



## Potential area of micro-irrigation and its outreach across Indian states

P KISHORE<sup>1\*</sup>, S CHAND<sup>1</sup> and S K SRIVASTAVA<sup>1</sup>

ICAR-National Institute of Agricultural Economics and Policy Research, New Delhi 110 012, India

Received: 14 June 2020; Accepted: 28 June 2022

### ABSTRACT

Indian agriculture is predominantly dependent on groundwater and consumes nearly 89% of its total groundwater draft. With recurrence of drought and faster depletion of groundwater resources in recent past, micro-irrigation technology has emerged to play crucial role in managing irrigation water demand and sustaining food security. The policy makers, recognising gravity of water scarcity across the Indian states, have focussed water policy pivotal to micro-irrigation. So, present study aims to estimate potential area across Indian states that are suitable for adoption of micro-irrigation. For estimation, sources of irrigation and crop suitability for micro-irrigation primarily under drip and sprinkler irrigation are considered across the states for year 2018–19. Based on different combinations of irrigation sources, estimate shows that country endows an area of 72–78 million ha on which micro-irrigation can be adopted. At country level, micro-irrigation penetration to our estimates ranges from 14.71–15.81% in recent years. Further, location coefficient reveals that concentration of micro-irrigation has improved over the years, however noticeable variation exists across the states. The states with dedicated agencies to improve micro-irrigation adoption like Andhra Pradesh and Gujarat, show higher penetration. However, the state like Punjab that faces water scarcity has low penetration of micro-irrigation. Therefore, there is need to have state-specific schemes to scale up micro-irrigation area for better trade-off between environmental sustainability and food security.

**Keywords:** India, Micro-irrigation, Outreach, Potential, Water

Groundwater has emerged as a predominant source of fresh water to fulfil increasing water demand of various sector of economy (Matthew *et al.* 2009, Singh *et al.* 2019, Jia *et al.* 2020). Over the years, the unrestricted extraction of groundwater without accounting its availability in aquifer, resulted into stern depletion of water level in several parts of the world (Chaudhuri and Ale 2014, Machiwal and Jha 2014, Patle *et al.* 2016, Steward and Allen 2016, Singh and Kasana 2017). India endowed with world's largest area under irrigation and primarily dependent on groundwater (Shah 2009). Indian agriculture sector alone consumes 89% of total groundwater draft as irrigation (CGWB 2019). The increasing dependence on groundwater resulted into faster depletion of groundwater resources in the country and more serious in north-western states – Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan and Delhi (Matthew *et al.* 2009, Kishore *et al.* 2020).

In the context of over exploitation of groundwater causing water scarcity, more efficient irrigation method like micro-irrigation could be a suitable demand management measure (Amarasinghe 2007b). Properly designed and managed drip and sprinkler irrigation system have irrigation

efficiency about 90% and 70% respectively, contrast to surface irrigation method with 40% (INCID 1994, Dhawan 2002, Saleth 2009). Overall, micro-irrigation shows superiority over other traditional irrigation methods in term of water-use efficiency, energy saving, yield increase and water productivity (Narayanamoorthy and Deshpande 2005, Kumar and Palanisami 2010, Chandrakanth *et al.* 2013, Kishore 2019, Chand *et al.* 2020). Management of irrigation water demand with micro-irrigation will be pivotal in reducing irrigation water demand and holding back faster depletion of groundwater resources. In year 2015, government bundled all ongoing irrigation schemes as *Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojna* (PMKSY) in which micro-irrigation is an integral component. With this backdrop, this study is an attempt to estimate potential area for micro-irrigation across Indian states for scaling up micro-irrigation area.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Present study is based on secondary data collected from various published sources of the government for year 2018–19 across Indian states. In the study, primarily 22 states considered that cover nearly 97.4% of the country's gross cropped area. In the country, government gives subsidies up to 90% for micro-irrigation installation. For installing micro-irrigation, farmers need to have any source

<sup>1</sup>ICAR-National Institute of Agricultural Economics and Policy Research, New Delhi. \*Corresponding author email: kishore.prabhat89@gmail.com

of irrigation more preferably groundwater or tank. However, in present time some states like Gujarat, Rajasthan and Punjab have diverted canal water to doggies (farm pond) constructed along distributaries of canals and lift water for micro-irrigation. Drawing insight from National Committee on Plasticulture Applications in Horticulture and PMKSY, authors have categorised crops suitable for drip and sprinkler irrigation and presented in Table 1.

