



## Identification of the productivity-limiting nutrients of Xuxiang kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*) in China's central Shaanxi province by analyzing soil fertility and leaf elements

N N WANG<sup>1</sup>, Y L CHEN<sup>2</sup>, B LIU<sup>3</sup>, H Y YU<sup>4</sup>, Y HAO<sup>5</sup>, H H HE<sup>6</sup>, Z D LIU<sup>7</sup> and F W MA<sup>8\*</sup>

Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China

Received: 26 March 2018; Accepted: 18 November 2018

### ABSTRACT

A survey was initiated to identify the productivity-limiting nutrients of Xuxiang kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis* Lindl.) orchards in central Shaanxi province in China during 2016-2017. For this purpose 149 kiwifruit orchards were selected for leaf sampling and 59 of them were randomly selected for soil analysis. These investigated orchards were divided into two subpopulations: high-productivity subpopulation (21 orchards) and low-productivity subpopulation (128 orchards) according to the fruit yield and appearance as well as vine growth performance. The nutrient concentrations in leaves of high-productivity subpopulation were used to compute the norms of the diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS), and in low-productivity orchards the order of nutrient requirement was found to be Zn>Mn>K>Fe>Cl>P>B>Ca>Mg>Cu>N. Among them, the deficient nutrients were Zn, Mn and K, while the excessive ones were N, Cu and Mg. However, the limiting elements in various locations and orchard ages differed. These results obtained from leaf analysis were further validated by soil fertility evaluation using sufficiency range, 94.9%, 83.1%, 76.3%, 32.2% and 23.7% of the orchards were high in pH, Ca, Mg, nitrate N and Cu respectively. By contrast, approximately 70% of the soils were low in Fe, Mn, Zn and Cl, and over 30% low in K, P and OM. Results obtained could be used for guiding the sustainably-integrated nutrient management for kiwifruit orchards in central Shaanxi and other regions with similar environmental conditions.

**Key words:** *Actinidia*, DRIS, Leaf nutrient, Nutritional diagnosis, Soil fertility, Sufficiency range

Kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis* Lindl.) is one of the most important recently domesticated fruit crops in the world. In 2015, the kiwifruit yield and harvested area in China represented 2187867 tons and 181900 ha, and accounted for 52.7% and 69.0% of the world's yield and area respectively (FAO 2018). Among them, 47.1% and 24.3% of China's yield and area come from central Shaanxi (Zhang 2016, FAO 2018). However, the average yield of kiwifruit is much lower in China (12.0 tonnes/ha) than the whole world (15.7 ton/ha), especially in the second and third largest kiwifruit-producing countries, Italy (21.9 tonnes/ha) and New Zealand (34.0 tonnes/ha, FAO 2018). The poor yield is often attributed to inadequate information on plant nutrition and soil fertility (Liu *et al.* 2002, Song *et al.* 2003, Wang 2008). Indeed, nutrient disorders of kiwifruit orchards in central Shaanxi province (e.g. N excess and hidden

hunger of Fe, K, Cl and P) occur frequently, and result in a substantial loss of fruit quantity and quality (Zhang *et al.* 2001, Lai 2011, Tran *et al.* 2012, Lu *et al.* 2016a).

Nutritional diagnosis is an important tool for increasing the fruit yield and quality as well as improving the plant growth through efficient fertilization. Several approaches for interpreting the results of leaf nutrient analysis have been proposed, such as critical values (CV) or sufficiency ranges (SR) (Bates 1971), diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS; Beaufils 1973), deviation from optimum percentage (DOP; Montañes *et al.* 1993), and compositional nutrient diagnosis (CND; Parent and Dafir 1992). Compared with the former two methods, the latter two were less employed due to the lack of useful references and field trials to ensure validity and accuracy. SR method is widely used for plant nutrient diagnosis owing to its easy assess and practice. Another widespread method is DRIS, and this method possesses some additional advantages over SR: (1) to reflect the nutrient balance or interaction, (2) to identify the order of nutrient requirements or limiting nutrients, and (3) to reduce the effects of sampling time and plant position (Walworth and Sumner 1987). Thus, DRIS has slightly higher diagnostic precision than SR in many cases (Walworth and Sumner 1987). To date, DRIS has been used

<sup>1</sup>Lecturer (e mail: wangnannan2004@163.com), <sup>2</sup>Undergraduate Student (e mail: 1163300452@qq.com), <sup>3</sup>Undergraduate Student (e mail: 2272090217@qq.com), <sup>4</sup>Undergraduate Student (e mail: 1025481993@qq.com), <sup>5</sup>Undergraduate Student (e mail: 974131776@qq.com), <sup>6</sup>Assistant Research Fellow (e mail: hehao5492048@163.com), <sup>7</sup>Professor (e mail: dezhanliu@vip.sina.com), <sup>8</sup>Corresponding Author, Professor (e mail: fwm64@sina.com).

successfully for a wide range of cash crops, such as orange (Srivastava and Singh 2008), apple (Nachtigall and Dechen 2007), banana (Wairegi and Asten 2011), guava (Hundal *et al.* 2007), sugarcane (Barlóg 2016) and oil palm (Behera *et al.* 2016). Nevertheless, the DRIS norms for 'Xuxiang', the currently predominant kiwifruit cultivar in central Shaanxi, have not been developed.

