



## Nutrient management for cutting production in carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*)

KALKAME CH MOMIN<sup>1</sup>, S R DHIMAN<sup>2</sup>, Y C GUPTA<sup>3</sup>, S K BHARADWAJ<sup>4</sup> and SUNIL KUMAR<sup>5</sup>

*Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh 173 230*

Received: 15 April 2014; Revised accepted: 3 February 2015

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the effect of nutrient management on cutting production of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.). The experiment consisted of five fertilizer modules with four cultivars and it was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. Findings of the experiment revealed that fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> comprising of 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK as basal application along with 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K as fertigation given twice a week resulted in the production of cuttings with maximum stem diameter (0.75 cm), weight of cutting (5.22 g), number of cuttings/plant/harvest (4.25), total number of cuttings (16.98) and yield of cuttings/square metre (152.78). Amongst the cultivars, Madras exhibited the maximum stem diameter (0.82 cm), weight of cutting (4.60 g), number of cuttings/plant/harvest (3.80), total number of cuttings/plant (15.12) and yield of cuttings/square metre (152.78), while, cultivar White Wedding recorded minimum stem diameter. Interaction effect revealed that module FM<sub>5</sub> was found to be best in getting good quality cuttings in cultivars Farida, Niva and Madras which gave the maximum number of cuttings/plant/harvest, total number of cuttings/plant, yield of cuttings/metre square and weight of the unrooted cuttings. In cultivar White Wedding, fertilizer modules FM<sub>4</sub> consisting of 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) along with 175 ppm N and 245 ppm K (fertigation twice a week) gave the best quality cuttings. The time taken for first and successive harvesting of cuttings varied with the season with minimum days during summer and maximum days during winter.

**Key words:** Biofertilizers, Carnation, Cutting, Fertigation, Vermicompost

Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) is one of the commercially important cut flowers of the world and offers diversity of colours. It belongs to the family Caryophyllaceae. Carnations are also being cultivated in India. The major production centers are located around Pune and Bengaluru. Carnations are now being commercially grown in Solan, Shimla, Mandi, Kullu, Chamba and Bilaspur districts of Himachal Pradesh. As per the estimates of Directorate of Horticulture- Himachal Pradesh, carnation occupied 73.76 hectares out of 812.90 hectare total area under floriculture in the state during 2010. The acreage of carnation is still expected to increase because of the favourable climatic conditions for its cultivation in different

parts of Himachal Pradesh and other hilly states of the country. The most important part of floriculture crop production is propagation. Commercially, carnation is propagated through shoot-tip cuttings. Success or failure of carnation crop starts with the selection of cuttings. Carrying out the production using proper and high quality seedlings is one of the most important factors affecting the efficiency positively. For obtaining optimum plant growth and higher yield, proper nutrition is essential.

One of the major bottlenecks in the carnation cultivation is the non-availability of quality planting materials. In order to produce good quality cuttings, maintenance of mother plants is an important factor. In addition, the information available on production of quality planting materials is scanty. Therefore, it is important to work out and standardize a technique which would help the farmer to secure a good planting material. Keeping this in view, present investigation was carried out with the objective to study the effect of fertilization modules on cutting production of carnation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the experimental farm, Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan during 2010-2011. The experiment

<sup>1</sup>Guest Lecturer (e mail: kalkame.momin@gmail.com), Department of Horticulture, North Eastern Hill University, Tura Campus, Chandmari, Meghalaya 794 002; <sup>2</sup>Professor (e mail: sitaramdhiman@yahoo.co.in), Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, <sup>3</sup>Professor and Head (e mail: ycgupta2006@yahoo.co.in), Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, <sup>4</sup>Professor and Head (e mail: sbhardwajswm@rediffmail.com), Department of Environmental Sciences, <sup>5</sup>Associate Professor (e mail: sunu159@yahoo.co.in), Department of Horticulture, North Eastern Hill University, Tura Campus, Chandmari, Meghalaya 794 002

