



Zinc fertigation studies in Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*) grown on black clay soil

P S SHIRGURE¹ and A K SRIVASTAVA^{1*}

ICAR-Central Citrus Research Institute, Nagpur, Maharashtra 440 010, India

Received: 26 October 2020; Accepted: 8 July 2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted for four seasons (2013–17) on 12-year-old bearing Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) plants budded on rough lemon rootstock (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush) at ICAR-Central Citrus Research Institute, Nagpur, Maharashtra. Six zinc-based treatments, viz. S₁₀₀ as soil application of ZnSO₄ (100 g/plant), S₂₀₀ as soil application of ZnSO₄ (200 g/plant), S₃₀₀ as soil application of ZnSO₄ (300 g/plant, F₁₀₀ as fertigation of ZnSO₄ (100 g/plant), F₂₀₀ as fertigation of ZnSO₄ (200 g/plant) and F₃₀₀ as fertigation of ZnSO₄ (300 g/plant) with uniform doses of macronutrients (450 g N + 150 g P₂O₅ + 150 K₂O/tree/year) were evaluated in a randomized block design (RBD) with four replications on a smectite rich alkaline black clay soil. Significantly higher concentration of Zn in index leaves was observed with fertigation treatments than soil application treatments with regard to fruit yield and quality. The fruit yield was observed significantly lower with soil application of ZnSO₄ (11.5–13.95 tonnes/ha with S₁₀₀-S₃₀₀) than fertigation treatments (15.6–16.4 tonnes/ha with F₁₀₀-F₃₀₀). The fruit quality parameters were observed much higher with F₂₀₀ followed by F₃₀₀. On the other hand, the lowest acidity (0.82%) and higher TSS to acidity ratio (11.52) were observed with F₂₀₀. Hence, the treatment F₂₀₀, split through 4 pulses at 40 days interval from anthesis to the fruit development stage proved highly efficient for quality production of Nagpur mandarin in central India.

Keywords: Clay soil, Fertigation, Fruit yield, Nagpur mandarin, Quality, Soil application

Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) is a highly nutrient responsive crop (Srivastava and Singh 2003, 2008), with very common deficiency of zinc in bearing citrus orchards affecting both fruit yield and fruit quality fruit quality (Srivastava and Singh 2004), besides productive life of orchards (Srivastava and Singh 2005, 2009). The conventional method associated with zinc application through soil application is frequently associated with production of poor quality of mandarin fruits (Srivastava and Singh 2005, 2009). Presently, the fertilizer dose of macronutrients split into three doses and given in June, October and February (Srivastava and Malhotra 2014) has been observed highly responsive (Srivastava and Singh 2005, Srivastava and Malhotra 2017). Adoption of the micro-irrigation system and fertigation has shown clear cut advantages over surface irrigation methods and application of water and fertilizers together (Shirgure 2012).

Citrus growers in India are highly encouraged towards mass scale adopting of drip irrigation system with fertigation. But, micronutrient fertigation is still far from popular practice, with the result, growers have to

resort to foliar application, without much incentive on growth, fruit yield and different fruit quality parameters (Shirgure 2013), besides involving frequent application with variable responses. It is, therefore, highly important to provide the correct amount of water and nutrients through micro-irrigation system at different growth stages in order to enhance the growth and uniformity of fruits coupled with a net harvestable yield of citrus cultivars (Shirgure *et al.* 2013, 2014). The studies in the past showed good response of fertigation in commercial citrus cultivars such as Shamouti sweet orange (Bielori 1984), Valencia orange (Koo and Smjastrala 1984), Sunburst mandarin (Ferguson *et al.* 1990), Nagpur mandarin (Shirgure *et al.* 2001), and acid lime (Shirgure *et al.* 2003). Imbibing clues from these successes, the concept of micronutrient fertigation fulfilling the zinc requirement right from flower initiation to fruit maturity was carried out to standardize the dose and schedule of zinc fertigation in with reference to response on plant growth, leaf nutrients concentration, fruit yield and quality of Nagpur mandarin, commercially grown in central India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during 2013–17 at experimental farm of ICAR-Central Citrus Research

¹ICAR-Central Citrus Research Institute, Nagpur, Maharashtra.
*Corresponding author email: aksrivastava2007@gmail.com

Institute, Nagpur, Maharashtra on 12-year-old bearing Nagpur mandarin orchard propagated on rough lemon rootstock (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush) spaced at 6 m × 6 m on somectite rich black clay soil. The field trial consisted of six treatments, viz. soil application of ZnSO₄ (100 g/plant, S₁₀₀); soil application of ZnSO₄ (200 g/plant, S₂₀₀); soil application of ZnSO₄ (300 g/plant, S₃₀₀); fertigation of ZnSO₄ (100 g/plant, F₁₀₀); fertigation of ZnSO₄ (200 g/plant, F₂₀₀) and fertigation of ZnSO₄ (300 g/plant, F₃₀₀) in a randomized block design (RBD) with four replications. The texture of the soil was clay loam with 54 cm depth. Soil moisture content at field capacity and permanent wilting point was observed at 31.23% and 20.15%, respectively, with available water holding of the soil as 15.81 cm/m depth of soil.

