



Organic source of nutrients effect on growth, yield and quality of elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius*)

M NEDUNCHEZHIAN¹, V RAVI², G BYJU³ and JAMES GEORGE⁴

Regional Centre, ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751 019

Received: 17 October 2016; Accepted: 11 January 2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at the Regional Centre of ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Bhubaneswar, India during 2008-09 and 2009-10 to find out the effect of organic source of nutrients on growth, yield and quality of elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolson). The experiment consisted of nine treatments (T₁ to T₉) involving different combinations of vermicompost, farmyard manure, poultry manure, vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae, phosphorous solubilising bacteria, *Azospirillum* and ash and recommended N-P₂O₅-K₂O 80-60-100 kg/ha (T₁₀). Application of 10 t FYM along with 5 t ash, 5 kg *Azospirillum* and 5 kg PSB /ha (T₇) resulted in significantly taller pseudostem, more girth of pseudostem at collar region, wider canopy, more leaflets/hill and leaf area at 3 and 5 MAP. Plants under the same treatment had maximum dry matter in pseudostem, leaf canopy and corm, and corm yield. Application of PSB resulted in greater dry matter production and corm yield than VAM. FYM based organic source of nutrients significantly reduced calcium oxalate in corms.

Key words: Biofertilizers, Corm yield, Elephant foot yam, Organic manures

Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are the most important elements for realizing potential yield of crops. In intensified cropping system, which has high turnover of nutrients, poor recycling of organic sources and application of chemical fertilizers containing these major nutrients caused deficiency of several micronutrients in soil and also lead to environmental pollution (Kumar 2008). Khambalkar *et al.* (2012) reported that the long term application of chemical fertilizers alone under intensive cropping may deplete the reserve pool of non-applied nutrients and carbon, which if not properly managed, leads to deterioration of soil productivity and fertility. The negative effect of chemical fertilizers can be reduced by suitable substitution of organic source to sustain the crop productivity. Furthermore, continuing world energy crisis and spiraling price of chemical fertilizer, the use of organic manure as a renewable source of plant nutrients is assuming importance (Devi *et al.* 2011). Addition of organic sources can increase the growth and yield through improving soil productivity (Santhi and Selvakumari 2000). Organic source permits the recycling of organic wastes, the disposal of which could be difficult and

expensive. Vermicomposting is the better way of utilizing organic wastes and supplementing biofertilizers enriches soil fertility (Paikray *et al.* 2002).

Elephant foot yam [*Amorphophallus paeoniifolicus* (Dennst.) Nicolson] growth and corm yield is influenced by the size of planting material (corms/cormels/corm pieces), plant spacing, nutrient management and water availability (Ravi *et al.* 2011). The market for organically produced food is on the rise despite a dialogue on organic vs modern agriculture. Elephant foot yam yields are very high and removes correspondingly high amount of nutrients. An elephant foot yam corm yield of 43 tonnes/ha removed 124.8 kg N, 26.1 kg P and 222.4 kg K (Kabeerathumma *et al.* 1987). As the economic part of elephant foot yam is underground, organic manures have direct effect on the yield and quality of corms. Keeping this in view, a field experiment was conducted to evaluate locally available organic manures as source of nutrients on the growth, yield and quality of corm in elephant foot yam.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at the Regional Centre of ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute (20° 14' 50" N and 85° 47' 06" E), Bhubaneswar, India during 2008-09 and 2009-10. The soil of the experiment field is Typic Rhedustalfs with pH 5.4, organic carbon 0.35%, available N 168 kg/ha, available P₂O₅ 19.6 kg/ha and available K₂O 152 kg/ha. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design with three replications. The experiment consisted of

¹Principal Scientist (Agronomy) (e mail: mnedun@gmail.com), ²Principal Scientist (Plant Physiology) and Head (e mail: veluravi03@yahoo.co.in), Division of Crop Production, ³Principal Scientist (Soil Science), (e mail: byju_g@yahoo.com), ⁴Project Co-ordinator and Director i/c (e mail: jgkarott@gmail.com), ICAR- Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Sreekariyam, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala 695 017.

