67.46 | 25.46 | 25.51 | 25.49 | 23.92 | 24.35 | 24.14 | 515.69 | 523.85 | 519.77 | 5.39 | 5.56 | 5.48 | 4.12 | 4.21 | 4.17 |
| Salicylic acid @ 150 ppm (B ₅) | 61.01 | 62.1 | 61.56 | 23.20 | 23.54 | 23.37 | 22.23 | 22.54 | 22.39 | 465.52 | 478.49 | 472.01 | 4.96 | 5.12 | 5.04 | 3.69 | 3.82 | 3.76 |
| SEM± | 1.67 | 1.59 | 1.15 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.80 | 0.48 | 10.96 | 12.36 | 8.26 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.06 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 4.97 | 4.73 | 3.31 | 1.72 | 1.78 | 1.19 | 1.56 | 2.38 | 1.37 | 32.57 | 36.71 | 23.69 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.18 |

Table 2. Effect of growing methods and bio-regulators on yield attributes and yield of bottle gourd

| Treat- ments | Fruit length (cm) | | | No. of fruits/ vine | | | Fruit weight (kg) | | | Fruit yield/ vine (kg) | | | Fruit yield (q/ ha) | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|-------------|--------|
| | 2021- 22 | 2022- 23 | Pooled | 2021- 22 | 2022- 23 | Pooled | 2021- 22 | 2022- 23 | Pooled | 2021- 22 | 2022- 23 | Pooled | 2021- 22 | 2022- 23 | Pooled |
| Growing methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trailing method (G ₁) | 17.62 | 17.78 | 17.70 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.08 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 3.12 | 3.26 | 3.19 | 249.39 | 260.73 | 255.06 |
| Tradi- tional method (G ₂) | 16.93 | 17.06 | 17.00 | 3.98 | 4.03 | 4.01 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 2.69 | 2.76 | 2.73 | 214.95 | 221.19 | 218.07 |
| SEm± | 0.19 | 0.2 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 3.25 | 3.42 | 2.38 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 9.67 | 10.17 | 6.83 |
| (B.) Bio-regulators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (water spray) B ₁ | 16.25 | 16.42 | 16.34 | 3.88 | 3.93 | 3.91 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 2.62 | 2.69 | 2.65 | 209.32 | 215.21 | 212.26 |
| NAA @ 200 ppm (B ₂) | 17.45 | 17.64 | 17.55 | 4.05 | 4.10 | 4.08 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.74 | 2.94 | 3.06 | 3.00 | 235.14 | 244.63 | 239.89 |
| GA ₃ @ 50 ppm (B ₃) | 17.88 | 17.96 | 17.92 | 4.1 | 4.15 | 4.13 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 3.10 | 3.22 | 3.16 | 247.95 | 257.6 | 252.78 |
| Ethrel @ 200 ppm (B ₄) | 17.65 | 17.72 | 17.69 | 4.08 | 4.13 | 4.11 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 2.96 | 3.12 | 3.04 | 237.02 | 249.78 | 243.4 |
| Salicylic acid @ 150 ppm (B ₅) | 17.16 | 17.35 | 17.26 | 3.99 | 4.04 | 4.02 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 2.89 | 2.97 | 2.93 | 231.44 | 237.57 | 234.5 |
| SEm± | 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 5.15 | 5.41 | 3.76 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.62 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 15.29 | 16.09 | 10.79 |

Table 3. Effect of growing methods and bio-regulators on quality parameters of bottle gourd

| Treatments | TSS content (%) | | | Total sugar content (g/100g) | | | Crude protein (%) | | | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) | | |
|---|-----------------|------|--------|---------------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------|--------|-------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled |
| (A.) Growing methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trailing method (G ₁) | 3.95 | 3.98 | 3.96 | 3.08 | 3.06 | 3.07 | 0.5 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 11.7 | 11.73 | 11.72 |
| Traditional method (G ₂) | 3.44 | 3.46 | 3.45 | 2.67 | 2.79 | 2.73 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 10.05 | 10.18 | 10.11 |
| SEm± | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.09 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.25 |
| (B.) Bio-regulators | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Control (water spray) B ₁ | 3.32 | 3.34 | 3.33 | 2.57 | 2.61 | 2.59 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 9.82 | 9.88 | 9.85 |
| NAA @ 200 ppm (B ₂) | 3.84 | 3.81 | 3.83 | 2.95 | 3.01 | 2.98 | 0.48 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 11.26 | 11.36 | 11.31 |
| GA ₃ @ 50 ppm (B ₃) | 3.88 | 3.94 | 3.91 | 3.05 | 3.1 | 3.08 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 11.41 | 11.46 | 11.44 |
| Ethrel @ 200 ppm (B ₄) | 3.86 | 3.88 | 3.87 | 2.99 | 3.05 | 3.02 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 11.35 | 11.42 | 11.39 |
| Salicylic acid @ 150 ppm (B ₅) | 3.58 | 3.61 | 3.60 | 2.82 | 2.85 | 2.84 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 10.54 | 10.65 | 10.60 |
| SEm± | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.14 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.40 |