In short run, gross irrigated area considered as core potential for micro-irrigation adoption as these area have at least one source of irrigation. In long run, un-irrigated area may be suitable for potential estimate (distant potential). However, independence and reliability of irrigation source play major role in adoption and utilization of micro-irrigation. Farmers who have access to sufficient water resource at their own or access to canal water may not go for immediate adoption of micro-irrigation. So, two indices constructed for each state based on different combination of irrigation sources. These indices are as follow:

Irrigation Index 1 (II-1): Consider proportion of area irrigated by groundwater, tank and 30% of canal irrigated area.

Irrigation Index 2 (II-2): Consider proportion of area irrigated by groundwater, tank and 50% of canal irrigated area.

Based on these irrigation indices, potential area estimated under:

Scenario 1: Potential area estimated based on II-1

Scenario 2: Potential area estimated based on II-2

#### *Outreach of micro-irrigation*

Location coefficient estimated to comprehend concentration of micro-irrigation area across states. This helps to analyse the development pattern and regional disparity of micro-irrigation.

The location coefficient is calculated as:

$$L = \frac{M_j/M}{G_j/G}$$

where,  $M_j$ , area under micro-irrigation in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  state;  $M$ , area under micro-irrigation at the national level;  $G_j$ , area under minor irrigation in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  state;  $G$ , area under minor irrigation at the national level.

Table 1 Crop categorised under drip and sprinkler irrigation system

| Irrigation method    | Crops                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Drip irrigation      | Paddy*, Arhar, Sugarcane, Condiments and Spices, Fruits, Sunflower, Cotton, Tobacco, Coconut.                                                                                                 |
| Sprinkler irrigation | Paddy*, Wheat, Bajra, Maize, Ragi, Jowar, other cereals and millets, Gram, Other pulses, Vegetables, Sesamum, Rapeseed and mustard, Groundnut, linseed, Soybean, Other oilseed, Fodder crops. |

\*Paddy area equally distributed among drip and sprinkler irrigation.

The higher coefficient value depicts the higher concentration of micro-irrigation (Ramasamy *et al.* 2005, Suresh *et al.* 2018). The entire exercise was carried out with utmost care so that estimation bias remain slow.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*Irrigation indices:* Accounting various sources of irrigation in each state, two irrigation indices constructed assigning different proportion of canal irrigated area. Groundwater and tank irrigated area remained constant in both indices but canal irrigated area varied. The index value is higher for the states which have higher proportion under irrigation (Supplementary Table 1).

*Potential estimate of micro-irrigation:* Based on indices constructed, potential area for micro-irrigation estimated and categorised under sprinkler and drip irrigation system with crop suitability. Total irrigated area has been termed as ultimate potential area on which micro-irrigation can be adopted in short run. The estimate of potential area for micro-irrigation came out to be about 72 and 78 million ha with index 1 and index 2 respectively (Table 2). In scenario 1, sprinkler irrigation potential estimated to be 51.01 million ha which is 2/3<sup>rd</sup> of total potential area estimated and rest is contributed by drip irrigation. Of the total potential estimated under scenario 1, Uttar Pradesh shares largest potential area (25%) followed by Rajasthan (12%) and Madhya Pradesh (10%). In scenario 2, absolute potential area has increased by 7.44% compared to scenario 1 potential estimate with inclusion of addition 20% of canal irrigated area in irrigation index. Estimate of potential area for sprinkler irrigation by TFMI, 2004, is exactly same (42.5 million ha) as of INCID, 1998. However, present estimate shows nearly 20-29% higher value compared to earlier estimate due to increase in irrigated area over the years. Narayanmoorthy (2006) assumed that the area which could be brought under sprinkler irrigation can also be considered under drip irrigation and vice versa except cereals and our estimate under scenario 1 is almost same following different methods. Potential estimate by Raman (2010) has considered only those crop area for which subsidise were given for installation of micro-irrigation system under National Mission on Micro-irrigation for potential estimate and resulted into under estimation.