the following treatments: T₁- Vermicompost 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes/ha, T₂- Farmyard manure (FYM) 10 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes/ha, T₃- Poultry manure 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes/ha, T₄- Vermicompost 5 tonnes + ash 5 t + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae (VAM) 5 kg/ha, T₅- Vermicompost 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + Phosphorus solubilising bacteria (PSB) 5 kg/ha, T₆- FYM 10 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + VAM 5 kg/ha, T₇- FYM 10 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + PSB 5 kg/ha, T₈- Poultry manure 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + VAM 5 kg/ha, T₉- Poultry manure 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + PSB 5 kg/ha and T₁₀- Recommended N-P₂O₅-K₂O 80-60-100 kg/ha. The mean nutrient content of organic manures used in this experiment was as follows: FYM (N-P-K 0.59-0.30-0.46%), Vermicompost (N-P-K 1.52-0.68-1.03%), poultry manure (N-P-K 1.46-0.96-0.92%) and ash (N-P-K 0-0.96-3.14%). Organic manures, ash and phosphorus were applied before last plough. *Azospirillum*, PSB and VAM were applied in the pits just before placing the seed corms. The 'Gajendra' variety of elephant foot yam weighing 400 g was planted at 90 × 90 cm spacing. FYM and total phosphorus were applied during last plough. Half dose of nitrogen and potassium were applied 1 month after planting (MAP) and the remaining half dose was applied 1 month after 1st dose. No pesticide was applied as there were no diseases and insect pests. Weeding followed by earthing up was carried out at 20, 40 and 70 days after planting. The crop was planted 14 June 2006 and 16 June 2007 and harvested 13 February 2007 and 15 February 2008, respectively (8 MAP). The crop was raised under rainfed conditions and received 1399.5 and 1366.7 mm rainfall during growing period of 2006-07 and 2007-08, respectively. However, four supplementary irrigations were given during the post monsoon period (October-January). In each irrigation, 4 cm water was applied.

Measurements on growth parameters (height and girth of pseudostem, number of leaflets per leaf) and dry matter were carried out at 3, 5 and 8 MAP (harvest). Corm yield was recorded at harvest at 8 MAP. Quality characters of corms such as dry matter, starch, total sugars and calcium oxalate were analysed at harvest. Cost of cultivation and returns were calculated as prevailing market rates of inputs and output during the cropping period. Benefit:cost ratio was calculated by dividing gross returns by cost of cultivation. The data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) appropriate to the design using GENSTAT programme. The significant differences between the treatments were compared with the critical difference (CD) at a 5% level of probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth

Significant differences were observed in pseudostem length (94-134 cm), pseudostem girth (16-22 cm), canopy spread (110-140 cm), number of leaflets/hill (615-724), and leaf area index (1.08-1.23) among ten nutrient sources treatments (Table 1). Organic source of nutrients had significant effect on pseudostem length, canopy spread and girth of pseudostem at collar region. Application of 10 tonnes FYM along with 5 tonnes ash, 5 kg *Azospirillum* and 5 kg phosphorus solubilising bacteria per ha (T₇) resulted in significantly taller pseudostem, wider canopy and more girth of pseudostem at collar region at 3 and 5 MAP and it was statistically at par with plants under the treatments T₆-FYM 10 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + VAM 5 kg/ha and T₂-Farmyard manure 10 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes/ha. The pseudostem height, canopy spread and girth of pseudostem at collar region of plants under the treatment T₁₀ (recommended N-P₂O₅-K₂O 80-60-100 kg/ha), T₅ (vermicompost 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum*

Table 1 Growth and leaf area index of elephant foot yam as influenced by organic source of nutrients (Pooled data of two years)

Treatment	Pseudostem length (cm)		Canopy width (cm)		Pseudostem girth at collar region (cm)		No. of leaflets/hill		Leaf area index	
	3 MAP	5 MAP	3 MAP	5 MAP	3 MAP	5 MAP	3 MAP	5 MAP	3 MAP	5 MAP
T ₁ . VC + ash	60	98	72	115	12	17	320	650	0.56	1.10
T ₂ . FYM + ash	65	126	82	134	14	20	328	690	0.57	1.17
T ₃ . PM + ash	57	94	70	110	12	16	310	615	0.55	1.08
T ₄ . VC + ash + Az + VAM	63	114	78	124	12	18	318	658	0.55	1.11
T ₅ . VC + ash + Az + PSB	65	120	80	126	13	19	320	662	0.56	1.12
T ₆ . FYM + ash + Az + VAM	72	130	84	136	16	20	334	706	0.59	1.20
T ₇ . FYM + ash + Az + PSB	75	134	88	140	17	22	342	724	0.60	1.23
T ₈ . PM + ash + Az + VAM	58	96	70	115	12	16	317	642	0.55	1.09
T ₉ . PM + ash + Az + PSB	62	105	73	120	13	18	322	648	0.56	1.10
T ₁₀ . Recommended POP	68	120	78	130	14	18	330	690	0.57	1.17
CD (P=0.05)	6	10	7	12	2	2	NS	NS	NS	NS

