# Evaluation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genotypes for higher yield and enhanced nitrogen use efficiency in Indo-Gangetic Plains

SANDEEP GAWDIYA<sup>1</sup>\*, DINESH KUMAR<sup>1</sup> and Y S SHIVAY<sup>1</sup>

ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012, India

Received: 14 May 2023; Accepted: 15 June 2023

## ABSTRACT

Extensive research has been conducted on various nitrogen (N) management approaches to fulfil the growing needs of cereals while enhancing the efficiency of agricultural resource utilization. Nevertheless, the intensive agricultural model continues to prioritize the achievement of high crop yields and improving nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) as opposing targets. The primary aim of this study was to investigate whether a corresponding increase in N application is necessary to achieve higher crop yields. The study evaluated the effects of 3 N treatments (N0, N75, and N150) on 10 wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes during 2020–21 and 2021–22 at research farm of ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. In both growing seasons, the highest grain yield (GY) of 5.3 t/ha, agronomic efficiency (AE<sub>N</sub>) of 28.7 kg grain/kg N, and partial factor productivity of applied N (PFP<sub>N</sub>) of 60.2 kg grain/kg N were obtained by the HD 3249 genotype, followed by HD 3117. The application of N75 and N150 increased grain yields by 72.3 and 142.6%, respectively, over N0. Significant relationships were observed between GY, PFP<sub>N</sub> and AE<sub>N</sub> at all N levels, and a decreasing trend was observed in both PFP<sub>N</sub> and AE<sub>N</sub> as the N application rate increased. The study results suggest that the adoption of genotype-specific nitrogen (N) rates could provide a mutually beneficial solution to meet the growing demand for food while improving NUE. Overall, based on GY and AE<sub>N</sub>, the research findings indicate that the genotypes HD 3249 and HD 3117 are efficient candidates for N use, with the potential for higher yields and NUE in the Indo-Gangetic plains of India.

Keywords: Genotype-nitrogen rates, Grain yield, Nitrogen management, N-use efficiency, Wheat genotypes

The excessive use of nitrogen (N) in cereal crops is a global phenomenon. However, the low N recovery efficiency in cereals, which is less than 35%, has raised concerns due to the severe negative environmental consequences caused by the remaining N (Raun and Johnson 1999, Hirel et al. 2007, Xu et al. 2012). To address these challenges, a potential option is to increase productivity by adopting cultivars that have good genetic potential for N use (Reynolds et al. 2012). One of the best approaches to achieve the objective of high production of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is to improve crop yields on existing farmland with suitable genotypes. Unfortunately, over the past 20 years, the rate of increase in economic yield of wheat is only 0.9% per year (Ray et al. 2013). To achieve higher wheat yields, the crop must meet the high N requirements, which typically leads to proportional increase in N fertilizer usage. Mueller et al. (2012) stated that 9% increase in the use of N fertilizers would be needed to bridge the yield gaps of the main cereals (rice, wheat, and maize) and achieve a 30% increase in production in the coming decades. It remains unclear whether this conclusion is relevant to wheat cultivation in India,

<sup>1</sup>ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. \*Corresponding author email: dineshctt@yahoo.com where farmers use excessive N fertilizer to achieve high grain yields, resulting in decreased nitrogen use efficiency (NUE). More field research is needed to evaluate the possibility of boosting crop yield and whether it necessitates a proportional increase in N fertilizer application.

The regions of India with high wheat production have the most intense application of N in the country. It is possible to decrease the fertilizer N usage while maintaining high grain yield and enhancing NUE through genotypes that have genetic potential to high N absorption and assimilation (Tian *et al.* 2018, Wang *et al.* 2012a, Wang *et al.* 2012b). So, still large gaps are available to compares various N rates for different genotypes to enhance both yield and NUE. Therefore, this study was carried out to assess the various N inputs on winter (*rabi*) wheat for determining optimal N rate, yield and NUE.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research experiments were conducted during 2020–21 and 2021–22 at the research farm of ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. The field weather was characterized by cool and temperate. The average maximum and minimum temperatures recorded in the regions ranged between 17–38°C and 7–17°C,

respectively. Moreover, the mean precipitation during cropping season is 181.5 mm.