#### *Realization of micro-irrigation coverage area*

Scenario 1: In sprinkler irrigation, Karnataka state could be able to cover its 72% of estimated potential area, which is highest among other Indian states followed by Chhattisgarh and Andhra Pradesh. Rajasthan which contribute largest area (nearly 27%) of sprinkler irrigation at country level have covered 23% of its estimated potential. Andhra Pradesh which contributes nearly 1/4<sup>th</sup> of total drip irrigated area in country, have covered about 93% of it estimated potential area. Farmers in Andhra Pradesh uses drip irrigation very intensively even for vegetable and maize cultivation contrast to other states (PMKSY 2020). Overall, Andhra Pradesh achieved nearly 2/3<sup>rd</sup> of estimated potential area which is highest compared to other states. This may be due to

Table 2 Micro-irrigation potential area across states (in million ha)

| State            | Ultimate irrigation potential |       |       | Scenario* 1 |       |       | Scenario# 2 |       |       |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
|                  | Sprinkler                     | Drip  | Total | Sprinkler   | Drip  | Total | Sprinkler   | Drip  | Total |
| Andhra Pradesh   | 1.72                          | 1.95  | 3.67  | 1.23        | 1.39  | 2.62  | 1.35        | 1.53  | 2.88  |
| Assam            | 0.25                          | 0.16  | 0.41  | 0.08        | 0.05  | 0.13  | 0.09        | 0.06  | 0.15  |
| Bihar            | 3.92                          | 1.36  | 5.29  | 2.92        | 1.01  | 3.93  | 3.16        | 1.10  | 4.26  |
| Chhattisgarh     | 1.02                          | 0.78  | 1.80  | 0.53        | 0.40  | 0.93  | 0.65        | 0.50  | 1.15  |
| Goa              | 0.02                          | 0.01  | 0.04  | 0.02        | 0.01  | 0.03  | 0.02        | 0.01  | 0.03  |
| Gujarat          | 3.35                          | 2.70  | 6.05  | 2.84        | 2.28  | 5.12  | 2.96        | 2.38  | 5.34  |
| Himachal Pradesh | 4.46                          | 1.37  | 5.83  | 3.17        | 0.97  | 4.15  | 3.54        | 1.09  | 4.63  |
| Haryana          | 0.16                          | 0.05  | 0.21  | 0.04        | 0.01  | 0.05  | 0.04        | 0.01  | 0.05  |
| Jammu & Kashmir  | 0.33                          | 0.15  | 0.48  | 0.11        | 0.05  | 0.15  | 0.16        | 0.07  | 0.24  |
| Jharkhand        | 0.18                          | 0.05  | 0.23  | 0.13        | 0.04  | 0.17  | 0.13        | 0.04  | 0.17  |
| Karnataka        | 1.86                          | 1.97  | 3.83  | 1.19        | 1.27  | 2.46  | 1.32        | 1.40  | 2.72  |
| Kerala           | 0.13                          | 0.34  | 0.47  | 0.07        | 0.19  | 0.27  | 0.08        | 0.21  | 0.29  |
| Madhya Pradesh   | 8.83                          | 1.26  | 10.08 | 6.56        | 0.93  | 7.49  | 6.85        | 0.97  | 7.83  |
| Maharashtra      | 2.36                          | 1.90  | 4.26  | 1.81        | 1.46  | 3.27  | 1.97        | 1.59  | 3.55  |
| Odisha           | 0.74                          | 0.67  | 1.41  | 0.33        | 0.29  | 0.62  | 0.45        | 0.40  | 0.85  |
| Punjab           | 5.77                          | 1.97  | 7.75  | 4.67        | 1.60  | 6.27  | 4.98        | 1.70  | 6.69  |
| Rajasthan        | 8.98                          | 1.51  | 10.49 | 7.24        | 1.22  | 8.46  | 7.69        | 1.29  | 8.99  |
| Tamil Nadu       | 1.53                          | 1.74  | 3.27  | 1.27        | 1.44  | 2.71  | 1.35        | 1.53  | 2.87  |
| Telangana        | 1.31                          | 1.27  | 2.57  | 1.16        | 1.12  | 2.28  | 1.19        | 1.16  | 2.35  |
| Uttar Pradesh    | 15.52                         | 5.00  | 20.52 | 13.35       | 4.30  | 17.65 | 13.92       | 4.49  | 18.41 |
| Uttarakhand      | 0.34                          | 0.20  | 0.54  | 0.26        | 0.15  | 0.41  | 0.28        | 0.16  | 0.44  |
| West Bengal      | 3.75                          | 1.73  | 5.49  | 2.05        | 0.95  | 3.00  | 2.54        | 1.17  | 3.71  |
| Total            | 66.53                         | 28.15 | 94.68 | 51.01       | 21.16 | 72.17 | 54.71       | 22.86 | 77.58 |