The circular ring method (matching with pattern of feeder root distribution) was followed for fertilizer application under treatments involving soil application. The mandarin plants were irrigated with 8 lph drippers (3/plant) arrangement under a drip system using the daily water requirement of plants based on pan evaporation based irrigation schedules (0.8 Epan) during the non-rainy months (Shirgure and Srivastava 2018). The recommended doses of macronutrients dose given was given to bearing Nagpur mandarin through fertigation was supplied as (500 N:150 P₂O₅:150 K₂O g/plant) uniform application in all the treatments. Zinc fertigation started from January and continued will full fruit size development in as many 4 instalments at an interval of 40 days. The first dose of ZnSO₄ was given at 40 days after anthesis (18 February) as the stress was given in December and flowering initiated in January. The second dose of fertigation was given 80 days after flowering (20 March) representing pea size of fruits. The subsequent dose of ZnSO₄ fertigation was given at 120 days after flowering when the fruit was of marble size (30th April). The fourth and last dose was given at 160 days from flowering on 10th June, coinciding with peak fruit development stage and thereafter, no fertilizer application was made on the premise that the major nutrient demand for zinc ceases thereafter. The other cultural operations and plant protection measures were applied uniformly. All the NPK and FeSO₄ fertilizers were given from January and continued till June. While, the soil application of ZnSO₄ micronutrient was given in the two equal splits. The 50% dose of ZnSO₄ as per the treatments was applied in December and remaining 50% in June before initiation of monsoon.

Samples collection and analysis: The biometric parameters of Nagpur mandarin plants, viz. plant canopy volume (expressed as 0.524 HD² where H and D stand for plant height and canopy diameter, respectively), fruit yield record and quality analysis (Castle 1982, Ranganna 1986) were carried out annually to assess the cumulative effect of Zn based treatments. The leaf (6–7 month old leaves from non-fruiting terminals, covering 10% trees with 50–70 leaves) and soil samples (0–20 cm soil depth coinciding with below the drippers and between the drippers, later mixed together to make it representative of zone of

maximum feeder root concentration as crop feeder soil zone) were collected from various treatments following standard procedures of leaf and soil sampling methods proposed by Srivastava *et al.* (2001). The leaf samples were digested in tri-acid mixture of HNO₃:H₂SO₄:HClO₄ in 2.5:1 ratio. The leaf N was determined using alkaline permanganate steam distillation method, P colorimetric method and K flame photometrically. The micronutrient analysis for Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn was carried on atomic absorption spectrophotometer for both leaf and soil samples. The data on growth, fruit yield and quality attributes generated for 4 years were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Response on leaf nutrient composition: Effect of differential doses of ZnSO₄ as soil application or fertigation showed a significant change in concentration of different macronutrients, including leaf Zn status (Table 1). However, the fertigation treatment F₂₀₀ recorded highest concentration of macronutrients compared to rest of the other treatments, either at an equivalent rate of S₂₀₀ or at higher doses of S₃₀₀ in comparison to S₁₀₀ being least effective of all. The leaf Cu content showed no significant response, either through a soil application or fertigation. While, fertigation treatment as F₃₀₀ recorded the highest concentration of Fe and Mn content compared to rest of the other treatments (Table 1). Similarly, leaf Mn concentration either within fertigation treatments or soil application treatments, displayed a significant response with F₂₀₀ surpassing all other treatments. In lieu of these accruing fertigation responses on leaf nutrients composition, it is still debatable to float a different leaf analysis based nutrient standards. We shall need to develop a different leaf nutrient standards under fertigation *vis-a-vis* soil fertilization?