The objectives of this study were: (i) to develop the DRIS norms of leaf nutrients for 'Xuxiang' kiwifruit in central Shaanxi province, and (ii) to evaluate the nutritional status of these kiwifruit orchards through leaf analysis and soil testing.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Site description

The research was performed in the central region of Shaanxi province, China (34°7'~35°10'N, 106°12'~108°58'E, elevation 451~831 m). This area has sufficient sunshine and a warm temperate continental monsoon climate with hot, wet summers, and cold, dry winters. The average annual temperature and precipitation are 11 to 13°C and 500 to 800 mm, respectively. About 60% of the precipitation is received between June and September. The majority of the studied soils are classified as earth-cumuli-orthic anthrosols or cultivated loessial soils according to China Soil Taxonomy (Xiong and Li 1987).

### Sampling and analysis

A total of 149 leaf samples were collected from kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis* var. *deliciosa* 'Xuxiang') orchards in late August and early September during 2016-2017. Among them, 109 orchards were from Meixian, 5 from Qishan, 5 from Wugong, 6 from Yangling and 24 from Zhouzhi. Leaf samples were taken from the second leaf past the final fruit cluster on a fruiting lateral, and each sample consisted of 40 leaves (blade plus petiole) from 20 vines with 2 leaves per vine (Sher 2008). The samples were washed in sequence with tap water, 0.5% detergent solution, 0.1% hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution and deionized water. Then, the leaf samples were oven-dried at 65°C to a constant weight, ground in a mill to pass through a 20-mesh sieve, and stored in sealed plastic bags for analysis. The concentration of phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and boron (B) in plant leaves was determined by an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, IRIS-Advan type, Thermo, USA), and chlorine (Cl) by a discontinuous analyzer after dry-ashed (with the addition of CaO only for Cl measurement) and dissolved in 0.5 mol/L HNO<sub>3</sub> solution (Zhou *et al.* 2014). The nitrogen (N) concentration in leaf tissue was quantified using Kjeldahl methods (Bao 2000).

A total of 59 soil samples were taken from the randomly selected leaf-sampling orchards. Among them, 35 orchards were from Meixian, 5 from Qishan, 5 from Wugong, 6 from Yangling and 8 from Zhouzhi. Soil samples were taken at

a depth of 0 to 30 cm and a distance of 1.0 to 1.2 m from the main vines with a soil auger of 5 cm interior diameter. Each soil sample was composed of five random soil cores per orchard, stored in double-lined plastic bags, and brought into the laboratory for soil property analysis. The samples were air-dried, ground by a rolling pin to pass through a 1.00-mm sieve (half of the soil sub-samples were passed through a 0.15-mm sieve for organic matter analysis), and stored in sealed plastic bags until analysis. Soil chemical properties (i.e. pH, organic matter (OM), salt, sodium (Na), P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B and Cl) were analyzed according to the methods described by Bao (2000), and N was determined according to the method described by Mohammed *et al.* (2017). Briefly, soil pH was measured with a glass electrode in a 1:5 suspension of soil to water by mass, and electrical conductivity (EC) was measured in the same extract and the total salt concentration was calculated by EC. OM content was determined by the potassium dichromate volumetric method of external heating. Mineral N (including ammonium N and nitrate N) was extracted with 1.0 mol/L KCl and determined using a continuous flowing analyzer. Available soil P was determined with the molybdate blue method after extraction with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Exchangeable cations (K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Na<sup>+</sup>) were extracted with 1.0 mol/L NH<sub>4</sub>OAc, and most available micronutrients (Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup>) were extracted with 0.05 mol/L diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) reagent, and all determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS). Available B was extracted by boiling water and determined by ICP-OES. Soil Cl was extracted from a 1:2.5 soil-water mixture and determined with a discontinuous analyzer.

When taking leaf and soil samples, data on the yield and cultivation-related information of each orchard were obtained from the growers and reassessed by local kiwifruit experts to guarantee the reliability of the orchard information.