FYM- Farmyard manure; VC-Vermicompost; VAM-Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae; PM-Poultry manure; PSB-Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria; Az-*Azospirillum*.

5 kg + phosphorus solubilising bacteria 5 kg/ha) and T₄ (vermicompost 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae 5 kg/ha) were statistically at par at 3 and 5 MAP. Significantly shorter pseudostem length, lower canopy spread and girth of pseudostem at collar region were observed in plants under the treatment T₃ (poultry manure 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes/ha at 3 and 5 MAP). However, it was statistically at par with plants under the treatment T₁ (vermicompost 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes/ha, T₈-poultry manure 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + VAM 5 kg/ha) and T₉ (poultry manure 5 tonnes + ash 5 tonnes + *Azospirillum* 5 kg + PSB 5 kg/ha). No marked variation in number of leaflets/hill and leaf area index was observed with regard to source of nutrients. Although maximum number of leaflets/hill (724) and leaf area index (1.23) were observed in plants under the treatment T₇, it was not statistically significant from other treatments. It indicated that quantity of nutrients supplied through inorganic source and various types of organic sources was sufficient for crop growth up to five months.

Dry matter production and partitioning

Dry matter partitioning in to pseudostem, leaf canopy and corm indicated that dry matter accumulation in pseudostem and leaf canopy was up to 5 MAP after that the accumulation was very less as compared to corm. The dry matter accumulation in corm continued till the harvest at 8 MAP (Table 2). This is in agreement with Das *et al.* (1997). The dry matter accumulation in leaf canopy was greater than in pseudostem. The dry matter accumulation in corm was greater than combined dry matter accumulation of pseudostem and leaves at all the stages. It indicated that the partitioning efficiency of dry matter towards corm was very high. The total dry matter production rate was very high between 3 and 5 MAP (Table 2). The dry matter produced between 5 and 8 MAP was partitioned mainly to the corm.

Significantly maximum dry matter accumulated in pseudostem, leaf canopy and corms of plants under T₇ at 3, 5 and 8 MAP and it was statistically on par with T₆ and T₂. The total dry matter production (TDM) per plant varied between 502.6 and 700.7 g/plant the maximum being in plants under the treatment T₇ and this was on par with the TDM produced in plants under T₆ (678.3 g/plant) and T₂ (657.8 g/plant) (Table 2). It indicated that FYM was the better source of nutrients among organic sources as well as inorganic source. The inorganic source of nutrients was subjected to various losses after application. When inorganic fertilizers are applied at an early growth period, long duration crops like elephant foot yam is unable to get sufficient nutrients at later period. This was amply indicated by greater growth parameters at early period of crop growth due to inorganic fertilizer application (Table 1) and lower dry matter accumulation rate at later period (Table 2 and 3). Application of biofertilizers had additional advantage of increasing the dry matter accumulation, though not at significant level (Table 2). Application of PSB resulted in greater dry matter production and corm yield than VAM.

Yield attributes and yield

Organic source of nutrients had significant effect on yield attributes of elephant foot yam. Plants under the treatment T₇ had maximum corm length, corm diameter and corm yield/plant and it was statistically on par with plants under the treatments T₆ and T₂ (Table 3). Organic source of nutrients supplied through vermicompost was the next best. It was followed by nutrients supplied through poultry manure. Organic matter maintains regular supply of macro and micro nutrients in optimal congruence with the crop demand which might have improved its yield attributes (Patra *et al.* 2016). The lowest corm length and corm diameter and corm yield/plant was observed in plants under the treatment T₁₀. The variation in yield attributes

Table 2 Dry matter production and partitioning of elephant foot yam as influenced by organic source of nutrients (Pooled data of two years)