These results with regard to plant available nutrients showed an altogether different pattern of response. Zinc fertigation treatments (F₁₀₀–F₃₀₀) irrespective of doses were statistically superior over soil application method (S₁₀₀–S₃₀₀). The treatment S₂₀₀ showed highest content of available P and Zn than rest of the other treatments, while, available N, K, Fe and Cu were observed highest with treatment F₃₀₀. Plant available N and K were observed significantly higher with treatment F₃₀₀, with statistical on par response of F₂₀₀ treatment. The lowest value of plant available Fe and Zn were recorded with S₁₀₀ treatment compared to S₃₀₀ treatment (Table 1). These results are indicative of better nutrient pool (except DTPA-Cu) being maintained in the rhizosphere with fertigation treatments (maintaining the uniform soil moisture content near field capacity throughout crop growth) compared to soil fertilization (facilitating higher quantity of zinc subjected to chemical precipitation). The sulphate form of Zn also indirectly aided in temporary lowering of soil pH within nutrient absorbing area of rhizosphere to account for higher available N, P and K to eventually register consequent increase in concentration of these nutrients in leaves. Previous studies in different citrus cultivars like

Sathgudi orange (Devi *et al.* 1996, Lakashmi *et al.* 2019a) and acid lime (Patel and Patel 1985) showed significantly higher micronutrient doses on soils of varying textures and water-holding capacity and orchard age with varying tree canopy, represented predominantly by humid tropical/subtropical climate. In our study, clay soils of central India with higher nutrient and available water capacity (18–20%) ensured much higher utilization efficiency compared to soils with coarser texture of loam or sandy loam with much lower available water capacity (10–12%). This is the reason that nutrient response studies are mostly suggestive in nature than interpretative, conspicuously lack repetitiveness spatio-temporally in application (Srivastava and Singh 2008). In our study, we collected soil samples from below the drippers as well as between drippers for soil fertility analysis, but it very likelihood that plant available nutrients could be quite varying when compared the results from below the drippers *vis-a-vis* between drippers (Srivastava and Singh 2003, 2004). We need such attempts to be addressed through onward studies with variety of citrus cultivars to perch citrus nutrition research on a scientific ground and a way forward towards precise soil fertility appraisal for citrus. This is one of the prime reasons; crop-based soil health card is still below par in application over different agro-pedological conditions with the same crop.

Response on fruit quality: The premier fruit quality parameter, TSS/acid was observed more favorable with fertigation treatments (Juice content 42.87–43.52%, TSS 8.7–9.4%, acidity 0.82–0.87% and TSS/acid ratio of 10.00–11.52%, than soil fertilization (Juice content 41.26–42.15%, TSS 8.4–8.7%, acidity 0.91–0.95% and TSS/acid ratio of 8.94–9.56), supporting the superiority fertigation over soil fertilization. The highest average fruit weight, TSS and juice percent were observed maximum with F₂₀₀ treatment followed by F₃₀₀ coupled with lowest acidity (Table 2). On the other hand the lowest fruit weight, TSS and juice percent were observed with treatments involving soil application of ZnSO₄. The similar response on fruit yield and quality parameters with integrated use of water and nutrient was earlier reported in Nagpur mandarin (Shirgure *et al.* 2016), Sathgudi orange (Lakashmi *et al.* 2019b) and acid lime (Shirgure *et al.* 2004). However, it remains to be investigated, how zinc nutrition maintains those fruit quality parameters playing decisive role in consumer acceptability, besides any possible contribution in extending shelf-life of fruits.

Plant growth and fruit yield attributes: Higher canopy volume is considered pre-requisite to accelerate in fruit yield, because of the higher fruit bearing area. A significant increment in plant canopy volume was observed with both, S₁₀₀-S₃₀₀ as well as F₁₀₀-F₃₀₀, showing for superior response of fertigation based treatments. The canopy volume was recorded much higher with F₂₀₀ (78.92 m³) than F₃₀₀ (78.24 m³). However, the lowest plant canopy (75.42 m³) was observed with S₁₀₀ treatment due greater in immobilization of applied zinc in the soil. The fruit yield varied from 11.50 to 13.93 tonnes/ha with treatments, carrying S₁₀₀ – S₃₀₀ compared to 15.65 to 16.43 tonnes/ha

Table 1 Response of soil versus fertigation treatments of ZnSO₄ on the leaf nutrients composition and soil fertility changes in Nagpur mandarin (pooled data: 2013–17).