was laid out in polyhouse following the factorial randomized block design with three replications. Rooted cuttings of four commercial cultivars of perpetual carnation namely White Wedding, Farida, Niva and Madras were planted on 4 December 2010 at a spacing of 15 cm × 15 cm, accommodating 36 plants/m<sup>2</sup>. Soil: FYM: cocopeat in the ratio of 2:1:1 was used as a growing medium. The crop was raised following recommended cultural practices like watering, weeding, hoeing and control of insect-pests and diseases. A total of five fertilizer modules were programmed to carry out the work as follows: FM<sub>1</sub>: 20-20-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 100 ppm N + 140 ppm K (as fertigation-twice a week), FM<sub>2</sub>: 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K (as fertigation-twice a week), FM<sub>3</sub>: 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K (as fertigation-twice a week), FM<sub>4</sub>: 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 175 ppm N + 245 ppm K (as fertigation-twice a week), FM<sub>5</sub>: 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K (as fertigation-twice a week).

The basal dose of N, P and K fertilizers @ 20:20:10 g/m<sup>2</sup> along with 100 ppm N and 140 ppm K applied through fertigation was taken as a standard treatment. The frequency of fertigation was twice a week and it was given as soon as the plants gets established. The source of N, P and K fertilizers was urea, SSP and MOP, respectively. For water soluble fertilizers, NK (13-0-45) multi K, calcium nitrate (commercial grade) and urea was used. In addition to the above mentioned treatments, biofertilizers (VAM, PSB and *Azospirillum*) and vermicompost at 1 kg/m<sup>2</sup> was applied uniformly at the time of planting. For preparing the biofertilizer mixture, one kg each of VAM, *Azospirillum* and PSM were mixed with one kg of well rotten farmyard manure and applied directly in the root zone at 3g/plant.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on diameter of the un-rooted cuttings

It is evident from the data given in Table 1, that the diameter of the stem varied significantly in all the cultivars under different fertilization modules. Maximum diameter of the un-rooted cuttings (0.75 cm) was recorded from fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> comprising of 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK as basal application along with 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K as fertigation given twice a week. In contrast, minimum diameter of the un-rooted cuttings (0.69 cm) was observed in fertilizer modules FM<sub>1</sub> and FM<sub>2</sub>. Amongst the cultivars, Madras exhibited the maximum diameter of the un-rooted cuttings (0.82 cm) while, it was recorded minimum (0.65 cm) in White Wedding. These differences may be attributed to the differences in their genetic constitution and in cultivars. The increase in diameter of the stem might be due to the positive response of nitrogen applied in appropriate amount, which caused a greater synthesis of carbohydrate and their translocation to the plant.

These results are in close conformity with the findings of Devi *et al.* (2003), Budiarto *et al.* (2006) and Kazaz *et al.*

Table 1 Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on the diameter of un-rooted cuttings (cm)

Cultivar (V)/ Fertilizer module (FM)	1 <sup>st</sup> harvest				2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest				3 <sup>rd</sup> harvest				4 <sup>th</sup> harvest								
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	
FM <sub>1</sub> *	0.67	0.70	0.75	0.93	0.76	0.68	0.67	0.66	0.80	0.70	0.51	0.64	0.59	0.65	0.60	0.50	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.51	0.51
FM <sub>2</sub>	0.69	0.70	0.73	0.92	0.76	0.70	0.70	0.67	0.82	0.72	0.54	0.60	0.54	0.64	0.58	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.51	0.51	0.53
FM <sub>3</sub>	0.70	0.77	0.78	0.96	0.80	0.73	0.74	0.69	0.87	0.76	0.56	0.68	0.61	0.69	0.64	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.51
FM <sub>4</sub>	0.70	0.75	0.74	0.97	0.79	0.73	0.76	0.72	0.87	0.77	0.60	0.64	0.62	0.71	0.64	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53
FM <sub>5</sub>	0.69	0.82	0.80	0.99	0.82	0.75	0.77	0.71	0.88	0.78	0.60	0.64	0.63	0.72	0.65	0.50	0.54	0.55	0.50	0.50	0.51
Mean	0.69	0.75	0.76	0.95	0.78	0.71	0.73	0.69	0.85	0.76	0.56	0.64	0.60	0.68	0.62	0.51	0.53	0.54	0.50	0.51	0.51
CD (P=0.05) Cultivar	0.05	0.02	0.01	NS																	
Fertilizer module	0.03	0.02	0.03	NS																	
V × FM	NS	NS	NS	NS																	