Treatment	Dry matter production at 3 MAP (g/plant)				Dry matter production at 5 MAP (g/plant)				Dry matter production at 8 MAP (g/plant)			
	Pseudostem	Leaf	Corm	Total	Pseudostem	Leaf	Corm	Total	Pseudostem	Leaf	Corm	Total
T ₁ - VC + ash	11.5	17.4	45.4	74.3	24.5	37.2	317.7	379.3	25.8	39.2	488.8	553.8
T ₂ - FYM + ash	12.1	17.9	49.1	79.1	28.2	41.7	377.6	447.4	31.0	45.8	581.0	657.8
T ₃ - PM + ash	10.4	15.5	41.4	67.3	24.3	36.1	318.8	379.1	26.7	39.7	490.4	556.8
T ₄ - VC + ash + Az + VAM	12.3	18.0	46.9	77.3	26.3	38.4	322.7	387.4	28.3	41.3	496.4	566.1
T ₅ - VC + ash + Az + PSB	12.9	18.8	47.3	79.1	27.6	40.1	331.9	399.6	29.7	42.7	510.7	583.0
T ₆ - FYM + ash + Az + VAM	12.3	18.8	50.6	81.7	28.8	43.6	389.2	461.5	31.7	47.9	598.7	678.3
T ₇ - FYM + ash + Az + PSB	12.8	19.3	52.3	84.3	29.7	44.9	402.1	476.7	32.7	49.3	618.7	700.7
T ₈ - PM + ash + Az + VAM	9.9	15.1	41.3	66.3	23.1	35.2	317.7	375.9	25.3	38.7	488.7	552.7
T ₉ - PM + ash + Az + PSB	10.7	15.8	41.8	68.3	24.8	37.0	321.8	383.7	27.3	40.7	495.2	563.2
T ₁₀ - Recommended POP	11.6	17.9	47.5	77.0	23.8	36.5	317.1	377.3	24.5	37.7	440.4	502.6
CD (P=0.05)	0.8	1.6	4.8	7.4	2.3	3.6	30.1	31.2	2.4	3.8	42.8	52.2

FYM- Farmyard manure; VC-Vermicompost; VAM-Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae; PM-Poultry manure; PSB-Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria; Az-*Azospirillum*.

Table 3 Dry matter production rate, yield and economics of elephant foot yam as influenced by organic source of nutrients (Pooled data of two years)

Treatment	Rate of total dry matter production per plant (g)		Corm length (cm)	Corm diameter (cm)	Corm yield (kg/plant)	Corm yield (t/ha)	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Gross return (₹/ha)	Net return (₹/ha)	B:C ratio
	3-5 MAP	5-8 MAP								
T ₁ - VC + ash	4.10	0.46	19	18	2.15	25.84	130000	258440	128440	1.99
T ₂ - FYM + ash	4.66	0.47	22	23	2.60	30.72	115000	307160	192160	2.67
T ₃ - PM + ash	4.63	0.47	20	19	2.16	25.92	110000	259240	149240	2.36
T ₄ - VC + ash + Az + VAM	4.01	0.46	20	19	2.18	26.13	132000	261270	129270	1.98
T ₅ - VC + ash + Az + PSB	4.05	0.46	21	20	2.23	26.76	132000	267600	135600	2.03
T ₆ - FYM + ash + Az + VAM	4.65	0.47	23	24	2.61	31.23	117000	312340	195340	2.67
T ₇ - FYM + ash + Az + PSB	4.65	0.47	24	25	2.67	32.00	117000	320020	203020	2.74
T ₈ - PM + ash + Az + VAM	4.67	0.47	19	18	2.14	25.21	112000	257210	145210	2.30
T ₉ - PM + ash + Az + PSB	4.62	0.47	19	19	2.17	26.06	112000	260640	148640	2.33
T ₁₀ - Recommended POP	3.90	0.33	17	15	1.94	23.39	110000	233870	123870	2.13
CD (P=0.05)	0.06	0.01	2	2	1.86	2.52				

FYM- Farmyard manure; VC-Vermicompost; VAM-Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae; PM-Poultry manure; PSB-Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria; Az-*Azospirillum*. Sale price of elephant foot yam corm Rs 10/kg.

was due to variation in growth, dry matter production and partitioning.

