Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) is the most important sugar crop in the world for sugar production which plays pivotal role in Indian economy by contributing 0.67% of national GDP because of its wider adaptability over varying agro-climatic condition (Dev et al. 2011). It is a chief raw material for Indian sugar industry. This industry is the second largest agro-based industry after textiles industry which ranks India second, among sugarcane producing countries and sharing 20% of the world sugarcane area. It is the main source of sugar in India and holds an important agricultural commercial cash crop (Dev et al. 2013) which provides gainful employment to large number of people. Sugarcane occupies an area of about 5.06 million ha with a production of 334.54 million tonnes of canes. In India, 26.34 million tonnes of sugar produced with a recovery of 10.25%. Its productivity in India is low (66.08 tonnes/ha) compared with that in many other sugarcane growing countries namely Egypt (121.14 tonnes/ha) and Colombia (100.42 tonnes/ha). Uttar Pradesh ranks first both in area (2.21 mha) and production (130.51 mt) of sugarcane contributing 43.68 and 39.01%, respectively at the national level. This gap in the acreage and production is because of poor cane productivity in the state being 59.00 tonnes/ha which is even less than the national average (IISR 2013). Among various factors needed to exploit the full potential of the crop, minimizing the weed menace is of utmost importance. Reduction in cane yield due to uncontrolled weeds has been reported to vary between 43.40% (Tomar et al. 2003) to 73.70% (Verma 2000) and depend upon the nature and density of weeds and stage of crop growth at which keenest competition take place (Chauhan and Srivastava 2002). It has been reported that continuous use of atrazine have given rise to resistant biotypes of 58 weeds out of total 113 reported in the world so far (Le Baron 1992). Identification of new herbicides is vital and urgently needed to reduce the possibility of evolution of resistant biotype of weeds and getting higher sugarcane yield.

**ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted to effect of sequential application of herbicide on weeds in spring planted sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) during the spring seasons of 2011-12 and 2012-13 at the Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India. Results clearly revealed that weed biomass at 90 DAP, weed control efficiency at 90 DAP, weed index and cane yield (132.43 tonnes/ha in 2011-12 and 138.21 tonnes/ha in 2012-13) were significantly with conventional practice (Three hoeings) at 30, 60 and 90 DAP. However, the conventional practice (Three hoeings) at 30, 60 and 90 DAP significantly increased the profitability of sugarcane such as cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and B:C ratio (2.96 and 3.13 in 2011-12 and 2012-13, respectively) and second best treatment was sequential application of ametryne @ 2.4 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP fb 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 60 DAP of sugarcane.
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Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) is the most important sugar crop in the world for sugar production which plays pivotal role in Indian economy by contributing 0.67% of national GDP because of its wider adaptability over varying agro-climatic condition (Dev et al. 2011). It is a chief raw material for Indian sugar industry. This industry is the second largest agro-based industry after textiles industry which ranks India second, among sugarcane producing countries and sharing 20% of the world sugarcane area. It is the main source of sugar in India and holds an important agricultural commercial cash crop (Dev et al. 2013) which provides gainful employment to large number of people. Sugarcane occupies an area of about 5.06 million ha with a production of 334.54 million tonnes of canes. In India, 26.34 million tonnes of sugar produced with a recovery of 10.25%. Its productivity in India is low (66.08 tonnes/ha) compared with that in many other sugarcane growing countries namely Egypt (121.14 tonnes/ha) and Colombia (100.42 tonnes/ha). Uttar Pradesh ranks first both in area (2.21 mha) and production (130.51 mt) of sugarcane contributing 43.68 and 39.01%, respectively at the national level. This gap in the acreage and production is because of poor cane productivity in the state being 59.00 tonnes/ha which is even less than the national average (IISR 2013). Among various factors needed to exploit the full potential of the crop, minimizing the weed menace is of utmost importance. Reduction in cane yield due to uncontrolled weeds has been reported to vary between 43.40% (Tomar et al. 2003) to 73.70% (Verma 2000) and depend upon the nature and density of weeds and stage of crop growth at which keenest competition take place (Chauhan and Srivastava 2002). It has been reported that continuous use of atrazine have given rise to resistant biotypes of 58 weeds out of total 113 reported in the world so far (Le Baron 1992). Identification of new herbicides is vital and urgently needed to reduce the possibility of evolution of resistant biotype of weeds and getting higher sugarcane yield.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present investigation was conducted during two consecutive spring seasons of 2011-12 and 2012-13 at the Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India. The physico-chemical properties of soil of the experimental site were sandy clay loam in texture (Typical Ustochrept) with pH 7.64. It was moderately fertile being low in organic carbon (0.36%), available nitrogen (187.00 kg/ha), whereas available phosphorus (21.03 kg/ha) and potassium (227.00 kg/ha) were medium. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications. Twelve combinations, viz. T₁-Weedy, T₂-Conventional practice (Three hoeings) at 30, 60 and 90 DAP, T₃-Ametryne @ 1.6 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP fb 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 60 DAP of sugarcane.
at 30 DAP, T₆-Atrazine @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP, T₇- Ametryne @ 1.6 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP/f 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg a.i./ ha at 60 DAP, T₈-Ametryne @ 2.0 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP/f 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 60 DAP, T₉-Ametryne @ 2.4 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP/f 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 60 DAP, T₁₀- Ametryne @ 2.0 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP/f 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg a.i./ ha at 60 DAP, T₁₁-Atrazine @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP/f Carfentrazone+Glyphosate @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 60 DAP and T₁₂-Carfentrazone+Glyphosate @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 60 DAP were allotted to plot. The treatments were allocated randomly to each plot. Urea, diamonium phosphate and muriate of potash were used as a source of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. The crop was uniformly fertilized with 120 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O per ha giving half of the nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium as basal in furrows. Remaining nitrogen was top dressed in two equal splits at 60 and 90 DAP. Seed canes were taken from healthy crop of CoS 98231, suitable for spring season. Canes were cut in to 3 budded pieces. Setts showing any symptom of disease were rouged out and healthy setts were dipped in 0.25% solution of Emisan for 15 minutes to prevent any fungal infection. The treated setts were placed horizontally in 15 cm deep furrows opened at 75 cm distance. After planting, the setts were covered with loose soil and finally the field was planked. Data for weed components were subjected to square root transformation (\(\sqrt{x + 0.5}\)) for uniformity. Data were assessed by Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT) (Duncan 1955) with a probability of P < 0.05 by using SAS (Statistical Analysis System) software (version 9.2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is evident from the analyzed result (Table 1) that the effect of various weed control treatments were significant on weed biomass and their weed control efficiency at 90 days after planting and cane yield during both the years of investigation. Critical examination of data reveals that three hoeings at 30, 60 and 90 DAP (conventional practice) recorded minimum weed biomass and highest weed control efficiency at 90 DAP and cane yield which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments during both the years of experimentation. Among herbicidal treatments, ametryne @ 2.4 kg a.i./ha at 30 DAP/f 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha at 60 DAP (T₉) recorded minimum weed biomass and maximum...
Table 2: Profitability of sugarcane as influenced by weed control treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Time (DAP)</th>
<th>Gross return ((\text{`}/ha))</th>
<th>Net return ((\text{`}/ha))</th>
<th>B:C ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30, 60, 90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ametryne</td>
<td>2.4 A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29369.55</td>
<td>404033.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ametryne @ 1.6 kg a.i./ha</td>
<td>2.4 A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29369.55</td>
<td>404033.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrazine</td>
<td>1.0 B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>241957.00</td>
<td>355685.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrazine @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha</td>
<td>1.0 B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>241957.00</td>
<td>355685.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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