### Procedure of DRIS norms

To establish DRIS norms, the population was divided into two subpopulations: high-productivity subpopulation and low-productivity subpopulation. The high-productivity subpopulation was defined as those orchards with a fruit yield equal to or above 2000 kg/667m<sup>2</sup> (fruit quantity), uniform and large-sized fruits (fruit quality), and healthy green leaves (the capability to successively bear fruits in following years) at harvest stage. The mean value, standard deviation (SDs) and coefficient of variation (CVs) of leaf nutrient concentrations and ratios in high-productivity subpopulation were considered nutritionally balanced or a reference for diagnostic norms and listed in Table S1. The subpopulation with high productivity was represented by 21 orchards (14.1% of the orchards) and low productivity by 128 orchards (85.9% of the orchards).

The DRIS provides a means of ordering nutrient ratios into a meaningful expression referred as the DRIS index (Walworth and Sumner 1987). The values of nutrient ratios with relatively large variance ratios (variance of

low productivity subpopulation ( $S^2_l$ )/variance of high productivity subpopulation ( $S^2_h$ ); i.e. F value) were selected to calculate the DRIS indices (Serra *et al.* 2013). The DRIS indices for nutrient A through N were computed using the following equations (Beaufils 1973, Walworth and Sumner 1987):

$$\text{Index A} = 1/z[f(A/B)+f(A/C)+f(A/D)+\dots+f(A/N)]$$

$$\text{Index B} = 1/z[-f(A/B)+f(B/C)+f(B/D)+\dots+f(B/N)]$$

$$\text{Index N} = 1/z[-f(A/N)-f(B/N)+f(N/C)+\dots-f(M/N)]$$

where when A/B is larger or equal to a/b,

$$f(A/B) = [(A/B)/(a/b)-1] \times 1000/CV$$

or when A/B is smaller than a/b,

$$f(A/B) = [1-(a/b)/(A/B)] \times 1000/CV$$

where Index A, DRIS index; f(A/B), DRIS functions; z, the number of DRIS functions; A/B, nutrient ratio of the samples diagnosed in low productivity subpopulation; a/b, mean value of nutrient ratio in high-productivity subpopulation; CV, coefficient of variation of nutrient ratio in high-productivity subpopulation.

The more negative the DRIS index, the more shortage would be the nutrient relative to others; and *vice versa*. The DRIS indices were assessed by comparing DRIS indices with the mean value of nutrient imbalance index (NIIm), which was equal to the sum of the absolute value of each DRIS index divided by the number of DRIS indices (Nachtigall and Dechen 2007):

Deficient =  $I_x < 0$  and  $|I_x| > \text{NIIm}$ ,

Normal =  $|I_x| \leq \text{NIIm}$ , and

Excessive =  $I_x > 0$  and  $|I_x| > \text{NIIm}$ .

All data were computed using Microsoft Office Excel 2007.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Leaf nutrient status of kiwifruit orchards

We assessed the nutritional status of kiwifruit leaves in low-productivity subpopulation according to the DRIS norms established by high-productivity subpopulation (Table 3), and found that the nutrient requirement of Xuxiang kiwifruit in central Shaanxi followed the order:  $\text{Zn} > \text{Mn} > \text{K} > \text{Fe} > \text{Cl} > \text{P} > \text{B} > \text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{Cu} > \text{N}$  (Table 1). Among them, the deficient elements were recorded for Zn, Mn and K while the excessive ones for N, Cu and Mg (Table 1). However, the limiting nutrients in various locations differed. Leaf samples from both Meixian and Zhouzhi were deficient in Zn and Mn, Qishan deficient in P, Wugong deficient in K, and Yangling deficient in Cl, P and Mn (Table 1). By contrast, the orchards in Meixian were excessive in N and Mg, Zhouzhi excessive in N, Ca and B, Qishan and Yangling excessive in Cu, and Wugong excessive in Mg, Cu and Fe (Table 1). Moreover, kiwifruit nutrient requirement varied considerably with plant age (Table 1). Mn deficiency occurred across all orchard ages, and other deficiency elements shifted from Zn and K through Zn to Mg and Cl with increasing kiwi-vine age (Table 1). The orchards older than 12 years were excessive in Ca, Zn and K, whereas those equal to or younger than 12 years were

Table 1 DRIS indices of leaf nutrients in different sites and orchard ages for kiwifruit orchards