Experimental design and crop management: The experiment involved 3 different nitrogen treatments (main plot) and 10 wheat genotypes (HD-3226, HDCSW-18, HD-2967, HD-3086, HD-3249, HD-2733, PBW-550, PBW-34, HD-3117, HD-3298-subplot) that were assigned to plots in a split plot design. The 3 N-rate treatments included N0 (no nitrogen-NN), N75 (75 kg N/ha low nitrogen-LN) and N150 (150 kg N/ha-high nitrogen-HN). Before sowing, basal fertilizers such as phosphorus (60 kg P2O5/ha) and potash  $(60 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O}/\text{ha})$  were applied, while nitrogen was applied in 3 separate splits. Half of the nitrogen was applied as basal, 1/4<sup>th</sup> during the crown root initiation stage [20–25 DAS (days after sowing)], and the remaining 1/4<sup>th</sup> during the tillering stage (40-45 DAS). The fields were irrigated 6 times by flood irrigation. The effects of the 3 N treatments on wheat genotype yield and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) were analysed and compared between the different genotypes.

*Sampling:* After reaching maturity, 3–4 m<sup>2</sup> areas in each plot were used for manual harvesting, threshing and measurement of yield. Subsequently, the grain was dried to a constant weight in an oven set at 70°C and maintained a moisture content of 12.5% in the grains.

Calculation of N-use efficiencies: The N-use efficiencies (agronomic efficiency-  $AE_N$  and partial factor productivity-

 $PFP_N$ ) were calculated as:

- AE<sub>N</sub> = Grain yield (kg/ha) in fertilized plot grain yield in control plot)/rate of N application (kg/ha)
  - $PFP_N$  = yield (kg/ha) / Rate of N application (kg/ha)

*Data Analysis:* Statistical analysis of all data was performed using the open-source available statistical software R Studio [agricolae package of R Version (Mendiburu 2021)]. For comparisons of multiple means Duncan's method are used, with an alpha probability level of 0.05. The 'performance analytics' packages in R studio (2021) were used to create a Pearson's correlation coefficient matrix or diagram, utilizing the recorded crop traits data spanning over two years.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Grain yield and NUE under 3 N levels: Over 2 years, N rate, and genotypes significantly influenced the yields (Table 1, Fig 1). Genotypes grown under N150 (HN) had a significantly higher average yield (5.92 t/ha) than those grown under N75 (LN) (4.21 t/ha) and N0 (2.44 t/ha) across both years. The N levels LN and HN had mean yield increases of 72.3% and 142.6%, respectively, compared to N0 (NN) (P<0.05). AE<sub>N</sub> was comparable between LN and HN, but PFP<sub>N</sub> was significantly higher at LN than HN (P<0.05) (Table 2). Furthermore, the increase in N



Fig 1 Grain yield performance and trends of 10 wheat genotypes over 2 years under 3 different nitrogen levels. [N0-NN (no nitrogen), N75-LN (low nitrogen), N150-HN (high nitrogen)]

| Nitrogen ×<br>variety                                     | HD 3226            | HDCSW<br>18         | HD 2967             | HD 3086            | HD 3249             | HD 2733             | PBW 550            | PBW 343            | HD 3117           | HD 3298            | Mean              |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| N0  | 1.68 <sup>p</sup>  | 1.93 <sup>op</sup>  | 2.50 <sup>lmn</sup> | 2.67 <sup>lm</sup> | 3.24 <sup>j</sup>   | 2.17 <sup>no</sup>  | 2.83 <sup>kl</sup> | 1.85 <sup>op</sup> | 3.24 <sup>j</sup> | 2.30 <sup>n</sup>  | 2.44 <sup>c</sup> |
| N75   | 2.41 <sup>Mn</sup> | 3.09 <sup>Jk</sup>  | 4.88 <sup>G</sup>   | 4.65 <sup>Gh</sup> | $5.33^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 4.38 <sup>hi</sup>  | 4.70 <sup>gh</sup> | 3.07 <sup>jk</sup> | 4.94 <sup>g</sup> | 4.61 <sup>gh</sup> | 4.20 <sup>b</sup> |
| N150  | 4.06 <sup>i</sup>  | $5.32^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 6.34 <sup>de</sup>  | 6.60 <sup>cd</sup> | 7.39 <sup>a</sup>   | $5.56^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 6.79 <sup>bc</sup> | 4.15 <sup>i</sup>  | 6.95 <sup>b</sup> | 6.06 <sup>e</sup>  | 5.92 <sup>a</sup> |
| Mean  | 2.70 <sup>i</sup>  | 3.40 <sup>g</sup>   | 4.60 <sup>d</sup>   | 4.60 <sup>cd</sup> | 5.30 <sup>a</sup>   | $4.00^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 4.80 <sup>c</sup>  | 3.00 <sup>h</sup>  | 5.00 <sup>b</sup> | 4.30 <sup>e</sup>  |                   |
| *N × V = 0.45 (SEm± = 0.15) / *V × N = 1.70 (SEm± = 0.31) |                    |                     |                     |                    |                     |                     |                    |                    |                   |                    |                   |