\*Calculated based on index 1, #-calculated based on index 2. *Source:* Author's calculation.

collective effort of government in and special purpose vehicle namely Andhra Pradesh Micro-Irrigation Project (APMIP) which was created in year 2003. With scenario 1, at country level, 15.81% of estimated potential area has been achieved under micro-irrigation (Table 3).

Scenario 2: Total estimated potential increased from 72.17–77.58 million ha due to addition of higher proportion of canal irrigated area (Table 3). So, estimate of Potential 2, may show lower penetration as more area has come under potential estimate for each state. Overall, highest penetration of micro-irrigation has been made in Andhra Pradesh followed by Karnataka and Maharashtra. On basis of this estimate, 14.71% of estimated area of India has been covered under micro-irrigation. The share of micro-irrigation area to earlier estimated potential area varied from 11.1–19.9% in sprinkler irrigation and 19.8–45.8% in drip irrigation (INCID 1998, TFMI 2004, Narayanmoorthy 2006, Raman 2010). However, this study with well-defined methods provides narrow range of 11.07–11.88 % for sprinkler and 23.42–25.31% for drip irrigation.

*Outreach of micro-irrigation across states:* Data

(Supplementary Table 2) shows estimate of location coefficient representing regional disparity in adoption of micro-irrigation compared to minor irrigation development. Location coefficient for Andhra Pradesh indicates that concentration of micro-irrigation has increased rapidly over the years due to scarcity of groundwater and dedicated implementing agency like APMIP since year 2003. In recent years, area under micro-irrigation has intensified in the other states like Karnataka, Gujarat and Chhattisgarh. However, coverage of micro-irrigation in the states with over-exploited groundwater such as Punjab, Haryana etc., is still low and need specific policy supporting adoption of micro-irrigation.

With initiation of PMKSY, additional area of 4.42 million ha brought under micro-irrigation. However, there exists immense variation across states. Estimate of micro-irrigation potential area ranges between 72.17–78.58 million ha and only, 14.71–15.81% of the estimated area is covered under micro-irrigation. States like Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Maharashtra able to cover more than 50% of its estimated potential area. On the other extreme, one