| Site             | DRIS indices |       |        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | Yield<br>(kg/667m <sup>2</sup> ) | NIIm | Order of requirement                                                                                                                      |
|------------------|--------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | N            | P     | K      | Ca    | Mg    | Cl    | Fe    | Mn    | Cu    | Zn    | B                                |      |                                                                                                                                           |
| All sites        | 2.33         | -0.53 | -1.68  | 1.60  | 2.08  | -0.69 | -0.74 | -2.48 | 2.32  | -2.96 | 0.75                             | 1.65 | $\text{Zn}^* > \text{Mn}^* > \text{K}^* > \text{Fe} > \text{Cl} > \text{P} > \text{B} > \text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{Cu} > \text{N}^*$   |
| Meixian          | 1.85         | 0.43  | -0.84  | 1.21  | 1.74  | -0.37 | -1.15 | -1.98 | 0.63  | -2.52 | 1.01                             | 1.25 | $\text{Zn}^* > \text{Mn}^* > \text{Fe} > \text{K} > \text{Cl} > \text{P} > \text{Cu} > \text{B} > \text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{N}^*$     |
| Qishan           | -2.02        | -6.29 | -2.67  | 1.79  | -1.23 | -4.03 | 0.84  | -3.92 | 25.75 | -3.47 | -4.76                            | 5.16 | $\text{P}^* > \text{B} > \text{Cl} > \text{Mn} > \text{Zn} > \text{K} > \text{N} > \text{Mg} > \text{Fe} > \text{Ca} > \text{Cu}^*$       |
| Wugong           | -5.23        | -3.29 | -12.70 | -2.48 | 16.55 | -2.95 | 11.32 | -6.46 | 13.11 | -2.76 | -5.13                            | 7.45 | $\text{K}^* > \text{Mn} > \text{N} > \text{B} > \text{P} > \text{Cl} > \text{Zn} > \text{Ca} > \text{Fe} > \text{Cu}^* > \text{Mg}^*$     |
| Yangling         | 0.04         | -5.77 | -2.91  | -3.00 | 2.45  | -8.33 | 3.07  | -5.43 | 19.33 | 4.03  | -3.47                            | 5.26 | $\text{Cl}^* > \text{P}^* > \text{Mn}^* > \text{B} > \text{Ca} > \text{K} > \text{N} > \text{Mg} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn} > \text{Cu}^*$   |
| Zhouzhi          | 7.39         | -2.20 | -2.66  | 4.65  | -0.66 | 0.90  | -2.86 | -3.11 | 0.71  | -5.47 | 3.30                             | 3.08 | $\text{Zn}^* > \text{Mn}^* > \text{Fe} > \text{K} > \text{P} > \text{Mg} > \text{Cu} > \text{Cl} > \text{B}^* > \text{Ca}^* > \text{N}^*$ |
| Plant age (year) |              |       |        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                                  |      |                                                                                                                                           |
| <8               | 3.04         | -0.27 | -2.87  | 1.62  | 2.72  | -0.48 | -0.74 | -2.67 | 3.18  | -3.71 | 0.19                             | 1.95 | $\text{Zn}^* > \text{K}^* > \text{Mn}^* > \text{Fe} > \text{Cl} > \text{P} > \text{B} > \text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{N}^* > \text{Cu}^*$ |
| 8-12             | 1.46         | -0.94 | -0.55  | 1.18  | 2.09  | -1.14 | -0.88 | -1.76 | 1.93  | -2.26 | 0.87                             | 1.37 | $\text{Zn} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cl} > \text{P} > \text{Fe} > \text{K} > \text{B} > \text{Ca} > \text{N}^* > \text{Cu}^* > \text{Mg}^*$     |
| >12              | 1.52         | -0.23 | 1.84   | 3.01  | -3.52 | -1.86 | -0.12 | -3.40 | -0.63 | 2.05  | 1.34                             | 1.78 | $\text{Mg}^* > \text{Mn}^* > \text{Cl} > \text{Cu} > \text{P} > \text{Fe} > \text{B} > \text{N} > \text{K}^* > \text{Zn}^* > \text{Ca}^*$ |

The sample numbers for 'All sites', 'Meixian', 'Qishan', 'Wugong', 'Yangling', and 'Zhouzhi' are 128, 95, 3, 5, and 20 respectively, the sample numbers for '<8', '8-12', and '>12' are 67, 48, and 12 respectively. NIIm, mean value of nutrient imbalance index. \* Indicate significantly imbalanced nutrients because the absolute values of these nutrient DRIS indices are larger than NIIm.

excessive in Cu, N and Mg (Table 1). This may be due to the nutritional status of fruit crops influenced by a variety of factors, such as soil type, climate, cultivar, and plant age as well as nutrient reserves in plant tissues (Mourão Filho 2004, Vajari *et al.* 2018). Additionally, the kiwifruit yield decreased with NIIm increased (Table 1), indicating that NIIm is able to reflect the nutrient balance well.

#### Soil fertility status of kiwifruit orchards

To validate the DRIS results from kiwifruit leaves, we further randomly selected 59 orchards from the investigated orchards to assess soil fertility. 94.9% and 83.1% of the orchards were excessive in pH and Ca respectively (Table 2), indicating that the soils are calcareous. Moreover, 76.3%, 32.2% and 23.7% of the soil samples were high in Mg, nitrate N and Cu (Table 2). On the other hand, approximately 70% of the kiwifruit orchards were low in Fe, Mn, Zn and Cl (Table 2). Over 30% of the soils were low in K, P and OM (Table 2). Additionally, more than 70% of the orchards were in optimum range for soil B, Na and salt (Table 2). These results agreed well with the DRIS results from leaf analysis (Table 1 and Table 2). Taken together, our results showed that both nutrient deficiency and excess existed in kiwifruit orchards in central Shaanxi, and a better soil management is highly needed to improve soil fertility and thus to enhance the kiwifruit productivity (Lago *et al.* 2015, Peticila *et al.* 2015, Zhao *et al.* 2017).