Table 1 Two-year pooled analysis data of the nitrogen  $\times$  genotype interaction and its effect on wheat grain yield

\*LSD (P=0.05) for nitrogen means at same or different level of varieties; \*LSD (P=0.05) for varieties means at same or different level of nitrogen; Values in a column followed by the different letters was significantly different at P<0.05 as determined by LSD; Letters indicate the comparison among genotypes under different N levels.

# significantly reduced $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PFP}}_{\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}}$ under both LN and HN.

Maximum yield of genotypes at different N levels: The HD 3249 and HD 3117 genotypes outperformed other genotypes in terms of the response to grain yield (GY) at all levels of N (NN, LN, HN) (Fig 1 and Table 1). On average, the maximum GY for HD 3249 and HD 3117 was 5.3/t ha and 5/t ha, respectively. Genotype HD 3226 yielded the lowest at 2.7 t/ha, while all genotypes responded up to the N level N120, although with varying grain yield results (Fig 1). Selecting genotypes that are suitable for specific areas, based on soil fertility and weather conditions, is crucial to improving both GY and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), thus reducing the negative effects of N fertilizers. The calculated average optimal N rate for wheat genotypes was 150 kg N/ha to achieve maximum GY.

Factors driving maximum yield and high NUE: For determination of LN and HN rates effects on wheat NUE,  $PFP_N$  and  $AE_N$  values were correlated with GY (Fig 2). Pearson's correlation analysis revealed highly significant positive correlation between grain yield,  $AE_N$  and  $PFP_N$  at mean levels of N, as well as LN and HN (P<0.001), and significant correlation between the mean N yield and partial factor productivity (PFP) at P<0.01.