Corm yields significantly varied between 23.39 and 32.00 tonnes/ha among ten treatments. This is in agreement with several reports reviewed in Ravi *et al.* (2011). Marked variation in corm yield was noticed with respect to organic source of nutrients (Table 3). The maximum corm yield (32.00 tonnes/ha) was recorded in plants due to the treatment T₇ and it was statistically on par with the corm yield of plants under the treatments T₆ (31.23 tonnes/ha) and T₂ (30.72 tonnes/ha). The treatments T₇, T₆ and T₂ resulted in 36.8, 33.6 and 31.3% greater corm yield respectively than T₁₀. This was due to higher growth attributes, dry matter production and partitioning, and yield attributes (Table 1 and 2). Corm yields between 39.6 and 98.9 tonnes/ha were obtained due to application of 100-200 kg N and 100-150 kg K₂O₅ each per ha (Ravi *et al.* 2011). Application of farmyard manure at a rate of 30 tonnes/ha increased the fresh mass or corms by 15%, while application of N at 150 kg/ha increased yield by 6.5% (Patel and Mehta 1984). Organic source of nutrients presumably increased growth and yield attributes by increasing availability of plant nutrients. Organic source of nutrients are more positive for crop yields (Bennett and Franzel 2013). In a long term fertilizer trial for ten years, steady increase in rice productivity was achieved by use of combined application of one-third each of cow dung manure + neem cake + composted crop residue for 100% N requirement supplemented with *Azospirillum* + PSB (Chitale *et al.* 2015). Suja *et al.* (2012) also reported significantly superior performance of elephant foot yam under organic source of nutrients. Corm yield with the biofertilizers and FYM + ash application under the treatments T₇ and T₆ was greater than FYM + ash application under the treatment T₂. The corm yield of T₇ and T₆ was 4.2 and 1.7% respectively,

greater than T₂. As *Azospirillum* fixes atmospheric nitrogen in the rhizosphere, a long duration crop like elephant foot yam crop could utilize this nutrient over a long period. Similarly, PSB solubilizes unavailable phosphorus and releases in to the soil solution and VAM helping the roots for foraging phosphorus in the rhizosphere. Mycorrhizal symbiosis has been shown to improve soil productivity and are important for increasing the uptake of slowly diffusing ions such as PO₄³⁻ and immobile nutrients such as P, Zn and Cu and thereby improve crop yield by increasing the capacity of plants to obtain nutrients that are relatively immobile in the soil (António Quilambo 2003 and Monreal *et al.* 2011). In the present study, between PSB and VAM, the corm yield due to PSB application was greater but not at a significant level. The use of phosphate solubilizing, plant growth promoting bacteria as live microbial biofertilizers provides a promising alternative to chemical fertilizers (Oteino *et al.* 2015 and Sreedevi 2016). These bacteria release organic acids into the soil which solubilize the phosphate complexes converting them into ortho-phosphate which is available for plant uptake and utilization. The application of PSB promoted growth, dry matter accumulation, biomass and yield in rice, maize, mungbean, pea, tomato (Vahed *et al.* 2012, Walpola *et al.* 2013, Viruel *et al.* 2014, Oteino *et al.* 2015 and Sreedevi 2016).

Vermicompost and poultry manure source of nutrients were the next best treatments for corm yield. In low organic carbon soils, addition of more organic matter resulted in greater elephant foot yam yield than higher amount of nutrients. Application of FYM at the rate of 10 tonnes/ha contributed more organic matter to the soil than vermicompost applied at the rate of 5 tonnes/ha and poultry manure at the rate of 5 tonnes/ha (Table 3). The nutrients added to the soil through inorganic fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O

Table 4 Quality characters of elephant foot yam as influenced by organic source of nutrients (Pooled data of two years)

Treatment	Dry matter (%)	Starch (%)	Total sugars (%)	Calcium oxalate (mg/100 g fresh corm)
T ₁ - VC + ash	22.7	17.2	0.99	95.0
T ₂ - FYM + ash	22.7	17.0	0.98	91.9
T ₃ - PM + ash	22.7	17.2	0.97	95.8
T ₄ - VC + ash + Az + VAM	22.8	17.3	1.00	94.9
T ₅ - VC + ash + Az + PSB	22.9	17.5	0.99	94.2
T ₆ - FYM + ash + Az + VAM	23.0	17.3	1.00	92.0
T ₇ - FYM + ash + Az + PSB	23.2	17.3	1.01	91.6
T ₈ - PM + ash + Az + VAM	22.8	17.3	0.98	96.7
T ₉ - PM + ash + Az + PSB	22.8	17.3	0.98	95.6
T ₁₀ - Recommended POP	22.7	17.1	0.97	102.8
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	7.8

FYM- Farmyard manure; VC-Vermicompost; VAM-Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae; PM-Poultry manure; PSB-Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria; Az-*Azospirillum*.