Noteworthy, the excessive percentages of soil nitrate N and Cu were not as high as soil Ca and Mg (Table 2), but those of leaf N and Cu seemed to be higher than leaf Ca and Mg (Table 1). This may be associated with (1) frequent

leaf spray of Cu-containing fungicides or N-containing foliar fertilizers and (2) ionic selective absorption by plant roots (Marschner 2012). In addition, Lu *et al.* (2016a, 2016b) reported that N overfertilization was found in the Yujiahe catchments of Zhouzhi and changing arable crops to kiwifruit orchards increased the environmental burden of this region, and thus was unfavorable to the sustainability of kiwifruit industry (Müller *et al.* 2015, Cui *et al.* 2018). Consequently, rational N fertilization is necessary for high-productivity kiwifruit orchards in central Shaanxi.

Nutrient disorders are commonly observed in kiwifruit cultivation (Smith *et al.* 1987a, Liu *et al.* 2002, Tran *et al.* 2012). Results indicate that the investigated region was hidden-hungry in Fe and Cl, besides Zn, Mn and K (Table 1 and Table 2). Fe deficiency is generally related to the phenomenon of kiwifruit leaf chlorosis (Tran *et al.* 2012), which may be induced by several factors, such as high soil pH, high CaCO<sub>3</sub> content, excessive fruit loading in previous year, and damages to root system (Tagliavini and Rombolà 2001). Here we suggested that Fe deficiency in central Shaanxi was mainly caused by high pH and Ca in calcareous soils (Table 2), and leaf chlorosis might be a combined effect of several chlorophyll-related nutrient deficiencies, such as Fe, Zn and Mn (Table 1 and Table 2). In central Shaanxi, Cl deficiency has been well documented 17 year ago (Zhang *et al.* 2001), and the increasing kiwifruit yield by applying Cl-containing fertilizers was reported recently (Yang 2016). However, the increase of kiwifruit yield diminished with increasing the amount of Cl-containing fertilizers (Yang 2016). Therefore, Cl in central Shaanxi may be in hidden hunger. The reasons leading to potential Cl

Table 2 Soil fertility status for kiwifruit orchards in central Shaanxi province (n=59)

|                                         | Mean   | CV (%) | Sample range  | Optimum range | Deficient frequency (%) | Excessive frequency (%) |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| pH                                      | 7.67   | 8.1    | 4.87-8.28     | 5.5-6.5       | 1.7                     | 94.9                    |
| OM (%)                                  | 1.61   | 14.3   | 1.10-2.15     | 1.5-4.0       | 30.5                    | 0.0                     |
| Salt (g/kg)                             | 0.71   | 32.4   | 0.32-1.34     | 0-1.0         |                         | 11.9                    |
| Na(mg/kg)                               | 26.42  | 75.7   | 5.29-106.86   | 0-92          |                         | 5.1                     |
| N (mg/kg)                               | 39.47  | 65.2   | 12.87-109.63  |               |                         |                         |
| NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N (mg/kg) | 10.81  | 55.0   | 1.70-43.58    |               |                         |                         |
| NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (mg/kg) | 28.81  | 82.3   | 3.95-98.35    | 11-28         | 20.3                    | 32.2                    |
| P (mg/kg)                               | 42.76  | 81.3   | 5.04-167.52   | 30-60         | 42.4                    | 18.6                    |
| K (mg/kg)                               | 247.26 | 46.6   | 108.91-715.52 | 235-470       | 55.9                    | 8.5                     |
| Ca (g/kg)                               | 6.20   | 19.5   | 1.03-7.51     | 2.8-5.6       | 3.4                     | 83.1                    |
| Cl (mg/kg)                              | 10.28  | 106.4  | 3.42-86.05    | 10-30         | 69.5                    | 1.7                     |
| Mg (mg/kg)                              | 240.08 | 31.1   | 99.85-419.46  | 120-180       | 3.4                     | 76.3                    |
| Fe (mg/kg)                              | 4.88   | 301.8  | 0.78-108.97   | 4.5-10        | 94.9                    | 5.1                     |
| Mn (mg/kg)                              | 4.63   | 119.0  | 0.93-36.48    | 7-12          | 89.8                    | 5.1                     |
| Cu (mg/kg)                              | 0.87   | 37.8   | 0.37-2.02     | 0.5-1.0       | 5.1                     | 23.7                    |
| Zn (mg/kg)                              | 0.69   | 112.4  | 0.14-4.27     | 1.0-2.0       | 83.1                    | 5.1                     |
| B (mg/kg)                               | 0.70   | 35.2   | 0.38-1.45     | 0.5-1.0       | 18.6                    | 10.2                    |

Optimum range is summed from Li *et al.* (1996), Bao (2000), Sher (2008), Tong (2011), Huang *et al.* (2014) and Yu (2017).