\*FM<sub>1</sub>: 20-20-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 100 ppm N + 140 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>2</sub>: 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>3</sub>: 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>4</sub>: 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 175 ppm N + 245 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>5</sub>: 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K (fertigation); V<sub>1</sub>: White Wedding; V<sub>2</sub>: Farida; V<sub>3</sub>: Niva; V<sub>4</sub>: Madras

(2011). However, the interaction effect of cultivars and fertilizer modules in increasing the diameter of the cuttings was found to be non-significant.

*Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on weight of the un-rooted cuttings*

The data presented in Table 2 indicates that the weight of the un-rooted cutting significantly increased with the increasing levels of fertilization modules. Maximum weight of the un-rooted cuttings (5.22 g) was noted from plants supplied with a basal dose of 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK along with 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K as fertigation given twice a week (FM<sub>5</sub>), whereas minimum weight (4.15 g) was recorded from FM<sub>2</sub> composed of basal application of 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK and 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K given as fertigation-twice a week. Cultivar Madras showed maximum weight of the un-rooted cuttings (4.60 g) and was minimum (3.83 g) in White Wedding. Interaction effect revealed that fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> recorded maximum weight of the cuttings (4.55 g, 5.64 g, 5.18 g and 5.49 g, respectively) in cultivars White Wedding, Farida, Niva and Madras. Whereas, minimum weight of the cuttings (4.43 g, 3.80 g and 4.53 g) was recorded from FM<sub>2</sub> for cultivars Farida, Niva and Madras, respectively. While, in cultivar White Wedding, minimum weight of the cuttings (3.57 g) was noted from plants given a basal dose of 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK and fertigation with 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K twice a week (FM<sub>3</sub>). Higher fertilizer doses increased the weight of the un-rooted cuttings compared to lower fertilizer doses. The less weight of un-rooted cuttings with fertigation might be due to abrupt fluctuations in temperature and humidity throughout the growing season. A similar result was also reported by Gill and Grewal (1985) while producing the carnation cuttings of cv. Scania. Further, the weight of the cuttings was found to decrease with each successive harvest of cuttings. Similar findings were observed for obtaining better quality cuttings with frequent fertigation by Ruiter (1993) in chrysanthemum, El-Naggar *et al.* (2009) and Kazaz *et al.* (2011) in carnation.

*Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on number of cuttings/plant/harvest*

Data presented in Table 3 shows that maximum number of cuttings/plant/harvest (4.50) was recorded in cultivar Madras while, it was minimum in Farida (3.20). Interaction effect reveals that maximum number of cuttings/plant/harvest was noted with fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> in Farida Niva and Madras (4.53, 5.20 and 4.83, respectively), whereas in cultivar White Wedding, maximum number of cuttings/plant/harvest (5.03) recorded from fertilizer module FM<sub>4</sub>. However, cultivars White Wedding showed minimum number of cuttings/plant (2.92) followed by Niva (2.77), respectively) with FM<sub>2</sub>.

*Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on total number of cuttings/plant*

It is apparent from data in Table 4 that total number of

Table 2 Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on the weight (g) of the un-rooted cuttings

