# Performance of herbicides on effective weed management in soybean (Glycine max) crop

R K SINGH<sup>1</sup>, UTTAM KUMAR TRIPATHI<sup>2</sup> and S R K SINGH<sup>3</sup>

Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh 471 001, India

Received: 15 October 2019; Accepted: 4 February 2020

#### ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted to study the efficacy of different post-emergence herbicides in the soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.). The selected herbicides were applied individually or in combination in soybean. A series of experiments were conducted during 2013 to 2017. Application of quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl and fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl along with surfactant at 15 days after sowing (DAS) were found effective herbicides for control of broad leaf weed and grasses in soybean crop. It was observed that post-emergence application of quizolofop-p-ethyl (50 g a.i.) + chlorimuron ethyl (6 g a.i./ha) significantly reduce the weed population (8/m²), weed dry matter (2.3), weed index (0) and highest weed control efficiency (92.9%) and grain yield (1.77 t/ha) at 45 DAS followed by fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (50 g a.i.) + chlorimuron ethyl (6 g a.i./ha) compared to other treatments. Application of quizolofop-p-ethyl (50 g a.i.) + chlorimuron ethyl (6 g a.i./ha) was found to minimize the loss (44.06%) caused by weeds and there by improve the uptake of water, air, and nutrition by the crop plants. Further, application of quizalofopp-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant enhanced the number of nodule/plant (52.00), nodule diameter (5.00 mm) and nodule weight (187.2 mg/plant) followed by fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant as compared to other treatments. Highest yield was recorded in the plants treated with quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant in combination compared to other treatments. Quizalofop-p-ethyl and fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl along with surfactant were found to highly comparable in terms of price and yield compared to other methods of weed control including hand weeding. This combination can be recommended for the control of both broad and narrow leaf weed the soybean crop.

Key words: Economics, Herbicide, Soybean, Weeds, Yield

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] is one of the most important leguminous crops which contain about 40% protein and 18% oil. In India, it is being cultivated in 11.39 million ha with an annual production of 13.7 million tons (www.iisrindore.gov.in 2016). Globally, weeds represent one of the major constraints in soybean production and causes substantial yield losses (31-84%) in soybean crop (Kachroo et al. 2003). The degree of yield losses in soybean largely depend on the type of weed flora, their density and duration of competition for nutrient, water, light and space. Manual and mechanical weeding has been reported to control the weeds in soybean. Manual and mechanical weeding was found to effective but it is costly and time consuming. Many a time manual and mechanical weeding is not possible due

to unfavorable weather conditions and less availability of labour force in the agriculture. Due to these factors, they have been least preferred in the current scenario of lowinput agriculture. Therefore, as alternative of manual and mechanical weeding, several herbicides have been tested and used for the control of weeds in many crops including soybean. The selective herbicides have proven their role in the weed management system. Further, the importance of surfactants in the herbicide application has been well documented. They increase the retention of droplets on the leaf surface as most of herbicide droplets bounce off from the leaf surface during spraying and lowering the herbicide efficacy (Penner 2000, Young and Hart 1998). Therefore, improvement in the retention capacity of droplets with surfactant could be an approach to increase the herbicide performance and to reduce doses (Kudsk 2008). However, there is lack of information on use of crop specific herbicides, their doses, time and method of application and lack of knowledge make them less effective. Keeping in view of the above facts and considering the importance of the problem, the present investigation was undertaken with the objective to perform the different herbicides available in the market individually or in combination for effective

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Scientist (Agronomy), <sup>2</sup>SRF (e-mail: uttam007tripathi@gmail.com), NICRA, <sup>3</sup>Principal Scientist, ICAR- ATARI ZONE-IX, Jabalpur

control of weeds in soybean crop.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Experimental set up

A series of field experiments were conducted in soybean (*cv*. JS-9305) at Instructional farm, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Panna during the year 2013-14 to 2016-17. The soil was medium black, natural reaction 7.5 pH and low in OC (0.41%). The nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content were 240, 17 and 360 kg/ha, respectively. The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design. Treatments were: Control, imazethapyr, fenoxaprop-p-ethyl, quizalofop-p-ethyl, fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl, quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant, fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant, quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant.