80-60-100 kg/ha), vermicompost and poultry manures were greater than FYM. The lowest corm yield was observed in plants under the treatment T₁₀. The application of vermicompost as organic source of nutrients has several advantages and its growth promoting properties recorded in several plants and crops (Lazcano and Domínguez 2012 and Gupta *et al.* 2014).

Quality

Elephant foot yam is a starchy vegetable. High dry matter and starch gives good consistency after cooking. Low sugar is preferred in the corm as a vegetable. Some varieties of elephant foot yam corms may contain calcium oxalate which causes irritation while eating. Quality attributes like dry matter, starch and total sugars content was not influenced by source of nutrients (Table 4). But calcium oxalate content was lower in corms due to organic source of nutrient compared to inorganic fertilizer. The treatment T₇ resulted in the lowest calcium oxalate accumulation in corms. A decrease of 10.9% calcium oxalate content was observed in corms of plants under the treatment T₇ as compared to plants under T₁₀. Suja (2013) also reported that oxalate content in elephant foot yam was lowered by 21% due to organic source of nutrients.

Economics

Higher returns and benefit cost ratio was observed in FYM based organic source of nutrient supply with or without biofertilizers (Table 3). Maximum returns and benefit cost ratio was achieved in the treatment T₇. This was due to greater corm yield in this treatment. Manjunath *et al.* (2016) reported that use of FYM as a source of nutrients is not only productive but also profitable. Between PSB and

VAM, returns and benefit cost ratio with PSB was greater due to higher corm yield. Lower returns and benefit cost ratio was noticed in the treatment T₁₀ owing to lesser corm yield. In the context of continuous increase of fertilizer cost which will rise the cost of cultivation and decrease net returns (Bennett and Franzel 2013), the organic source of nutrients offers alternative means of supplying crop nutrient requirements.

For elephant foot yam, to achieve maximum crop growth (taller pseudostem, more girth of pseudostem, wider canopy spread, more leaflets/hill and leaf area), dry matter production, corm yield and low calcium oxalate content the combined application of 10 t FYM along with 5 t ash, 5 kg *Azospirillum* and 5 kg PSB per ha is recommended.

REFERENCES

- António Quilambo O. 2003. The vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **2** (12): 539–54.
- Bennett M and Franzel S. 2013. Can organic and resource conserving agriculture improve livelihoods? A synthesis. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability* **11**(3): 193–215.
- Chitale S, Pali G P, Tiwari A and Urkurkar J S. 2015. Long term organic nutrient management in high value rice (*Oryza sativa*) – potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)/ onion (*Allium cepa*) cropping system in Chhattisgarh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **60**(2): 197–204.
- Das P K, Sen H, Banerjee N C and Panda P K. 1997. Biomass production and growth rate at different phenophases of elephant foot yam as influenced by chemical treatments. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* **31**: 115–21.
- Devi K N, Singh M S, Singh N G and Athokpam H S. 2011. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Crop and Weed* **7**(2): 23–7.
- Gupta R, Yadav A and Garg V K. 2014. Influence of vermicompost application in potting media on growth and flowering of marigold crop. *International Journal of Recycling Organic Waste Agriculture* **3**: 46–52.
- Kabeerathumma S, Mohankumar B and Nair P G. 1987. Nutrient uptake and their utilization by yams, aroids, coleus. Technical Bulletin Series No. 10, Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India, 34 p.
- Khambalkar P A, Tomar P S and Verma S K. 2012. Long term effects of integrated nutrient management on productivity and soil fertility in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)-mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cropping sequence. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **57**(3): 222–8.
- Kumar A. 2008. Direct and residual effect of nutrient management in maize (*Zea mays*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **53**(1): 37–41.
- Lazcano C and Domínguez J. 2012. The use of vermicompost in sustainable agriculture: impact on plant growth and soil fertility. (In) *Soil Nutrients*, p23 Miransari M (Ed). Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- Manjunath B L, Mahajan G R, Ramesh R and Singh N P. 2016. Effect of improved nutrient management on grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*) and soil health under organic management. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **61**(1): 25–32.
- Misra R S, Nedunchezhiyan M, Shivalingaswamy T M and Edison S. 2002. Mass multiplication techniques for producing quality planting material of *Amorphophallus Paeoniifolius* (Dennst.)