Cultivar (V)/ Fertilizer module (FM)	1 <sup>st</sup> harvest				2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest				3 <sup>rd</sup> harvest				4 <sup>th</sup> harvest									
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean		
FM <sub>1</sub> *	3.81	4.51	3.88	4.63	4.21	4.31	4.88	4.27	5.31	4.69	3.45	4.15	3.70	3.77	3.77	3.10	3.34	3.38	3.38	3.38	3.30	
FM <sub>2</sub>	3.89	4.33	3.83	4.69	4.19	4.22	5.07	4.78	5.37	4.86	3.36	3.90	2.78	3.52	3.39	3.31	3.57	3.03	3.70	3.70	3.40	
FM <sub>3</sub>	3.67	4.58	3.81	5.08	4.29	4.02	5.04	4.87	5.81	4.93	3.01	4.43	3.40	3.31	3.54	3.15	3.25	2.94	3.39	3.39	3.18	
FM <sub>4</sub>	4.73	5.75	4.95	5.90	5.33	4.88	5.75	5.23	5.90	5.44	4.00	4.69	3.99	4.07	4.19	2.84	3.28	3.13	3.99	3.99	3.31	
FM <sub>5</sub>	4.75	6.38	5.73	6.25	5.78	4.80	5.68	5.42	5.99	5.47	4.11	4.86	4.40	4.23	4.40	3.11	3.61	3.66	3.62	3.62	3.50	
Mean	4.17	5.11	4.44	5.31	4.45	4.45	5.28	4.91	5.68	4.91	3.58	4.41	3.66	3.78	3.10	3.41	3.41	3.22	3.22	3.22	3.62	
CD (P=0.05) Cultivar	0.13	0.20	0.29	0.27																		
Fertilizer module	0.13	0.18	0.16	NS																		
V × FM	0.27	0.37	0.40	NS																		

\*FM<sub>1</sub>: 20-20-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 100 ppm N + 140 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>2</sub>: 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>3</sub>: 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>4</sub>: 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 175 ppm N + 245 ppm K (fertigation); FM<sub>5</sub>: 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K (fertigation); V<sub>1</sub>: White Wedding; V<sub>2</sub>: Farida; V<sub>3</sub>: Niva; V<sub>4</sub>: Madras

Table 3 Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on number of cuttings/plant/harvest

Cultivar (V)/ Fertilizer module (FM)	1 <sup>st</sup> harvest				2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest				3 <sup>rd</sup> harvest				4 <sup>th</sup> harvest							
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean
FM <sub>1</sub> *	3.10	2.43	2.97	3.10	2.90	2.97	2.77	3.03	2.93	2.93	3.43	3.70	3.30	4.17	3.65	3.57	3.40	3.33	3.63	3.48
FM <sub>2</sub>	2.93	2.87	2.77	3.43	3.00	3.13	3.03	2.97	3.27	3.10	3.87	3.70	3.03	4.14	3.68	3.73	3.43	3.30	3.57	3.51
FM <sub>3</sub>	3.23	3.03	3.10	3.24	3.15	3.10	2.90	2.97	3.00	2.99	3.53	3.53	4.60	4.67	4.08	3.80	4.07	3.57	3.57	3.75
FM <sub>4</sub>	3.83	3.70	4.80	3.97	4.07	4.13	4.07	4.63	3.77	4.15	5.03	4.47	4.70	4.70	4.73	3.40	3.80	3.10	3.87	3.54
FM <sub>5</sub>	4.03	3.97	4.67	4.00	4.17	4.43	4.40	5.20	3.77	4.45	4.33	4.53	4.50	4.83	4.55	2.93	4.10	3.87	4.33	3.81
Mean	3.43	3.20	3.66	3.55	-	3.55	3.43	3.76	3.35	-	4.04	3.99	4.03	4.50	-	3.49	3.76	3.43	3.79	-
CD (P=0.05) Cultivar	0.07	0.11	0.19	0.11																
Fertilizer module	0.15	0.15	0.26	0.22																
V × FM	0.27	0.29	0.50	0.42																

\*FM<sub>1</sub>: 20-20-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 100 ppm N + 140 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>2</sub>: 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>3</sub>: 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>4</sub>: 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 175 ppm N + 245 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>5</sub>: 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K (fertiligation); V<sub>1</sub>: White Wedding; V<sub>2</sub>: Farida; V<sub>3</sub>: Niva; V<sub>4</sub>: Madras

Table 4 Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on the total number of cuttings/plant