Table 4. Effect of growing methods and bio regulators on economics of bottle gourd

| Treatments | Season | Common cost (₹/ ha) | Treatment cost (₹/ ha) | Total cost (₹/ ha) | Yield (q/ ha) | Gross return (₹/ ha) | Net returns (₹/ ha) | B:C ratio |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| G ₁ B ₁ | 2022 | 81568 | 24800 | 106368 | 188.00 | 206928 | 100560 | 1.95 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 24800 | 106368 | 196.63 | 235953 | 129585 | 2.22 |
| G ₁ B ₂ | 2022 | 81568 | 25008 | 106576 | 262.00 | 287993 | 181417 | 2.70 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 25008 | 106576 | 277.92 | 333506 | 226930 | 3.13 |
| G ₁ B ₃ | 2022 | 81568 | 26000 | 107568 | 282.00 | 309770 | 202202 | 2.88 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 26000 | 107568 | 294.71 | 353648 | 246080 | 3.29 |
| G ₁ B ₄ | 2022 | 81568 | 25248 | 106816 | 277.00 | 304632 | 197816 | 2.85 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 25248 | 106816 | 289.95 | 347945 | 241129 | 3.26 |
| G ₁ B ₅ | 2022 | 81568 | 24998 | 106566 | 235.00 | 258900 | 152334 | 2.43 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 24998 | 106566 | 241.25 | 289503 | 182937 | 2.72 |
| G ₂ B ₁ | 2022 | 81568 | 0 | 81568 | 224.00 | 246740 | 165172 | 3.02 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 0.00 | 81568 | 227.51 | 273014 | 191446 | 3.35 |
| G ₂ B ₂ | 2022 | 81568 | 208 | 81776 | 208.00 | 229326 | 147550 | 2.80 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 208 | 81776 | 211.34 | 253610 | 171834 | 3.10 |
| G ₂ B ₃ | 2022 | 81568 | 1200 | 82768 | 214.00 | 235729 | 152961 | 2.85 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 1200 | 82768 | 220.50 | 264601 | 181833 | 3.20 |
| G ₂ B ₄ | 2022 | 81568 | 448 | 82016 | 197.00 | 216808 | 134792 | 2.64 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 448 | 82016 | 209.61 | 251535 | 169519 | 3.07 |
| G ₂ B ₅ | 2022 | 81568 | 198 | 81766 | 218.00 | 239832 | 158066 | 2.93 |
| | 2023 | 81568 | 198 | 81766 | 224.27 | 269121 | 187355 | 3.29 |

Bottle gourd sold @ ₹ 11/- per kg

The yield and yield attributes were significantly enhanced by the foliar application of GA₃ at 50 ppm. The maximum fruit length (17.92 cm), number of fruits/ vine (4.13), average fruit weight (0.77 kg), fruit yield/ vine (3.16 kg), and fruit yield/ ha (252.78 q) were recorded with the application of GA₃ at 50 ppm over other treatments in pooled data. The results obtained are in agreement with Hidayatullah *et al.* (2012), Wamiq *et al.* (2020) and Rapha (2022) in bottle gourd. It is evident from Table 3 that the growing methods and

bio-regulators also had a significant effect on the quality parameters of bottle gourd. The combined data on quality measures maximum TSS (3.96%), total sugar (3.07 g/100 g), crude protein (0.51 %) and ascorbic acid (11.72 mg/100g) content showed a considerable improvement in the trailing method compared to the traditional bottle gourd-growing method (Table 3). These results are in agreement with Kartika and Karyana (2017) in Sphagetti squash and Rajalingam *et al.* (2017) in cucumber.

Among the bioregulators the highest values of the TSS (3.91%), total sugars (3.08 g/100 g), crude protein (0.52%) and ascorbic acid content (11.44 mg/100 g) in pooled analysis of bottle gourd fruit tissues were gained by foliar spray of GA₃ as compared to other treatments and control. The findings corroborate the results obtained by Shafeek *et al.* (2016) in summer squash, Kadi *et al.* (2018) in cucumber, Anayat *et al.* (2020) in bitter gourd and Pandey *et al.* (2021) in cucumber.

The data presented in Table 4 clearly indicates that the net returns and B:C ratio of bottle gourd were significantly impacted by the growing methods and bioregulators. In a pooled analysis, the maximum and significantly higher net returns (₹ 186824 per ha) were recorded using the trailing way of growing as opposed to the traditional method. With the exception of ethrel at 200 ppm, which was deemed to be at par in the pooled analysis, the application of GA₃ at 50 ppm obtained the largest and noticeably greater net returns (₹ 195919 per ha) over control.