Table 3 Descriptive data of nutritional status of kiwifruit leaves for high-productivity orchards (n=21)

|      | Mean    | CV (%) | Variance |       | Mean    | CV (%) | Variance |       | Mean    | CV (%) | Variance |
|------|---------|--------|----------|-------|---------|--------|----------|-------|---------|--------|----------|
| N    | 20.4543 | 6.9    | 1.99543  | P/Mn  | 0.01226 | 46.6   | 0.000033 | Fe/Mg | 29.9210 | 40.7   | 148.622  |
| P    | 1.55947 | 36.5   | 0.32353  | Mn/P  | 109.467 | 67.4   | 5443.04  | Mg/Mn | 0.05948 | 56.0   | 0.00111  |
| K    | 11.6072 | 33.3   | 14.9824  | P/Cu  | 0.12275 | 67.0   | 0.00676  | Mn/Mg | 29.1365 | 110.5  | 1036.78  |
| Ca   | 42.6811 | 9.5    | 16.3188  | Cu/P  | 11.9520 | 61.9   | 54.8052  | Mg/Cu | 0.52309 | 49.0   | 0.06559  |
| Mg   | 6.87128 | 24.7   | 2.88762  | P/Zn  | 0.05494 | 48.1   | 0.00070  | Cu/Mg | 2.67214 | 67.8   | 3.28358  |
| Cl   | 4.94283 | 36.6   | 3.27071  | Zn/P  | 22.0093 | 43.3   | 90.7469  | Mg/Zn | 0.24295 | 41.9   | 0.01037  |
| Fe   | 190.849 | 25.8   | 2429.64  | P/B   | 0.02507 | 39.3   | 0.00010  | Zn/Mg | 4.96956 | 47.6   | 5.59706  |
| Mn   | 167.226 | 69.9   | 13657.0  | B/P   | 46.8331 | 42.4   | 394.179  | Mg/B  | 0.10960 | 36.6   | 0.00161  |
| Cu   | 17.8845 | 65.2   | 136.00   | K/Ca  | 0.27666 | 37.7   | 0.01090  | B/Mg  | 10.2084 | 31.5   | 10.3587  |
| Zn   | 32.8938 | 46.5   | 233.46   | Ca/K  | 4.22703 | 45.5   | 3.69697  | Cl/Fe | 0.02803 | 47.5   | 0.00018  |
| B    | 67.0856 | 29.5   | 392.97   | K/Mg  | 1.91900 | 66.9   | 1.64784  | Fe/Cl | 44.3455 | 51.4   | 519.631  |
| N/P  | 14.1141 | 22.8   | 10.3143  | Mg/K  | 0.69922 | 56.4   | 0.15540  | Cl/Mn | 0.04046 | 57.2   | 0.00053  |
| P/N  | 0.07617 | 35.3   | 0.00072  | K/Cl  | 2.57678 | 40.3   | 1.07935  | Mn/Cl | 37.5653 | 88.7   | 1110.81  |
| N/K  | 1.99775 | 40.5   | 0.65307  | Cl/K  | 0.48234 | 56.7   | 0.07467  | Cl/Cu | 0.42358 | 69.8   | 0.08741  |
| K/N  | 0.56822 | 33.6   | 0.03639  | K/Fe  | 0.06260 | 33.9   | 0.00045  | Cu/Cl | 4.42211 | 84.7   | 14.0405  |
| N/Ca | 0.48398 | 12.8   | 0.00383  | Fe/K  | 18.1702 | 42.0   | 58.1012  | Cl/Zn | 0.18818 | 65.0   | 0.01498  |
| Ca/N | 2.09958 | 13.1   | 0.07619  | K/Mn  | 0.09320 | 56.7   | 0.00279  | Zn/Cl | 7.63165 | 58.7   | 20.0557  |
| N/Mg | 3.19723 | 33.1   | 1.11972  | Mn/K  | 15.1811 | 67.4   | 104.773  | Cl/B  | 0.07973 | 46.6   | 0.00138  |
| Mg/N | 0.33664 | 24.6   | 0.00687  | K/Cu  | 0.89980 | 58.4   | 0.27649  | B/Cl  | 15.1256 | 46.4   | 49.1689  |
| N/Cl | 4.63629 | 34.4   | 2.54669  | Cu/K  | 1.70005 | 76.2   | 1.67676  | Fe/Mn | 1.57215 | 54.1   | 0.72421  |
| Cl/N | 0.24333 | 37.1   | 0.00815  | K/Zn  | 0.42494 | 52.0   | 0.04878  | Mn/Fe | 0.89198 | 65.0   | 0.33565  |