The seed-cum-fertidril was used to sow the seeds in lines with a planting distance of  $45 \times 10$  cm. The sowing was done in the II<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of June during 2013-14 and 2016-17. The recommended dose of fertilizers (20:60:20 N,P,K kg/ha) were applied during sowing and no herbicide were applied in the check plots. Further, sufficient soil moisture was maintained at the time of herbicide spray. The herbicides were sprayed at 20 days after sowing (DAS) using knapsak sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzle (500 l/ha).

## Sampling and analysis

Five plants from each plot were randomly uprooted along with a soil core at 45 DAS. Roots were washed properly and removed the adhering soil. Nodules were separated from the roots, counted and recorded the average diameter. Dry weights of plants and nodules were determined. The

quadrate sampling was done to record the weed density at 45 DAS and harvest stage. For this, quadrate was placed randomly at five places in each plot and counted the weed species present in each plot. The percent composition of weed flora was recorded and compared with check plot. The weed biomass was recorded from different plots at 60 DAS. The weeds were first sun dried, thereafter kept in paper bags and finally dried in hot air oven at 60 °C for 48 hr. Dry weight of each sample was recorded.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Weed spectrum

The major weeds were Echinochloa colona (16.2%), Commelina benghalensis (15.7%), Commelina communis (14.25%), Eupharbia geniculata (9.37%), Euphorbia hirta (8.9%), Cynodon dactylon (6.9%), Echinochloa crusgallis (6.7%), Cyperus rotundus (6.5%), Corochorus acutangulus (6%), Cyperus iria (3.3%), Parthenium hysterophrous (2.9%) and Abolmuscus maschtus (3.1%) at 45 DAS.

## Effect of herbicide on weed population and density

Effects of different herbicides and their combination was explored for effective management of weed flora, growth, yield attributes and yield of Soybean (Table 1 & 2). The maximum weed intensity and weed dry weight were recorded in weedy check plot as compared to rest of the treatments across the years under investigation. However, the lowest weed counts/intensity and weed dry weights were observed in weed free treatment. The dry matter of weeds in weedy check plot was the maximum because of higher weed intensity and its dominance in utilizing the sunlight, nutrients, moisture, CO<sub>2</sub> etc. These results are in close conformity with those of Dhonde et al. (2009) and it was reduced drastically in all the other treatments (Table 1).

Table 1 Effect of herbicides application on nodulation and their dry weight and plant height at 45 DAS and weed parameter in soybean crop at 60 DAS

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Nodule no.	Nodule diameter (mm)	Nodule dry weight (mg)/plant	Weed density (no./m²)	Weed dry weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Weed control efficiency (%)	Weed index (%)
Control	55	34	4.0	102	109	32.7	-	88.9
Imazethapyr75 g a.i./ha	56	37	4.2	118.4	65	18.98	41.5	41.7
Fenoxaprop p ethyal 50g a.i./ha	57	39	4.2	124.8	63	18.6	43.1	31.7
Quizalofop-p-ethyl 50g a.i./ha	56	40	4.2	128	59	17.3	47.1	19.8
Fenoxaprop p ethyl + Chlorimuron ethyl 50g+6g a.i./ha	59	42	4.5	151.2	32	9.3	71.5	17.6
Quizalofop-p-ethyl+ Chlorimuron ethyl 50g+6g/ a.i./ha	59	48	4.5	172.8	28	8.2	74.9	14.0
Imazethapyr + surfactant 75 g/ha+1250 ml/ha	62	48	4.5	172.8	9	2.6	92.0	5.0
Fenoxaprop p ethyal + Chlorimuron ethyl +surfactant 50g+6g a.i./ha+1250 ml/ha	63	51	4.8	183.6	10	2.9	92.1	2.1
Quizalofop-p-ethyl + Chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant $50g+6g$ a.i./ha+1250 ml/ha	64	52	5.0	187.2	8	2.32	92.9	-
LSD (P=0.05)	4.03	5.7	0.65	4.4	5.0	1.5	-	-

Table 2 Effect of different herbicides on yield and yield attributes and economics of soybean cultivation