Table 3 Penetration of micro-irrigation compared to estimated potential

|                  | Actual area*covered under |         |          | Per cent to Scenario 1 |       |       | Per cent to Scenario 2 |       |       |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|
|                  | Sprinkler                 | Drip    | Total    | Sprinkler              | Drip  | Total | Sprinkler              | Drip  | Total |
| Andhra Pradesh   | 489.56                    | 1295.66 | 1785.22  | 39.82                  | 93.03 | 68.08 | 36.21                  | 84.59 | 61.91 |
| Assam            | 2.45                      | 0.37    | 2.82     | 3.03                   | 0.70  | 2.10  | 2.63                   | 0.61  | 1.82  |
| Bihar            | 105.00                    | 10.50   | 115.50   | 3.60                   | 1.04  | 2.94  | 3.32                   | 0.96  | 2.71  |
| Chhattisgarh     | 291.52                    | 24.75   | 316.27   | 55.42                  | 6.13  | 34.01 | 44.91                  | 4.96  | 27.56 |
| Goa              | 1.13                      | 1.19    | 2.32     | 5.94                   | 9.79  | 7.44  | 5.63                   | 9.29  | 7.06  |
| Gujarat          | 698.69                    | 723.22  | 1421.91  | 24.63                  | 31.68 | 27.78 | 23.61                  | 30.37 | 26.63 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 572.62                    | 32.76   | 605.38   | 18.05                  | 3.36  | 14.60 | 16.18                  | 3.01  | 13.08 |
| Haryana          | 4.32                      | 5.39    | 9.71     | 11.60                  | 50.41 | 20.25 | 11.25                  | 48.87 | 19.63 |
| Jammu & Kashmir  | 0.06                      | 0.02    | 0.08     | 0.05                   | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.03                   | 0.03  | 0.03  |
| Jharkhand        | 15.76                     | 20.63   | 36.39    | 12.05                  | 58.61 | 21.92 | 11.95                  | 58.13 | 21.74 |
| Karnataka        | 863.32                    | 658.17  | 1521.49  | 72.27                  | 51.96 | 61.81 | 65.32                  | 46.96 | 55.87 |
| Kerala           | 8.68                      | 23.61   | 32.29    | 11.84                  | 12.23 | 12.12 | 11.03                  | 11.39 | 11.29 |
| Madhya Pradesh   | 242.73                    | 313.89  | 556.62   | 3.70                   | 33.66 | 7.43  | 3.54                   | 32.21 | 7.11  |
| Maharashtra      | 505.37                    | 1199.96 | 1705.33  | 27.95                  | 82.19 | 52.18 | 25.72                  | 75.63 | 48.01 |
| Odisha           | 97.94                     | 24.79   | 122.73   | 30.00                  | 8.43  | 19.78 | 22.00                  | 6.19  | 14.51 |
| Punjab           | 13.20                     | 35.59   | 48.79    | 0.28                   | 2.23  | 0.78  | 0.26                   | 2.09  | 0.73  |
| Rajasthan        | 1645.43                   | 245.30  | 1890.73  | 22.72                  | 20.17 | 22.36 | 21.39                  | 18.99 | 21.04 |
| Tamil Nadu       | 188.14                    | 487.51  | 675.65   | 14.80                  | 33.80 | 24.90 | 13.99                  | 31.95 | 23.54 |
| Telangana        | 70.57                     | 191.72  | 262.29   | 6.10                   | 17.06 | 11.50 | 5.93                   | 16.59 | 11.18 |
| Uttar Pradesh    | 128.53                    | 25.58   | 154.11   | 0.96                   | 0.59  | 0.87  | 0.92                   | 0.57  | 0.84  |
| Uttarakhand      | 5.04                      | 7.08    | 12.12    | 1.96                   | 4.68  | 2.97  | 1.83                   | 4.38  | 2.77  |
| West Bengal      | 65.72                     | 0.96    | 66.69    | 3.21                   | 0.10  | 2.22  | 2.59                   | 0.08  | 1.80  |
| Total            | 6057.82                   | 5355.11 | 11412.93 | 11.88                  | 25.31 | 15.81 | 11.07                  | 23.42 | 14.71 |

\*Thousand hectare. Source: GoI, 2019 and Author's calculation

third of states show less than 5% of estimated area covered with micro-irrigation. Location coefficients indicate uneven concentration of micro-irrigation across the states. So, there is utmost need to have dedicated schemes antithetical to state specific constraints similar to Andhra Pradesh Micro-irrigation Project and Gujarat Green Revolution Company.

