



SHORT COMMUNICATION

Influence of Seaweed (*Sargassum johnstonii*) Supplementation on *in vitro* Digestibility and Methane Production

Sarishti Katwal^{1*}, M.M. Trivedi², P.R. Pandya³, M.Y. Bhavsar³ and D.T. Prajapati

Department of Livestock Production and Management,
College of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry Anand,
Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388001, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to evaluate the effect of seaweed (*Sargassum johnstonii*) supplementation to substrate simulating total mixed rations (TMRs) on *in vitro* digestibility and methane production. Different substrates were formulated using concentrates and wheat straw in ratio of 60:40 and used as basal diet. Seaweed was incorporated at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10% level and incubated for 24 h. There was no significant difference in *in vitro* methane production and DM digestibility among groups. Therefore, inclusion of macroalgae (*S. johnstonii*) upto 8% level in TMR had no adverse effect on *in vitro* DM digestibility and methane emission.

Key words: *In vitro* methane, IVDMD, Seaweed, Mixed substrates

Marine macroalgae or seaweeds are found both in intertidal and deep water of coastal region where 0.01% photosynthetic light is available and belongs to three division: Brown algae (Phaeophyta), Green algae (Chlorophyta) and Red algae (Rhodophyta). The anti-methanogenic properties of macroalgae and macroalgae-based functional products have been demonstrated by *in vitro* studies (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Kinley and Fredeen, 2015), but there is much heterogeneity in the anti-methanogenic potency between types and species of macroalgae. So, aim of the present study was to investigate effect of seaweed (*Sargassum johnstonii*) based mixed substrates on *in vitro* digestibility and methane production.

Mixed substrates was prepared by mixing concentrates and wheat straw (60:40) and used as basal diet for *in vitro* studies. The seaweeds was incorporated to mixed substrates at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10% in treatments S0, S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5, respectively. Ingredient composition of TMR with different levels of seaweed is given in Table 1.

Rumen liquor was collected from two crossbred cows maintained on maintenance ration (1.5 kg compound concentrate mixture, 10 kg cereal green fodder and *ad lib.* jowar straw), after two hours of

feeding in the morning through a stomach tube against negative pressure created by a suction pump. The rumen liquor was strained through four-layer muslin cloth and was mixed with artificial saliva prior to incubation. About 500 mg and 200 mg samples of substrates (Table 1) were grinded using 1.0 mm screen and taken into 100 ml glass syringes (3 replicates) for *in vitro* digestibility and methane production, respectively (Menke *et al.*, 1979). Three syringes were kept as blank. The required volume of strained rumen liquor, water, micro and macro minerals solution, buffer and resazurin were mixed in flask and CO₂ was flushed continuously in the medium. For methane estimation, 30 ml and for *in vitro* digestibility, 40 ml of inoculums was dispensed into the syringe and kept in shaker water bath at 39°C for 24 h of incubation. For *in vitro* digestibility, the contents of each syringe were filtered through pre-weighed sintered glass crucible, dried and weighed. The crucibles containing undigested residues were oven dried at 70°C for 24 h, cooled in the desiccators and weighed to reach at IVDMD values. To determine *in vitro* methane, gas samples from each syringe were directly injected into Gas Chromatography (ThermoFisher Ceres 800) and methane concentration was determined against standard methane gas. All

*Corresponding email :srishtikatwal@gmail.com; ¹Department of Livestock Production Management; ²Department of Animal Sciences, AAU Anand; ³Department of Animal Nutrition, AAU, Anand

Table 1. Ingredient composition (%) of mixed substrates

Ingredient	Mixed substrates with different levels of seaweed					
	S0	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5
Wheat straw (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>)	40	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0
Compound concentrate mixture	46.0	46.0	46.0	46.0	46.0	44.0
Seaweeds (<i>Sargassum johnstonii</i>)	0.0	2.0	4.0	6.0	8.0	10.0
Deoiled rice bran	8.0	6.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Molasses	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Mineral mixture	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

samples were analysed in triplicate using GC instrument, fitted with a 4 ft long by 3.2 mm internal diameter stainless steel column packed with Porapack N (80 to 100 mesh), equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). Column temperature was maintained at 50°C and nitrogen was used as a carrier gas with flow rate of 30 ml/min. Data were analysed by one way analysis of variance using statistical package SPSS9.00 software as per Snedecor and Cochran (1994).

The results of *in vitro* DM digestibility (IVDMD) and methane production of mixed substrate with or without seaweeds are presented in Table 2. The IVDMD was observed at par in all the groups without any significant difference. *In vitro* CH₄ production was found statistically similar among the groups.