Treatment	No. of	Days	Test	Yield	Cost of	Gross	Net	C:B
	pods/	to 50%	weight	(t/ha)	cultivation	returns	returns	ratio
	plant	flowering	(g)		(₹/ha)	(₹/ha)	(₹/ha)	
Control	15.0	52	104	0.99	19000	29700	10700	1:1.6
Imazethapyr 75 g a.i./ha	20.0	52	104	1.32	20100	39600	19500	1:1.9
Fenoxaprop p ethyl 50g a.i./ha	21.0	52	105	1.42	20100	42600	22500	1:2.1
Quizalofop-p-ethyl 50g a.i./ha	23.0	48	105	1.56	20500	46800	26300	1:2.3
Fenoxaprop p ethyl + Chlorimuron ethyl 50g+6g a.i./ha	23.5	48	105	1.59	20500	47700	27200	1:2.3
Quizalofop-p-ethyl+ Chlorimuron ethyl 50g+6g a.i./ha	24.0	46	104	1.62	20500	48600	28100	1:2.4
Imazethapyr + surfactant 75 g a.i./ha+1250 ml/ha	25.5	46	106	1.68	20750	50400	29650	1:2.4
Fenoxaprop p ethyl + Chlorimuron ethyl +surfactant 50g+6g a.i./ha+1250 ml/ha	26.0	45	107	1.73	20750	51900	31150	1:2.5
Quizalofop-p-ethyl + Chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant $50g+6g$ a.i./ha+1250 ml/ha	26.5	45	107	1.77	20750	53100	32350	1:2.6
LSD (P=0.05)	1.8		4.9	0.15	-	-	-	-

Significantly higher weed control efficiency (WCE) and long lasting effects of quizalofop-ethyl 50g a.i/ha + chlorimuron ethyl 6g a.i./ha reduced weed dry matter might be due to broad spectrum activity of herbicides. It affects establishment of both narrow and broad leaf weeds and quizalofop-p-ethyl and inhibit the activity of the acetyl-CoA carboxylase enzyme, which is necessary for fatty acid synthesis in grassy weeds. The effects of quizalofop for controlling grassy weeds in soybean were in confirmation with the earlier results reported by Pandey et al. (2007). It inhibit the fatty acid synthesis and chlorimuron ethyl to inhibit the process of cell division of meristemtic tissues as a result of which food reserves of treated plants are used up rapidly, causing slow growth relatively and killing process start and weeds dried rapidly (Das 2015). This integration of both of the herbicide quizalofop-p-ethyl (50 g a.i./ha) + chlorimuron ethyl (6g a.i./ha) and fenoxaprop -p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) + chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ha) followed by imazethapyr (75g a.i./ha) along with surfactants at 50 DAS resulted effective weed control (Basu and Sengupta 2012).

Maximum WCE (92.90%) was recorded in the plots treated with quizalofop-p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) + chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ha) which was superior than rest of the treatments. Application of guizalofop-p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) and fenoxaprop p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) PoE in combination with chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ha) PoE along with surfactant (1250 ml/ha) resulted in highest WCE (92 and 92.9%, respectively) and the lowest weed index (5 and 2.1%, respectively) at 15-20 DAS. However, application of quizalofop-p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) and chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ha) PoE resulted WCE (74.9 and 14, respectively) as compared to control (0 and 88%, respectively) at 15-20 DAS. These combinations of herbicides along with surfactant have proved more effective than integration of other molecule. As surfactants have been found to reduce the dose of herbicides and increase the retention capacity of droplets and thereby increase the WCE (Singh et al. 2008, Walia et al. 2010).

#### Nodulation

The applied herbicides did not show adverse effect on the number, diameter and dry weight of root nodules formed in the soybean at 45 DAS (Table 2). These results are in agreement with the Kishinevsky et al. (1998). The integration of both quizalofop-p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) + chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ha) with surfactant (1250 ml/ha) and fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) + chlorimuron-ethyl (6 g a.i./ha) with surfactant (1250 ml/ha) were reported to enhanced the nodule number and significant increase in nodule dry weight as compared to weedy check. Maximum nodule number, nodule diameter and nodule dry weight was recorded with weed free check treatment, being significantly more of 8.8-52.8%, 5-25% and 16.1-83.5% over weedy check, respectively. Such beneficial effects of herbicides on nodule parameter may be due to effective weed control and minimizing the crop weed competition resulted better plant and nodule growth (Chandel and Saxena 2001). Further, the increased plant growth and development might be due to the herbicides treatments as they improve better nodulation and N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Further, the herbicides may have stimulatory effect and thereby increased the nodule dry weight significantly (Billore et al. 2001).