A significant area of focus in modern agricultural

Table 2 Effect of nitrogen fertilization on nitrogen-use efficiencies of wheat

| Nitrogen × variety | A<br>(Per kg grain | gronomic efficien<br>1 yield increased/k | cy<br>(g N applied) | Partial factor productivity<br>(Per kg grain/kg N applied) |                    |                   |  |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|--|
|                    | 2020-21            | 2021-22                                  | Pooled              | 2020–21  | 2021-22            | Pooled            |  |
| N0                 | -                  | -  | -                   | -  | -                  | -                 |  |
| N75                | 23.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 23.6 <sup>a</sup>                        | 23.5 <sup>a</sup>   | 55.8 <sup>a</sup>  | 56.3ª              | 56.0 <sup>a</sup> |  |
| N150               | 23.2 <sup>a</sup>  | 23.2 <sup>a</sup>                        | 23.2 <sup>a</sup>   | 39.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 39.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 39.5 <sup>b</sup> |  |
| SEm±               | 2.2                | 2.3                                      | 2.3                 | 0.23   | 0.25               | 0.24              |  |
| CD (P= 0.05)       | 6.7                | 6.8                                      | 6.8                 | 4.20   | 4.24               | 4.23              |  |
| HD 3226            | 14.3 <sup>d</sup>  | 11.2°                                    | 12.8 <sup>i</sup>   | 30.7 <sup>h</sup>  | 28.4 <sup>e</sup>  | 29.6 <sup>j</sup> |  |
| HDCSW-18           | 23.2 <sup>bc</sup> | 14.9°                                    | 19.0 <sup>g</sup>   | 42.9 <sup>f</sup>  | 33.7 <sup>d</sup>  | 38.3 <sup>h</sup> |  |
| HD 2967            | 28.1 <sup>a</sup>  | 27.5 <sup>ab</sup>                       | 27.8 <sup>b</sup>   | 50.5 <sup>d</sup>  | 56.8 <sup>ab</sup> | 53.7 <sup>d</sup> |  |
| HD 3086            | 25.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 27.6 <sup>ab</sup>                       | 26.3 <sup>c</sup>   | 52.2 <sup>cd</sup>   | 53.8 <sup>bc</sup> | 53.0 <sup>e</sup> |  |
| HD 3249            | 25.1 <sup>ab</sup> | 32.2 <sup>a</sup>                        | 28.7 <sup>a</sup>   | 60.0 <sup>a</sup>  | 60.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 60.2ª             |  |
| HD 2733            | 24.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 27.7 <sup>ab</sup>                       | 26.0 <sup>d</sup>   | 45.5 <sup>e</sup>  | 49.9 <sup>c</sup>  | 47.7 <sup>g</sup> |  |
| PBW 550            | 25.3 <sup>ab</sup> | 25.9 <sup>b</sup>                        | 25.6 <sup>e</sup>   | 53.2 <sup>bc</sup>   | 54.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 53.9 <sup>c</sup> |  |
| PBW 343            | 20.4 <sup>c</sup>  | 11.3°                                    | 15.9 <sup>h</sup>   | 38.4 <sup>g</sup>  | 30.2 <sup>de</sup> | 34.3 <sup>i</sup> |  |
| HD 3117            | 22.8 <sup>bc</sup> | 24.6 <sup>b</sup>                        | 23.7 <sup>f</sup>   | 54.8 <sup>b</sup>  | 57.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 56.1 <sup>b</sup> |  |
| HD 3298            | 24.5 <sup>b</sup>  | 31.4 <sup>a</sup>                        | 28.0 <sup>b</sup>   | 47.5 <sup>e</sup>  | 54.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 50.9 <sup>f</sup> |  |
| SEm±               | 1                  | 1.8                                      | 1.3                 | 3.6  | 3.6                | 0.98              |  |
| CD (P= 0.05)       | 3                  | 5.4                                      | 2.8                 | 10.7   | 10.7               | 2.92              |  |
| Interaction        | ns                 | ns                                       | ns                  | ns   | ns                 | ns                |  |

\*LSD (P=0.05) for nitrogen means at same or different level of varieties; \*LSD (P=0.05) for varieties means at same or different level of nitrogen; Values in a column followed by the different letters was significantly different at P<0.05 as determined by LSD; Letters indicate the comparison among genotypes under different N levels.

[Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 93 (7)



Fig 2 Pearson's correlation among crop traits/parameters of 10 wheat genotypes under mean N (mean of all nitrogen levels).
GY, Grain yield; AE; Agronomic efficiency, PEP, Partial factor productivity.
The correlation coefficient (r values) was calculated from mean of two-years data from 2020–21 to 2021–22. \*\*\*, highly significant (P<0.001); \*\*, moderately significant (P<0.01); \*, significant (P<0.05). Diagonals indicates how each parameter is distributed. In bottom of diagonal scatter plots with lines are available. At the top of diagonals values of correlations and significance level are available. Size of correlation values and intensity of colour are showing correlation coefficients.</li>

research is to improve NUE without sacrificing wheat vield by reducing N application. Genotypes HD 3249 and HD 3117 shows higher wheat GY highlights the importance of their high yield potential under the same levels of N, making them more suitable choices in all aspects compared to other genotypes. In this study, the use of appropriately adapted cultivars with optimal N rates showed potential to improve the available N uptake and increase the plant N conversion to GY. Our findings match with Voss-Fels et al. (2019), who reported that optimal N rates and genotypes with high genetic potential for NUE could improve winter wheat yields. The findings of Hameed et al. (2019) also support our results, indicating that selecting the appropriate dose and cultivar of N can reduce N losses, providing a chance to decrease N application while improving NUE. In fact, genotype-specific N management played a crucial role in determining NUE. For example, based on the pooled analysis data, genotype HD 3249 shows an average  $PFP_N$  of 60.2 kg/kg and  $AE_N$ of 28.7 kg/kg. Similar results were reported by Good et al. (2011), who found that the genetic potential of a genotype significantly influences the improvement of NUE. The greater efficiency of N use observed in certain genotypes may be attributed to the effectiveness of their root systems in efficiently utilizing available N resources (Cassman et al. in 2002). Among the nitrogen levels tested, N150 shows highest NUE and GY. This could be reason behind the genetic makeup of the selected genotypes, which have the inherent ability to respond more effectively to higher levels of N (Duan et al. 2020). A key strategy to improve both wheat GY and NUE is to adopt cultivars that are well adapted region-specific weather conditions. Myagkikh et al. (2021) support this notion, stating that selecting a cultivar that is adaptable to the agroclimatic conditions of a particular region is a primary approach to generate stable grain yield with desired quality characteristics. Therefore, it is important to evaluate cultivar performance at various agronomic research centres.