| N/Fe | 0.11417 | 29.4   | 0.00113  | Zn/K  | 3.29697 | 69.5   | 5.24465  | Fe/Cu | 14.3540 | 46.2   | 44.0665  |
| Fe/N | 9.39084 | 27.1   | 6.45489  | K/B   | 0.19512 | 57.7   | 0.01267  | Cu/Fe | 0.09384 | 63.2   | 0.00352  |
| N/Mn | 0.17352 | 52.9   | 0.00842  | B/K   | 6.74965 | 57.8   | 15.2283  | Fe/Zn | 6.93484 | 44.0   | 9.30982  |
| Mn/N | 8.23202 | 70.1   | 33.2896  | Ca/Mg | 6.67890 | 31.9   | 4.53611  | Zn/Fe | 0.18805 | 66.5   | 0.01564  |
| N/Cu | 1.61999 | 48.7   | 0.62286  | Mg/Ca | 0.16270 | 28.0   | 0.00207  | Fe/B  | 3.15659 | 48.0   | 2.29174  |
| Cu/N | 0.87617 | 65.3   | 0.32686  | Ca/Cl | 9.57903 | 30.9   | 8.77064  | B/Fe  | 0.37544 | 37.8   | 0.02018  |
| N/Zn | 0.73819 | 39.5   | 0.08504  | Cl/Ca | 0.11622 | 36.1   | 0.00176  | Mn/Cu | 12.3164 | 85.3   | 110.386  |
| Zn/N | 1.59840 | 43.8   | 0.49038  | Ca/Fe | 0.23887 | 30.0   | 0.00515  | Cu/Mn | 0.13722 | 76.9   | 0.01112  |
| N/B  | 0.33086 | 29.7   | 0.00968  | Fe/Ca | 4.53625 | 29.5   | 1.79023  | Mn/Zn | 5.91109 | 78.5   | 21.5042  |
| B/N  | 3.28544 | 29.3   | 0.92846  | Ca/Mn | 0.36404 | 55.4   | 0.04071  | Zn/Mn | 0.26898 | 67.1   | 0.03260  |
| P/K  | 0.14861 | 43.7   | 0.00422  | Mn/Ca | 3.98940 | 73.7   | 8.65155  | Mn/B  | 3.04091 | 99.3   | 9.12725  |
| K/P  | 7.93568 | 39.4   | 9.79868  | Ca/Cu | 3.42507 | 51.3   | 3.08139  | B/Mn  | 0.60394 | 66.4   | 0.16079  |
| P/Ca | 0.03686 | 36.0   | 0.00018  | Cu/Ca | 0.42864 | 68.8   | 0.08701  | Cu/Zn | 0.58190 | 61.7   | 0.12881  |
| Ca/P | 29.7748 | 29.8   | 78.8255  | Ca/Zn | 1.57093 | 44.2   | 0.48155  | Zn/Cu | 2.42213 | 69.4   | 2.82190  |
| P/Mg | 0.24167 | 44.6   | 0.01161  | Zn/Ca | 0.78751 | 50.8   | 0.15988  | Cu/B  | 0.31921 | 80.6   | 0.06621  |
| Mg/P | 4.73555 | 33.2   | 2.46602  | Ca/B  | 0.68883 | 29.6   | 0.04151  | B/Cu  | 5.79602 | 65.1   | 14.2479  |
| P/Cl | 0.36420 | 61.6   | 0.05029  | B/Ca  | 1.57776 | 29.7   | 0.22031  | Zn/B  | 0.54894 | 55.9   | 0.09414  |
| Cl/P | 3.48179 | 44.4   | 2.39377  | Mg/Cl | 1.60101 | 47.1   | 0.56775  | B/Zn  | 2.54721 | 58.6   | 2.22529  |
| P/Fe | 0.00861 | 41.1   | 0.000012 | Cl/Mg | 0.80506 | 59.4   | 0.22902  |       |         |        |          |
| Fe/P | 131.579 | 32.6   | 1841.13  | Mg/Fe | 0.03837 | 38.3   | 0.00022  |       |         |        |          |

The unit of 'N', 'P', 'K', 'Ca', 'Mg', and 'Cl' is g/kg; the unit of 'Fe', 'Mn', 'Cu', 'Zn', and 'B' is mg/kg.

deficiency include (1) low Cl content in soils (Table 2), (2) high Cl requirement of kiwifruit plant (Smith *et al.* 1987b), and (3) the large amount of Cl removal by harvested fruit, summer and winter prunings, and fallen leaves (Wang 2008, Vajari *et al.* 2018).