Cultivars (V)/ Fertilizer modules (FM)	White wedding	Farida	Niva	Madras	Means
FM <sub>1</sub> *	13.07	12.30	12.63	13.83	12.96
FM <sub>2</sub>	13.67	13.03	12.07	14.40	13.29
FM <sub>3</sub>	13.67	13.00	14.23	14.48	13.85
FM <sub>4</sub>	16.40	16.03	17.23	16.30	16.49
FM <sub>5</sub>	15.73	17.00	18.23	16.93	16.98
Means	14.51	14.27	14.88	15.19	
CD (P=0.05)	0.26				
Cultivar					
Fertilizer module	0.29				
V × FM	0.58				

\*FM<sub>1</sub>: 20-20-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 100 ppm N + 140 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>2</sub>: 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>3</sub>: 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>4</sub>: 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 175 ppm N + 245 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>5</sub>: 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K (fertiligation); V<sub>1</sub>: White Wedding; V<sub>2</sub>: Farida; V<sub>3</sub>: Niva; V<sub>4</sub>: Madras

cuttings/plant was found maximum in cv. Madras (15.19), while minimum in cv. Farida (14.27). Further, total number of cuttings/plant was maximum (16.98) in fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> and minimum (12.96) in FM<sub>1</sub>. Interaction effect reveals that maximum total number of cuttings/plant (17.00, 18.23 and 16.93, respectively) was observed with fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> in Farida Niva and Madras, whereas, cv. White Wedding recorded maximum no. of cuttings (16.40) with fertilizer module FM<sub>4</sub>. Fertilizer module FM<sub>1</sub> showed minimum total number of cuttings in cultivars White Wedding (13.07) followed by Farida (12.30) and Madras (13.83), while, cv. Niva noted minimum total number of cuttings/plant (12.07) with FM<sub>2</sub>.

#### Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on yield of cuttings/square meter

Data presented in Table 5 showed that maximum yield of cuttings (170.10) was recorded with fertilizer module FM<sub>4</sub>, whereas, minimum (104.40) with FM<sub>1</sub>. Interaction effect reveals that maximum yield of cuttings (163.20, 187.20 and 174.00, respectively) was recorded with fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> in Farida Niva and Madras, while, cultivar White Wedding recorded 181.20 cuttings/m<sup>2</sup> from fertilizer module FM<sub>4</sub>. However, minimum yield of cuttings were noticed in cultivars White Wedding (105.60) followed by Niva (99.60) with FM<sub>2</sub>. Whereas, the cultivars Farida (87.60) and Madras (105.60) gave the minimum yield of cuttings with fertilizer module FM<sub>1</sub>.

The better growth parameters under fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> in cultivars Farida, Niva and Madras and in White Wedding with fertilizer module FM<sub>4</sub> may be ascribed to the more availability of nutrients and its synchronization with the uptake. But towards the end of harvesting period, i.e. 4<sup>th</sup>



Table 5 Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on the yield of cuttings/m<sup>2</sup>

Cultivar (V)/ Fertilizer module (FM)	1 <sup>st</sup> harvest				2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest				3 <sup>rd</sup> harvest				4 <sup>th</sup> harvest							
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean
FM <sub>1</sub> *	111.60	87.60	106.80	111.60	104.40	106.80	99.60	109.20	105.60	105.30	123.60	133.20	118.80	150.00	131.40	128.40	122.40	120.00	130.80	125.40
FM <sub>2</sub>	105.60	103.20	99.60	123.60	108.00	112.80	109.20	106.80	117.60	111.60	139.20	133.20	109.20	148.80	132.60	134.40	123.60	118.80	128.40	126.30
FM <sub>3</sub>	116.40	109.20	111.60	116.76	113.49	111.60	104.40	106.80	108.00	107.70	127.20	127.20	165.60	168.00	147.00	136.80	146.40	128.40	128.40	135.00
FM <sub>4</sub>	138.00	133.20	172.68	142.80	146.67	148.80	146.40	166.80	135.60	149.40	181.20	160.80	169.20	169.20	170.10	122.40	136.80	111.60	139.20	127.50
FM <sub>5</sub>	145.20	142.80	168.00	144.00	150.00	159.60	158.40	187.20	135.60	160.20	156.00	163.20	162.00	174.00	163.80	105.60	147.60	139.20	156.00	137.10
Mean	123.60	115.20	131.74	127.75		127.92	123.60	135.36	120.48		145.44	143.52	144.96	162.00		125.52	135.36	123.60	136.56	
CD (P=0.05) Cultivar	2.66	3.93	6.83	3.95																
Fertilizer module	5.33	5.41	9.48	8.11																
V × FM	9.90	10.42	18.26	15.02																