The application of HN does not always result in higher crop yields, as the law of diminishing returns suggests

(Cassman *et al.* 2002), leading to reduced NUE in crop production systems. This study revealed that N levels N75 and N150 increased the GY of all genotypes by 72.3 and 142.6%, respectively, compared to N0. Optimizing the timing and rates of nitrogen (N) fertilizer application in agriculture can not only increase crop yields but also minimize N loss to the environment (Liu *et al.* 2019).

Based on the results, it can be observed that the GY of the genotypes exhibited a positive correlation with the N levels applied until the highest N rate was reached in the 3 N treatments (Fig 2). Under field conditions, farmers frequently apply excessive amounts of N fertilizer to crops for achieving high yield per unit area, despite the inherent inefficiencies associated with this practice and different genotypes (Cui et al. 2008a, 2008b). Furthermore, a lack of knowledge regarding the appropriate N application rate often leads farmers to apply excessive N to prevent low crop yields (Zhang et al. 2011). Based on our findings, the ideal N rate for the genotypes HD 3249 and HD 3117 was 150 kg N/ha, suggesting that farmers can achieve their yield targets and improve NUE by reducing N fertilizer input while following this recommendation. Therefore, locationspecific genotype selection and N application rates must be taken into account to enhance agricultural production performance. (Cai et al. 2021).

The N0 plot yield indicates the performance of genotypes under conditions of no external N supply and the nutrient supply capacity of soil (Cui *et al.* 2008a, Wang *et al.* 2012a, Wang *et al.* 2012b). This suggests some of the potential for higher yields in the NN genotype compared to LN and HN. Similarly, positive correlations between wheat GY and various levels of nitrogen input were reported by Valkama *et al.* (2013) and Gaudin *et al.* (2015). The findings of this study indicate that the selection of genotypes that exhibit high GY and NUE at equivalent levels of N is critical in identifying superior genotypes. As the N rate increased,  $PFP_N$  and  $AE_N$  exhibited a decreasing trend. This statement agrees with Rahimizadeh *et al.* (2010), who reported a reduction in NUE with increasing N rate.

30

The present investigation has identified genotypes HD 3249 and HD 3117 with N150-splits having high yield and NUE in New Delhi, India. The findings of this study support the notion that the cultivation of locally adapted cultivars can optimize grain yield while minimizing the negative environmental impacts arising from excessive nitrogen loss. Consequently, the adoption of such cultivars is recommended. Effective dissemination of scientific knowledge to farmers and timely application of appropriate dose of N dosages with the right genotype are recommended to improve wheat yield and NUE in the future. Furthermore, these genotypes are important to utilize for breeding purposes. To ensure food security and prevent the adverse environmental impacts resulting from excessive N loss due to external N applications, it is imperative to adopt a vital strategy that involves achieving sustainable crop growth and enhancing NUE in farmer fields.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the UK Research and Innovation-Global Challenges Research Fund-South Asia Nitrogen Hub (SANH). The authors is grateful to the ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, for providing a senior research fellowship for the entire duration of Ph.D work.