In a pooled examination, bottle gourd grown using the traditional approach had a maximum and noticeably better B:C ratio (3.06) than those grown using the trailing method. The foliar spraying of GA₃ at 50 ppm considerably boosted the B:C ratio. During the testing, it registered the highest and noticeably better bottle gourd B:C ratio (3.06) than control in pooled analysis. Nonetheless, in the pooled analysis, the foliar application of NAA at 200 ppm, ethrel at 200 ppm and salicylic acid at 150 ppm remained comparable to one another.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the bottle gourd cultivar 'Pusa Santusthi', when grown using the trailing method (vertical system) and sprayed at 2-true leaf stage with a 50 ppm foliar spray of GA₃, produced a significantly higher marketable fruit yield and superior quality fruits, resulting in maximum net returns under semi-arid conditions.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan and Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan, for providing necessary facilities to conduct the experiment.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

Data Sharing

All relevant data are within the manuscript.

References

- A.O.A.C. 1980. Official Methods of Analysis. 13th Edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- Ahmed, I., Ahmed, N., Waheed, A., Khan, M. A., Khan, N. and Ahmed, F. 2021. Impact of growing methods and direction of sowing on the plant growth and seed production of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* Roxb). *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 37(3): 747-753.
- Anayat, R., Mufti, S., Rashid, Z., Wani, S. and Khan, I. M. 2020. Effect of gibberellic acid and cycocel on yield and quality of bitter gourd. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences*, 8:402-406.
- Anonymous. 2023. Area and Production of Horticulture Crops (2022-2023). 2nd advance estimates. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Ansari, A. M. and Chowdhary, B. M. 2018. Effects of boron and plant growth regulators on bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, SP1:202-206.
- Bose, T.K., Som, M.G. and Kabir, J. 1999. Flowering, sex mechanism, pollination and fruit set in Vegetable Crops. Naya Prakash Calcutta. Pp. 53-54.
- Hidayatullah, Mahmood, T., Farooq, M., Khokhar, M. A. and Hussain, S.I. 2012. Plant growth regulators affecting sex expression of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* Molina) plants. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 25(1):50-54.
- Kadi, A. S., Asati, K. P., Barche, S. and Tulasigeri, R. G. 2018. Effect of different plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality parameters in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under polyhouse condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(4):3339-3352.
- Kalyanrao, B.T. and Singh, B. 2012. Influence of vertical trailing on seed yield and quality during seed production of bottlegourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) cv. Pusa Hybrid-3. *Seed Research*, 40(2):139-144.
- Kartika, J. G. and Karyana, S. W. 2017. The use of trellis and mulch increased fruit production of Spaghetti squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). *Journal of Tropical Crop Science*, 4(3):86-93.
- Khan, A., Hussain, Q., Asim, M., Khan, N., Habibullah, Ullah, R., Ali, M., Khan, M., Hussain, A and Naeem, A. 2021.

- Evaluation of bitter gourd varieties on different methods of cultivation. *Pure and Applied Biology*, 11(1):58-71.
- Pandey, P., Shukla, I. N. and Upadhyay, A. 2021. Effect of different plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality parameters of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) cv. Kalyanpur green. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 10(1):2681-2684.
- Rajalingam, G. V., Rajasree, V., Arumugam, T. and Saraswathi, T. 2017. Influence of different training systems in cucumber under naturally ventilated poly house. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 5(6):1453-1455.
- Rapha, S. E. 2022. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(1): 912-914.
- Shafeek, M.R., Helmy, Y.I., Ahmed, A.A. and Ghoname, A.A. 2016. Effect of foliar application of growth regulators (GA_3 and Ethrel) on growth, sex expression and yield of summer squash plants (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) under plastic house condition. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, 9(6):70-76.
- Sharma, R. K., Tomar, B. S., Singh, S. P. and Kumar, A. 2016. Effect of growing methods on seed yield and quality in bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 86(3):373-378.
- Singh, A. P., Topno, S. E. and Prasad, V. M. 2023. Effect of different training system on growth, yield and quality of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* L.) under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 35(17):102-108.
- Soni, S., Singh, K. V., Singh, B., Malik, S., Singh, S. K. and Singh, M. K. 2015. Impact of foliar application of plant bioregulators on morphological, floral and yield traits in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.] cv. Pusa Naveen. *Progressive Agriculture*, 15(2):268-271.
- Wamiq, M., Prasad, V.M., Deepanshu and Kaushal, G.S. (2020). Effect of GA_3 and NAA on yield of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) cv. MGH-4. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(10):2217-2221.