- Nicolson (Araceae). *Aroideana* **25**: 78–87.
- Monreal M A, Grant C A, Irvine R B, Mohr R M, McLaren D L and Khakbazan M. 2011. Crop management effect on arbuscular mycorrhizae and root growth of flax. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **91**: 315–24.
- Nedunchezhiyan M and Misra R S. 2008. *Amorphophallus* tubers invaded by *Cynodon dactylon*. *Aroideana* **31**: 129–33.
- Nedunchezhiyan M, Byju G and Dash S N. 2010. Effects of organic production of orange fleshed sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) on root yield, quality and soil biological health. *International Research Journal of Plant Science* **1**(6): 136–43.
- Nedunchezhiyan M, Byju G and Naskar S K. 2008. Yield potential and economics of elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius*) + green gram (*Vigna radiata*) intercropping system as influenced by mulching and fertilizer levels. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **78**(1): 17–20.
- Nedunchezhiyan M, Saurabh A and Ranasingh N. 2006. Elephant foot yam: A commercial crop for Orissa. *Orissa Review* LXIII (1): 71–2.
- Oteino N, Lally R D, Kiwanuka S, Lloyd A, Ryan D, Germaine K J and Dowling D N. 2015. Plant growth promotion induced by phosphate solubilizing endophytic *Pseudomonas* isolates. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **6**: Article 745.
- Patel B M and Mehta H M. 1984. Effect of farm yard manure, spacing and nitrogen on yield of elephant foot (*Amorphophallus campanulatus* Blume). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **29**: 569–70.
- Paikray R K, Mahapatra B S and Sharma G L. 2002. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen on productivity and soil fertility under rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) crop sequence. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **72**(8): 445–8.
- Patra A K, Nishra K N, Garnayak L M, Halder J and Swain S K. 2016. Evaluation of organic nutrient management practices in rice (*Oryza sativa*) - tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) -okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) system under irrigated conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **61**(2): 154–60.
- Ravi V, Ravindran C S, Suja G, James George, Nedunchezhiyan M, Byju G and Naskar S K. 2011. Crop physiology of elephant foot yam [*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* (Dennst. Nicolson)]. *Advances in Horticultural Sciences* **25**(1): 51–63.
- Santhi R and Selvakumari G. 2000. Use of organic sources of nutrients in crop production. (in) *Theme Papers on Integrated Nutrient Management*, pp 87-101. Kannaiyan, S, Kumar K and Govindarajan K (Eds). Tamil Nadu Agriculture University and Tamil Nadu Department Agricultural, Coimbatore.
- Singh Y V, Singh B V, Pabbi S and Singh P K. 2007. Impact of organic farming on yield and quality of Basmati rice and soil properties. <http://orgprints.org/view/projects/wissenschaftstagung-2007.html>
- Sreedevi S. 2016. Effect of phosphate solubilising bacteria *Bacillus* PSB24 on growth of tomato plants. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **5** (7): 311–20.
- Srinivas T, Nedunchezhiyan M and Misra R S. 2012. Marketing system of aroids and yams in India. Technical Bulletin Series No. 53, Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, p 80.
- Suja G, Sundaresan S, Susan John K, Sreekumar J and Misra R S. 2012. Higher yield, profit and soil quality from organic farming of elephant foot yam. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* **32**: 755–64.
- Suja G. 2013. Comparison of tuber yield, nutritional quality and soil health under organic versus conventional production in tuberous vegetables. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences* **83**(11): 35–40.
- Vahed H S, Shahinroksar P and Heydarnezhad F. 2012. Performance of phosphate solubilizing bacteria for improving growth and yield of rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) in the presence of phosphorus fertilizer. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences* **4** (17): 1 228–32.
- Viruel E, Erazzú L E, Martínez Calsina L, Ferrero M A, Lucca M E and Siñeriz F. 2014. Inoculation of maize with phosphate solubilizing bacteria: effect on plant growth and yield. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* **14** (4): 819–31.
- Walpola B C and Yoon M-H. 2013. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria: Assessment of their effect on growth promotion and phosphorous uptake of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* [L.] R. Wilczek). *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research* **73**(3): 275–81.