In vitro DM digestibility of mixed substrates with different levels of seaweeds (*S. johnstonii*) was in the range of 51.55 to 55.33% with non-significant differences (Anon., 2020). The authors reported no adverse effect of seaweeds on *in vitro* DM digestibility

of TMR. They also studied *in vitro* methane production of TMR with seaweeds (*S. johnstonii*) at 0 to 7% level. The results revealed significant reduction by 36% in methane production in TMR with 5% level of sea weeds (1.32 ml/100 mg DM) compared to TMR without seaweeds (2.07 ml /100 mg DM). Choi *et al.* (2020) observed non-significant difference for methane emission *in vitro* trial with *Sargassum fulvellum* after 12, 24, 48, and 72 h with inclusion at concentrations of 1, 3, 5 and 10% of total ration. The DM digestibility ranged from 44-47% for all groups. Maia *et al.* (2016), Molina-Alcaide *et al.* (2017) and De la Moneda *et al.* (2019) evaluated different species of seaweeds for *in vitro* methane emission and they observed both lower and higher methane production potential of different seaweed species. They also observed that substrates used with seaweeds and season of collection have pronounced effect on methane production and *in vitro* digestibility. *Asparagopsis taxiformis* and *Oedogonium sp.* were efficient in methane reduction as dose

Table 2. *In vitro* DM digestibility and methane production

Group	IVDMD (%)	CH ₄ (ml/100 mg DM)	CH ₄ (ml/100 mg DDM)
S0	54.53±1.47	3.00±0.05	2.52±0.04
S1	54.20±3.10	2.78±0.58	2.37±0.49
S2	52.41±2.10	3.41±0.09	3.10±0.08
S3	55.46±1.55	2.91±0.12	2.36±0.10
S4	54.86±0.99	2.71±0.14	2.25±0.11
S5	56.3±0.75	3.27±0.08	2.58±0.06
SEM	1.72	0.254	0.208
C.D.	NS	NS	NS
CV %	5.407	14.075	14.373

increased, but digestibility shows inverse relationship with increased doses of seaweed (Machado *et al.*, 2016). The supplementation of *Kappaphycus alvarezii* based seaweed product at 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0% level of substrate containing sugargraze and concentrate mixture in 60: 40 ratio did not affect *in vitro* DM digestibility and methane production (Sharma *et al.*, 2019).

Therefore, inclusion of macroalgae (*S. johnstonii*) upto 8% level in TMR had no adverse effect on *in vitro* DM digestibility and methane emission.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The financial assistance from ICAR under Outreach Project on Methane and necessary facilities provided by the Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat are gratefully acknowledged to carry out this study.

REFERENCES

- Anon., 2020. Annual progress report of the project “Estimation of methane emission in cattle and dietary interventions for its mitigation” Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India.
- Choi, Y.Y., Lee, S.J., Kim, H. S., Eom, J.S., Kim, D. H. and Lee, S.S. 2020. The potential nutritive value of *Sargassum fulvellum* as a feed ingredient for ruminants. *Algal Res.* 45: 101761.
- De la Moneda, A., Carro, M.D., Weisbjerg, M.R., Roleda, M.Y., Lind, V., Novoa-Garrido, M. and Molina-Alcaide, E. 2019. Variability and potential of seaweeds as ingredients of ruminant diets: An *in vitro* study. *Animals.* 9: 851.
- Kinley, R.D. and Fredeen, A.H. 2015. *In vitro* evaluation of feeding North Atlantic storm toss seaweeds on ruminal digestion. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 27: 2387-2393.
- Machado, L., Magnusson, M., Paul, N.A., Kinley, R., de Nys, R. and Tomkins, N. 2016. Dose-response effects of *Asparagopsis taxiformis* and *Oedogonium* sp. on *in vitro* fermentation and methane production. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 28: 1443-1452.
- Maia, M.R., Fonseca, A.J., Oliveira, H.M., Mendonça, C. and Cabrita, A.R. 2016. The potential role of seaweeds in the natural manipulation of rumen fermentation and methane production. *Sci. Rep.* 6: 1-10.
- Menke, K.H., Raab, L., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, D., and Schneider, W. 1979. The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feeding stuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *The J. Agric. Sci.* 93: 217-222.
- Molina-Alcaide, E., Carro, M.D., Roleda, M.Y., Weisbjerg, M.R., Lind, V. and Novoa-Garrido, M. 2017. *In vitro* ruminal fermentation and methane production of different seaweed species. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 228: 1-12.
- Sharma, A., Datt, C., Gupta, R., Kumar, J., Shambhvi, Tyagi, A.K. and Mani, V. 2019. Effect of supplementation of *Kappaphycus alvarezii* based seaweed product on rumen fermentation parameters under *in vitro* conditions. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.* 72: 514-517.
- Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1994. *Statistical Methods*. 8th ed., Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India.
- Wang, Y., Xu, Z., Bach, S.J. and McAllister, T.A. 2008. Effects of phlorotannins from *Ascophyllum nodosum* (brown seaweed) on *in vitro* ruminal digestion of mixed forage or barley grain. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 145: 375-395.

Received on 20-08-2020 and accepted on 27-09-2020