Treatment	Leaf nutrient concentration						
	Macronutrients (%)			Micronutrients (ppm)			
	N	P	K	Fe	Mn	Cu	Zn
S ₁₀₀	2.18	0.08	1.31	78.4	72.3	10.1	22.3
S ₂₀₀	2.22	0.10	1.42	80.1	69.4	10.1	24.1
S ₃₀₀	2.31	0.10	1.51	79.6	71.1	11.2	25.7
F ₁₀₀	2.30	0.11	1.61	78.4	71.2	11.8	25.1
F ₂₀₀	2.44	0.14	1.98	79.2	71.8	11.9	27.8
F ₃₀₀	2.40	0.12	1.82	80.1	72.1	10.9	26.8
CD (P=0.05)	0.09	0.05	0.33	0.34	0.25	NS	0.53
	<i>Plant available nutrients (mg/kg)</i>						
S ₁₀₀	131.3	9.1	189.1	10.8	8.12	1.72	0.81
S ₂₀₀	132.8	9.8	195.8	11.2	8.92	1.69	0.89
S ₃₀₀	141.3	10.1	198.2	11.8	8.01	1.50	0.96
F ₁₀₀	142.8	11.2	199.8	10.2	9.20	1.61	1.18
F ₂₀₀	150.7	13.1	206.4	10.8	8.90	1.52	1.28
F ₃₀₀	160.8	12.9	211.3	11.4	8.18	1.58	1.26
CD (P=0.05)	3.2	0.50	2.8	NS	NS	NS	0.04

N, P, K, Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn were extracted as KMnO₄-N, Olsen-P, NH₄OAc-K, DTPA-Fe, DTPA-Mn, DTPA-Mn and DTPA-Zn, respectively. Treatment details are given in Materials and Methods.

with F₁₀₀–F₃₀₀ treatments. These observations suggested that Zn fertigation treatments out-smarted soil application of Zn, in accordance to change in leaf Zn concentration. The highest fruit yield was observed with treatment F₂₀₀ followed by F₃₀₀ and F₁₀₀ in decreasing order (Table 2). The tree efficiency, a measure of fruit yield *vis-a-vis* canopy volume was observed significantly higher with fertigation treatments over soil application, indicating the response of Zn-induced increase in fruit yield at a much lower canopy volume. Such responses could also be explained in terms of improved water translocation and resistance to water transport in xylem facilitated by improved availability of Zn, in addition to more developed xylem and phloem transport systems, resulting in reduced starch grains and polyphenol substances in leaf tissues (Srivastava and Singh 2004). The onward studies on scheduling entire fertigation, emphasizing types of nutrients required across crop phenological growth stages would impart a far greater acceptability to fertigation with value added responses. At the same time, a stronger need is felt to domesticate the conventionally used cheaper fertilizers in tailoring fertigation requirement of different commercial citrus cultivars (Shirgure 2012, 2013).

The tree efficiency was recorded significantly higher with F₂₀₀ over S₂₀₀ on an equipment basis. These findings were earlier reported through response of various irrigation

Table 2 Response of soil versus fertigation treatments of ZnSO₄ on tree efficiency, fruit yield and fruit quality attributes of Nagpur mandarin (pooled data: 2013–17)

Treatment	Plant growth and fruit yield				Fruit quality parameter				
	Canopy volume (m ³)	Fruit yield (kg/tree)	Yield (tonnes/ha)	Tree efficiency (kg fruits/m ³)	Fruit weight (g)	TSS (%)	Juice (%)	Acidity (%)	TSS/ acidity ratio
S ₁₀₀	69.11	41.5	11.50	0.600	151.5	8.4	41.62	0.94	8.94
S ₂₀₀	73.05	50.3	13.93	0.689	160.7	8.6	41.88	0.95	9.05
S ₃₀₀	73.69	48.6	13.46	0.660	187.1	8.7	42.15	0.91	9.56
F ₁₀₀	75.42	56.5	15.65	0.749	182.7	8.7	42.94	0.87	10.00
F ₂₀₀	78.92	59.3	16.43	0.752	190.8	9.45	43.52	0.82	11.52
F ₃₀₀	78.24	57.1	15.82	0.730	187.6	9.32	42.87	0.84	11.10
CD (P=0.05)	0.048	1.8	2.84		1.02	0.21	0.42	0.07	0.38

Treatment details are given in Material and Methods.

studies on different citrus cultivars like Nagpur mandarin (Shirgure *et al.* 2014) and acid lime (Pawar *et al.* 2020), though under different agro-ecological regions. Quality fruits of Nagpur mandarin were observed far superior with zinc fertigation compared soil application of ZnSO₄. Our observations showed that zinc fertigation improved the internal fruit quality, besides accelerating an early fruit maturity and color break. All these plant responses were validated through an elevated leaf nutrient status *vis-a-vis* favourable soil fertility changes, ultimately saving nearly 33% of ZnSO₄ over soil application. Having worked out these issues, it is strongly advocated to develop a comprehensive guideline narrating the complete fruit quality appraisal details governing the market preference, not the conventionally analyzed internal fruit quality parameters alone.