\*FM<sub>1</sub> : 20-20-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 100 ppm N + 140 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>2</sub> : 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>3</sub> : 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>4</sub> : 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 175 ppm N + 245 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>5</sub> : 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K (fertiligation); V<sub>1</sub> : White Wedding; V<sub>2</sub> : Farida; V<sub>3</sub> : Niva; V<sub>4</sub> : Madras

Table 6 Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on time taken for first and successive harvesting of cuttings (days)

Cultivar (V)/ Fertilizer module (FM)	Planting to 1 <sup>st</sup> harvest				1 <sup>st</sup> -2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest				2 <sup>nd</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> harvest				3 <sup>rd</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> harvest							
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean
FM <sub>1</sub> *	109.00	108.00	111.00	110.00	109.50	30.30	32.97	28.93	30.97	30.74	26.37	26.83	26.73	26.83	26.69	25.27	25.93	25.27	25.07	25.38
FM <sub>2</sub>	109.00	108.00	111.00	110.00	109.50	28.77	34.50	30.80	34.50	32.14	26.77	25.97	26.10	26.10	26.23	24.87	25.60	25.00	25.27	25.18
FM <sub>3</sub>	109.00	108.00	111.00	110.00	109.50	29.20	31.47	32.20	29.70	30.64	26.97	27.00	25.93	26.73	26.66	25.03	25.13	25.53	25.60	25.33
FM <sub>4</sub>	109.00	108.00	111.00	110.00	109.50	32.37	35.03	31.87	35.03	33.58	26.13	26.63	25.67	26.63	26.12	24.87	26.37	25.60	25.63	25.62
FM <sub>5</sub>	109.00	108.00	111.00	110.00	109.50	29.87	34.93	30.47	31.93	31.80	27.23	26.63	26.53	26.03	26.46	24.50	25.60	24.80	25.60	25.13
Mean	109.00	108.00	111.00	110.00		30.10	33.78	30.85	32.43		26.69	26.49	26.07	26.47		24.91	25.73	25.24	25.43	
CD (P=0.05) Cultivar	NS	1.40	0.37	0.38																
Fertilizer module	NS	1.77	NS	NS																
V × FM	NS	NS	NS	NS																

\*FM<sub>1</sub> : 20-20-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 100 ppm N + 140 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>2</sub> : 20-15-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 125 ppm N + 175 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>3</sub> : 20-10-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 150 ppm N + 210 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>4</sub> : 20-15-10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 175 ppm N + 245 ppm K (fertiligation); FM<sub>5</sub> : 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) + 200 ppm N + 280 ppm K (fertiligation); V<sub>1</sub> : White Wedding; V<sub>2</sub> : Farida; V<sub>3</sub> : Niva; V<sub>4</sub> : Madras

harvest, cultivar White Wedding, gave the minimum number of cuttings/plant and total yield of cuttings with fertilizers module FM<sub>5</sub>. It reflects that fertilizers have great importance to increase the weight and yield at a certain level afterwards increasing fertilization of macro nutrients has negative results. These results are in line with the findings of Wenzhong (2010), Khosa *et al.* (2011), Santos *et al.* (2011) and Twardowski *et al.* (2012) in herbaceous perennials.

The number of side shoots which appeared after pinching of the plants increased till the third harvest and thereafter, it started decreasing. This may be attributed due to the favourable environmental conditions prevailing in the polyhouse till the time of third harvest, i.e. March to May. Atherton and Harris (1980) also reported that shoot tips of plants grown in long days yielded greater amounts of diffusible gibberellins-like substances than shoot tips of plants grown in short days which ultimately resulted in faster shoot development. The changes in cutting yield might be due to a natural reduction in vigor of the cultivars towards the end of the harvest time. At times, all treatments produced similar numbers of cuttings, yet throughout the study, fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub>, more consistently produced the largest yield. Similar findings were observed in bougainvillea cv. Raspberry Ice and Purple Small Leaf (Cerveny 2006).