#### REFERENCES

- Cai T, Chen Y, Pan J, Ye Y, Miao Q, Zhang H and Cui Z. 2021. Improved crop management achieved high wheat yield and nitrogen use efficiency. *International Journal of Plant Production* **15**: 317–24.
- Cassman K G, Dobermann A and Walters D T. 2002. Agroecosystems, nitrogen-use efficiency and nitrogen management. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment 31(2): 132–40.
- Cui Z L, Zhang F S, Chen X P, Miao Y X and Li J L. 2008a. Onfarm evaluation of an in-season nitrogen management strategy based on soil N min test. *Field Crops Research* 105: 48–55.
- Cui Z L, Zhang F S, Chen X P and Miao Y X and Li J L. 2008b. On-farm estimation of indigenous nitrogen supplies for sitespecific nitrogen management in the north China plain. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* **81**: 37–47.
- Duan J, Shao Y, He L, Li X, Hou G, Li S and Xie Y. 2020. Optimizing nitrogen management to achieve high yield, high nitrogen efficiency and low nitrogen emission in winter wheat. *Science of the Total Environment* 697: 134088.
- Felipe de Mendiburu. 2021. Agricolae: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. R package version 1.3-5. https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=agricolae.
- Gaudin A C M, Janovicek K, Deen B and Hooker D C. 2015. Wheat improves nitrogen use efficiency of maize and soybean-based cropping systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 210: 1–10.
- Good A G and Beatty P H. 2011. Fertilizing nature: A tragedy of excess in the commons. *PLoS biology* **9**(8): e1001124.
- Hameed F, Xu J, Rahim S F, Wei Q, Rehman Khalil A U and Liao Q. 2019. Optimizing nitrogen options for improving

nitrogen use efficiency of rice under different water regimes. *Agronomy* **9**(1): 39.

- Hirel B, Le Gouis J, Ney B and Gallais A. 2007. The challenge of improving nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants: towards a more central role for genetic variability and quantitative genetics within integrated approaches. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58: 2369–87.
- Liu Z, Gao F, Liu Y, Yang J, Zhen X, Li X and Li X. 2019. Timing and splitting of nitrogen fertilizer supply to increase crop yield and efficiency of nitrogen utilization in a wheat–peanut relay intercropping system in China. *The Crop Journal* 7(1): 101–12.
- Mueller N D, Gerber J S, Johnston M, Ray D K and Foley J A. 2012. Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management. *Nature* **490**: 254–57.
- Myagkikh E, Babanina S, Mishnev A, Radchenko L, Pashtetskiy V, Nevkrytaya N and Loretts O. 2021. Ecological adaptability of some cultivars and breeding samples of *Origanum vulgare* L. *Agronomy* **12**(1): 16.
- Rahimizadeh M, Kashani A, Zare-Feizabadi A, Koocheki A and Nassiri-Mahallati M. 2010. Nitrogen use efficiency of wheat as affected by preceding crop, application rate of nitrogen and crop residues. *Australian Journal of Crop Science* 4: 363–68.
- Raun W R and Johnson G V. 1999. Improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal production. *Agronomy journal* **91**(3): 357–63.
- Ray D K, Mueller N D, West P C and Foley J A. 2013. Yield trends are insufficient to double global crop production by 2050. *PLoS ONE* 8: e66428.
- Reynolds M, Foulkes J, Furbank R, Griffiths S, King J and Murchie E. 2012. Achieving yield gains in wheat. *Plant Cell* and Environment 35: 1799–1823.
- Tian Z W, Liu X X, Gu S L, Yu J H, Zhang L, Zhang W W, Jiang D, Cao W X and Dai T B. 2018. Postponed and reduced basal nitrogen application improves nitrogen use efficiency and plant growth of winter wheat. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 17: 2648–61.
- Valkama E, Salo T, Esala M and Turtola E. 2013. Nitrogen balances and yields of spring cereals as affected by nitrogen fertilization in northern conditions: a meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems* and Environment 164: 1–13.
- Voss-Fels K P, Stahl A, Wittkop B, Lichthardt C, Nagler S, Rose T and Snowdon R J. 2019. Breeding improves wheat productivity under contrasting agrochemical input levels. *Nature Plants* 5(7): 706–14.
- Wang X Y, Chun B G and Zhang Y L. 2012a. Effects of postponing N application on wheat grain yield, protein quality and fertilizer N use efficiency in a low yield field in Jianghan Plain. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology 4: 357–61.
- Wang W, Lu J, Ren T L, X Su W and Lu M. 2012b. Evaluating regional mean optimal nitrogen rates in combination with indigenous nitrogen supply for rice production. *Field Crops Research* 137: 37–48.
- Xu G, Fan X and Miller A J. 2012. Plant nitrogen assimilation and use efficiency. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **63**: 153–82.
- Zhang F S, Cui Z L, Fan M S, Zhang W F, Chen X P and Jiang R F. 2011. Integrated soil-crop system management: reducing environmental risk while increasing crop productivity and improving nutrient use efficiency in China. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 40: 1051–57.