REFERENCES

- Castel Williams. 1983. Growth, yield and cold hardiness of seven year old "Bears" lemon on twenty seven rootstocks. *Proceedings of Florida State Horticultural Society* **96**: 23–5.
- Bielorai H, Deshberg E and Brum M. 1984. The effect fertigation and partial wetting of the root zone on production of Shamouti orange. *Proceedings of International Society of Citriculture* **1**: 118–20.
- Devi D D, Srinivasan P S and Balakrishnan K. 1996. Leaf nutrient composition, chlorosis and yield of Sathgudi orange as affected by micronutrient application. *South Indian Horticulture* **45**(1&2): 26–29.
- Ferguson J J, Davies F S and Bulger J M. 1990. Fertigation and growth of young 'Sunburst' tangerine trees. *Proceedings of Florida State Horticultural Science* **103**: 8–9.
- Gomez K A and Gomez A A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research*, pp. 664–5. John Wiley and Sons.
- Koo R C J and Smjstrala A G. 1984. Effect of trickle irrigation and fertigation on fruit production and fruit quality of Valencia orange. *Proceedings of Florida State Horticulture Society* **97**: 8–10
- Lakshmi L Mukunda, Venkataramana K T, Shirgure P S, Srivastava A K and Prakash Patil. 2019a. Integrated water and nutrient management in Sathgudi sweet orange. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **89**(11): 1932–6.
- Lakshmi L Mukunda, Venkataramana K T, Shirgure P S and Prakash Patil. 2019b. Evaluating stage-wise irrigation water requirement of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) c.v. Sathgudi grown on Alfisols. *Current Horticulture* **7**(1): 22–27.
- Patel B M and Patel H C. 1985. Effect of Foliar application of zinc and iron on Chlorophyll and micronutrient contents of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). *South Indian Horticulture* **33**(1): 50–52.
- Ranganna S. 1986. *Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruit and Vegetable Products*, pp. 52–108. Tata McGraw Hill Publication Company Ltd, New Delhi, India.
- Shirgure P S. 2012. Micro-irrigation systems, automation and fertigation in Citrus. *Scientific Journal of Review* **1**(5): 156–169.
- Shirgure P S. 2013. Citrus fertigation—a technology of water and fertilizers saving. *Scientific Journal of Crop Science* **2**(5): 56–66.
- Shirgure P S, Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2001. Growth, yield and quality of Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) in relation to irrigation and fertigation. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **71**(8): 547–50.
- Shirgure P S, Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2003. Irrigation scheduling and fertigation in acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **73**(7): 363–67.
- Shirgure P S, Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2004. Integrated water and nutrient management in acid lime. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation* **32**(2): 148–51.
- Shirgure P S, Srivastava A K and Huchche A D. 2014. Water requirements in growth stages and effects of deficit irrigation on fruit productivity of drip irrigated Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **84**(3): 317–22.
- Shirgure P S, Srivastava A K, Huchche A D and Prakash Patil. 2016. Interactive effect of irrigation schedules and fertigation levels on fruit yield, quality and plant nutrition of Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **86**(11): 1509–14.
- Shirgure P S and Srivastava A K. 2018. Scheduling fertigation for Nagpur mandarin. *Indian Horticulture* **63**(4): 10–12.
- Srivastava. A K and Malhotra S K. 2014. Nutrient management in fruit crops: Issues and strategies. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers* **10**(12): 72–88.
- Srivastava A K and Malhotra S K. 2017. Nutrient-use-efficiency

- in perennial fruit crops – A review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **40**(2): 1928–53.
- Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2003. Foliar fertilization in citrus–A review. *Agricultural Review* **24**(4): 250–64.
- Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2004. Zinc nutrition and citrus decline–A review. *Agricultural Reviews* **25**(3): 173–188.
- Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2005. Zinc nutrition, a global concern for sustainable citrus production. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* **25**(3): 5–42.
- Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2008. Citrus nutrition research in India: Problems and prospects. *Indian Journal Agricultural Sciences* **78**: 03–16.
- Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2009. Zinc nutrition in Nagpur mandarin on Haplustert. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **32**(7): 1065–81.
- Srivastava A K, Singh S, Huchche A D and Lallan Ram. 2001. Yield-based leaf and soil test interpretations for Nagpur mandarin in central India. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **32**: 585–99.
- Zekri M and Koo R C J. 1994. Application of micronutrients to citrus trees through micro-irrigation system. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **15**(11): 2517–29.