#### *Effect of fertilizer modules and cultivars on time taken for first and successive harvesting of cuttings*

The time taken for successive harvesting of cuttings ranged from 24.91 days to 111.00 days depending upon the cultivars (Table 6). Minimum number of days was taken for harvesting of cuttings in 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> harvest during the months of May-June, whereas, successive harvesting took longer time during the winter months (February-March). It may be because of the fact that growth of the plants was slower during winter months due to prevailing low temperature and relative humidity which might have resulted in lesser metabolic activity and ultimately in slow growth of the plants. The results of the present findings are in accordance with the results obtained in carnation cv. Impala and Montana (Sharma 2000). These results may further be attributed to the fact that there is slow growth during winter season than summer when growth rates are about ten times higher under solar radiation of high flux density than those of winter (Bunt 1972).

Therefore, the present investigation revealed that different growth parameters responded to the fertilizer modules and fertilizer module FM<sub>5</sub> consisting of 20-5-5 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK (as basal) along with 200 ppm N and 280 ppm K (fertigation twice a week) was found to be significantly

superior over the treatments and gave the best results pertaining to the growth parameters for quality cuttings in carnation cv. Farida, Niva and Madras.

#### REFERENCES

- Atherton J G and Harris G P. 1980. Effects of photoperiod on shoot elongation and endogenous gibberellins in the glass house carnation. *Scientia Horticulturae* **12**: 83–8.
- Budiarto K, Sulyoa Y, Dwi S N E and Maaswinkelb R H M. 2006. Effect of types of media and NPK fertilizer on the rooting capacity of chrysanthemum cuttings. *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **7**(2): 67–70.
- Bunt A C. 1972. Effect of season on the carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) I. Growth rate. *Journal of Horticultural Science* **47**: 467–77.
- Cerveny B C. 2006. 'Stock plant management in tropical perennials'. M Sc thesis, University of Florida.
- Devi S, Gupta A K and Sehrawat S K. 2003. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorous on growth of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) cv. Cabaret. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science* **32**(3-4): 209–11.
- El-Naggar A H, El-Naggar A A M and Ismaiel N M. 2009. Effect of phosphorous application and gibberellic acid (GA) on the growth and flower quality of *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. *American Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science* **6**(4): 400–10.
- Gill A P and Grewal N S. 1985. Studies on the production and storage of cuttings of Sim carnation 'Scania'. *Punjab Horticultural Journal* **27**(1-2): 88–91.
- Kazaz S, Tekintas F E and Askin M A. 2011. Effects of different planting systems and densities on yield and quality in standard carnations. *Journal of Cell and Plant Science* **2**(1): 19–23.
- Khosa S S, Younis A, Rayit A, Yasmeeen S and Riaz A. 2011. Effect of foliar application of macro and micro nutrients on growth and flowering of *Gerbera Jamesonii* L. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science* **11** (5): 736–57.
- Ruiter de H A. 1993. Improving cutting quality in chrysanthemum by stock plant management. *Scientia Horticulturae* **56**: 43–50.
- Santos M K, Fisher P R, Yeager T H, Simonne E H, Carter H S and Agro W R. 2011. Effect of petunia stock plant nutritional status on fertilizer response during propagation. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **34** (10): 1 424–36.
- Sharma P D. 2000. 'Studies on cutting production and rooting of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.)'. M Sc thesis, Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh.
- Twardoski M C, Crocker J L and Scoggins H L. 2012. Quantity and quality of cuttings as influenced by stock plant nutrition of herbaceous perennials. *Horticulture Technology* **22** (1): 89–93.
- Wenzhong R. 2010. 'The effect of shading and nitrogen on cutting production and quality of potted poinsettia'. M Sc thesis, National Chung Hsing University, Japan.