#### REFERENCES

- Amarasinghe U A, Shah T, Turral H and Anand B K. 2007b. India's water future to 2025-2050: Business-as-usual scenario and deviations. *Research Report 123*. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute.
- CGWB. 2019. Dynamic Groundwater Resources of India, Central Groundwater Board, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Chand S, Kishore P, Kumar S and Srivastava S K. 2020. Potential, Adoption and Impact of micro-irrigation in Indian Agriculture. Policy Paper 36, ICAR-National Institute of Agricultural Economics and Policy Research.
- Chandrakanth M G, Priyanka C N, Mamatha P and Patil K K. 2013. Economic benefits from micro-irrigation for dryland crops in Karnataka. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics* **68**(3): 326–38.
- Chaudhuri S and Ale S. 2014. Long-term (1930–2010) trends in groundwater levels in Texas: Influences of soils, land cover and water use. *Science of the Total Environment* **490**: 379–90.
- Dhawan B D. 2002. *Technological Change in Indian Irrigated Agriculture: A Study of Water Saving Methods*, Commonwealth Publishers, New Delhi.
- GoI. 2019. Agricultural Statistics 2019, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi.
- INCID. 1994. Drip Irrigation in India, Indian National Committee on Irrigation and Drainage, Government of India, New Delhi.
- INCID. 1998. Sprinkler Irrigation in India, Indian National Committee on Irrigation and Drainage, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Jia X, Houa D, Wanga L, O'Connora D and Luob J. 2020. The development of groundwater research in the past 40 years: A burgeoning trend in groundwater depletion and sustainable management. *Journal of Hydrology* **587**(2): 125006.
- Kishore P, Singh D R, Chand P and Prakash P. 2020. What determines groundwater depletion in India? A meso level panel analysis. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* **19**(4): 388–97.
- Kishore P. 2019. Efficiency gains from micro-irrigation: a case of sprinkler irrigation in wheat. *Agricultural Economics Research Review* **32**(2): 239–46.
- Kumar D S and Palanisami K. 2010. Impact of drip irrigation on farming system in southern part of India. *Agricultural Economics Research Review* **23**(2): 265–72.
- Machiwal D and Jha M K. 2014. Characterizing rainfall groundwater dynamics in a hard-rock aquifer system using

- time series, GIS and geostatistical modeling. *Hydrological Processes* **28**: 2824–43.
- Matthew R, Isabella V and Famiglietti J S. 2009. Satellite-based estimates of groundwater depletion in India. *Nature* **460**(7258): 999–02.
- Narayanmoorthy A and Deshpande R S. 2005. *Where Water Seeps: Towards A New Phase in India's Irrigation Reforms*. Academic Foundation, New Delhi.
- Narayanmoorthy A. 2006. *Potential for Drip and Sprinkler Irrigation in India*. Gokhale Institute for Politics and Economics, Pune.
- Patle G T, Singh D K, and Sarangi A. 2016. Modelling of declining groundwater depth in Kurukshetra district, Haryana, India. *Current Science* **111**: 717–23.
- PMKSY 2020. Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojna, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Raman S. 2010. State-wise micro-irrigation potential in India – An assessment, unpublished paper, Natural Resources Management Institute, Mumbai.
- Ramasamy C, Balasubramanian R, and Sivakumar S D. 2005. Dynamics of land use pattern with special reference to fallow lands: an empirical investigation in Tamil Nadu. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics* **60**(4): 629–43.
- Saleth R M. 2009. *Promoting Irrigation Demand Management in India: Potentials, Problems and Prospects*. International Water Management Institute, Colombo, Sri Lanka.
- Shah T. 2009. *Taming the Anarchy? Groundwater Governance in South Asia*. Washington. DC: RFF Press.
- Singh O and Kasana A. 2017. GIS-based spatial and temporal investigation of groundwater level fluctuations under rice-wheat ecosystem over Haryana. *Journal of the Geological Society of India* **89**: 554–62.
- Singh O, Kasana A, Singh K and Sarangi A. 2019. Analysis of drivers of trends in groundwater levels under rice-wheat ecosystem in Haryana, India. *Natural Resources Research* **29**: 1101–26.
- Steward D R and Allen A J. 2016. Peak groundwater depletion in the High Plains Aquifer, projections from 1930 to 2110. *Agricultural Water Management* **170**: 36–48.
- Suresh A, Aditya K S, Jha G and Pal S. 2018. Micro-irrigation development in India: an analysis of distributional pattern and potential correlates. *International Journal of Water Resources Development* **35**: 999–14.