# Days to 50% flowering

The results shows that application of quizalofoppethyl (50g a.i./ha) + chlorimuron-ethyl (6 g a.i./ha) + surfactant 1250 (ml/ha) and fenoxaprop-pethyl (50g a.i./ha) + chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ha) + surfactant (1250 ml/ha) resulted the lowest number of days to 50% flowering (Table 2). The early flowering could be attributed to less weed competition for nutrients leading to early growth and development. No weeding plots recorded the highest number of days to 50% flowering. The observations supports the study conducted earlier by Odeleye *et al.* (2007) and Lamptey *et al.* (2015). They reported that flowering of soybean always delayed significantly in the fields haveing

high weed density and high weed interference affect the growth and development of the soybean.

## Effect on crop

The maximum plant height (64 cm) was recorded in the plots treated with quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant followed by fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant (63cm), imazethapyr + surfactant (62 cm) and quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl treatments (59 cm) compared to weedy check plots (55 cm) at 45 DAS. Similarly, the highest number of pods per plant (26.5) and test weight (107 g) were recorded in above mentioned treatment and these values higher than other herbicide treatments including weedy check. Among the herbicides alone or in combination of two herbicides at 60 DAS, quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant recorded the highest values of pods/plant (26.5) and test weight (107g) followed by application of fenoxaprop-pethyl (50g a.i) + clorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ha) along with surfactant (26 and 107 g, respectively). Although, the number of pods/plant and test weight (weight of 1000 seeds) are varietal characters but tremendous weed infestation caused stress to the crop plants with respect to nutrients, moisture, sunlight, space and other various aspects which are directly related to physiological processes of crop plants and they enforced the crop to have less number of pods/plant and test weight. It was evidenced from the results obtained in the weedy check plots (Meena et al. 2010).

The maximum seed yield (1.77 t/ha) was obtained within the plots treated with quizalofop-p-ethyl + chlorimuron ethyl + surfactant (50g+6g a.i./ha+1250 ml/ha) which was significantly higher than weedy check plot (990 kg/ha) and other treatments. The lowest seed yields was recorded in weedy check plots might be due to the high weeds density since the beginning of crop emergence and resulted great competition with crop plants for nutrients, moisture and/ sunlight. However, amongst the set of combined herbicide treatments, the maximum seed yield was recorded in quizalofop-p-ethyl (50g a.i.) + chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i./ ha) PoE followed by fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (50g a.i./ha) PoE + chlorimuron-ethyl (6g a.i. /ha) PoE (1.73 t/ha). Higher grain yields in these treatments is might be due to effective weed control as reflected in lower weed dry matter, higher WCE, better plant growth and yield attributes (Table 2). It can be explained in the light of the facts that these treatments control the weeds effectively, therefore more nutrients available to crop and consequently encouraged higher concentration of nutrients and more yields. This variation in weed control could be due to infestation of various weed species and climatic conditions including rainfall distribution pattern. These findings are in concurrence with the earlier workers (Jha and Soni 2013; Habimana et al. 2013b; Basu and Sengupta 2012).

# Economic evaluation

Higher gross returns (₹ 39600-53100) and net returns (₹ 19500-32350/ha) were obtained from improved weed

management practices compared to control (₹ 29700) and (₹ 10700/ha), respectively (Table 2). The returns per rupee investment were accordingly higher in improved weed management practices (1:2.3 to 1:2.6) as compared to control plot (1:1.6).

It can be concluded that application of quizalofop-pethyl and fenoxaprop-pethyl (50g a.i./ha) + chlorimuronethyl at (6 g/ha) + surfactant (1250 ml/ha) was found to mere effective followed by imazethapyr at (75 g/ha) + surfactant (1250 ml/ha) at 15-20 DAS resulted in higher grain yield of soybean.

#### REFERENCES

- Billore S D, Josh O P and Ramesh A 2001. Effect of herbicides on nodulation, yield and weed control in soybean. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciencies* 71: 193–194.
- Chandel A S and Saxena S C 2001. Effect of some new postemergence herbicides on weed parameters and seed yield of soybean. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **46**(2): 332–338.
- Channappagoudar B B and Biradar. N 2007. Physiological approaches for weed management in soybean and redgram (4:2rp) intercropping system. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science* **20**(2): 241–244.
- Das T K. 2015. *Weed Science, Basic and Application*. Jain Brothers, New Delhi India, p 424.
- Dhonde M B, Kate S R, Pandure B S and Tambe A D. 2009. Integrated weed management in pigeonpea. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, **41**(1&2): 102–105
- Kachroo D, Dixit A K and Bali A S. 2003. Weed management in oilseed crops- A Review. *Sher-e- Kashmir University of Agricultural Science and Technology Journal of Research* **2**(1): 1–12.
- Kishinevsky B, Lobel R, Lifshitz N and Gurfd D. 1998. Effects of some commercial herbicides on rhizobia and their symbiosis with peanuts. *Weed Research.* **28**: 291–296.
- Lamptey S, Yeboah S, Sakodie1 K and Berdjour A. 2015. Growth and yield response of soybean under different weeding regimes. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences. 3(2): 155–163.
- Mani V S, Malla M L, Gautam K C and Bhagwndas. 1973 Weed killing chemicals in potato cultivation. *Indian Farm* (VXXII): 17–18
- Habimana S, Murthy K N, Shankaralingappa B C, Devendra R, Sanjya M T and Ramachandra C. 2013a. Effect of pre and post emergence herbicides on weed dynamics growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max L.*). Advances in Applied Science and Research 4(4): 72–75.
- Habimana S, Murthy K N, Shankaralingappa B C, Sanjya M T and Ramachandra C. 2013b. Efficiency and economics of weed control with pre- and post-emergence herbicides in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Asian Journal of Plant Science and Research 3(4): 18–20.
- Odeleye F O, Odeleye O M O and Dada O A. 2007. The performance of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under varying weeding regimes in South Western Nigeria. *Agrobot. Cluj* **35**(1): 1842–4309.
- Pandey A K, Joshi O P and Billore S D. 2007. Effect of herbicidal weed control on weed dynamics and yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. *Soybean Research* **5**:26–32.
- Sharma PB. 2000. Quizalofop-ethyl: An efficient herbicide, against grassy weeds of soybean. *Pestology* **24**(4): 60–62.
- Jha A K and Soni M. 2013. Weed management by sowing methods

- and herbicides in soybean. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **45**(4): 250–252.
- Basu T K and Sengupta A 2012. Efficacy of new herbicide molecule imazethapyr on weed control in soybean. *Journal of Crop and Weed* 8(1): 137–140.
- Kudsk P. 2008. Optimising herbicide dose: a straight forward approach to reduce the risk of side effects of herbicides. *Environmentalist* **28**: 49–55.
- Penner D. 2000. Activator adjuvants. Weed Technology 14: 785–791.
- Rashed-Mohassel M H, Aliverdi A and Ghorbani R. 2009. Effects of a magnetic field and adjuvant in the efficacy of cycloxydim and clodinafop-propargyl on the control of wild oat (*Avena fatua*). *Weed Biol Manag* **9**: 300–306.
- Singh S, Punia S S, Balyan R S and Malik R K. 2008b. Efficacy

- of tribenuron-methyl applied alone and tank mix against broadleaf weeds of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **40**: 109–120.
- Singh S, Yadav A K and Balyan R S. 2008. Evaluation of AE F130060 and MKH 6561 for weed control in wheat. *IndianJournal of Weed Science* **40**: 201–204.
- Walia U S, Kaur Tarundeep, Nayyar Shelly and Singh K. 2010.
  Performance of carfentrazone-ethyl 20% + sulfosulfuron 25%
  WDG- A formulated herbicide for total weed control in wheat.
  Indian Journal of Weed Science 42: 155–158.
- www.iisrindore.icar.gov.in/pd/doc/soybeanmonitor March 2018 Pdf pp.1-9
- Young B G and Hart S E. 1998. Optimizing foliar activity of isoxaflutole on giant foxtail (*Setaria faberi*) with various adjuvants. *Weed Science* **46**: 397–402.