In sum, the nutritional status of 'Xuxiang' kiwifruit orchards in central Shaanxi was characterized by Zn, Mn and K deficiency, as well as N, Cu and Mg excess. Moreover, the limiting nutrients varied across locations and orchard ages. These results provide a useful reference for rational fertilization of kiwifruit orchards in calcareous soils.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Ms Linping Hu and Mengyuan Li from College of Horticulture, Northwest A&F University, for participation in soil analysis. This work was funded by the Scientific Startup Foundation for Doctors of Northwest A&F University (Z109021611), and the Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program for College Students of Northwest A&F University (2017003533D).

#### REFERENCES

- Bao S D. 2000. *Soil Analysis in Agricultural Chemistry*, pp 25–282. Chinese Agriculture Publishing House, Beijing.
- Barlóg P. 2016. Diagnosis of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) nutrient imbalance by DRIS and CND-clr methods at two stages during early growth. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **39**: 1–16.
- Bates T E. 1971. Factors affecting critical nutrient concentrations in plant and their evaluation. A review. *Soil Science* **112**: 116–30.
- Beaufils E R. 1973. Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS). A general scheme for experimentation and calibration based on principals developed from research in plant nutrition. *Soil Science Bulletin*, 1. University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg. South Africa p 132.
- Behera S K, Rao B N, Suresh K, Ramachandrudu K and Manorama K. 2016. Soil fertility, leaf nutrient concentration and yield limiting nutrients in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) plantations of Surat district of Gujarat. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **86**: 409–13.
- Cui Z L, Zhang H Y, Chen X P, Zhang C C, Ma W Q and Huang C D. 2018. Pursuing sustainable productivity with millions of smallholder farmers. *Nature* **555**: 363–6.
- FAO (United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization). 2018. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC>.
- Huang C H, Qu X Y, Liu K P, Leng J H, Tu G Q, Li B M and Xu X B. 2014. Analysis of soil physicochemical properties, leaf nutrients and fruit qualities in the orchards of 'Jinkui' kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*). *Journal of Fruit Science* **31**: 1091–9.
- Hundal H S, Singh D and Singh K. 2007. Monitoring nutrient status of guava fruit trees in Punjab, northwest India through the diagnostic and recommendation integrated system approach. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **38**: 2117–30.
- Lago M C F, Castro J, Briones M J I, Gallego P P and Barreal M E. 2015. Effect of agricultural management on kiwifruit nutritional plant status, fruit quality and yield. *Acta Horticulturae* **1096**: 79–86.
- Lai Y. 2011. Effect of fertilization on kiwifruit yield and quality. Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University (Master Thesis), Yangling, China.
- Li H T, Zhou J B, Zheng X F, Dang T, Ma W Z and Lei Z X. 1996. Nutrient diagnosis and fertilization in soils in Fuji apple orchards in rain-fed land. *Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas* **14**: 45–50.
- Liu X F, Fan X F, Zhang L S, Yao C C, Long Z X and Wang X L. 2002. Inducing factors of iron deficiency chlorosis of kiwis in Guanzhong area of Shaanxi. *Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Occidentalis Sinica* **11**: 57–9.
- Lu Y L, Chen Z J, Kang T T, Zhang X J, Bellarby J and Zhou J B. 2016b. Land-use changes from arable crop to kiwi-orchard increased nutrient surpluses and accumulation in soils. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **223**: 270–7.
- Lu Y L, Kang T T, Zhang X J, Gao J B, Chen Z J and Zhou J B. 2016a. Evaluation of current fertilization status in kiwifruit orchards on the northern slope of Qinling Mountains: A case study of Yujiahe catchment, in Zhouzhi county. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer* **22**: 380–7.
- Marschner P. 2012. *Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*, pp 21–39. Academic Press, London.
- Mohammed Y A, Chen C and Afshar R K. 2017. Nutrient requirements of camelina for biodiesel feedstock in central Montana. *Agronomy Journal* **109**: 309–16.
- Montañés L, Heras L, Abadía J and Sanz M. 1993. Plant analysis interpretation based on a new index: deviation from optimum percentage (DOP). *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **16**: 1289–308.
- Mourão Filho F F A. 2004. DRIS: concepts and applications on nutritional diagnosis in fruit crops. *Scientia Agricola* **61**: 550–60.
- Müller K, Holmes A, Deurer M and Clothier B E. 2015. Eco-efficiency as a sustainability measure for kiwifruit production in New Zealand. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **106**: 333–42.
- Nachtigall G R and Dechen A R. 2007. DRIS use on apple orchard nutritional evaluation in response to potassium fertilization. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **38**: 2557–66.
- Parent L E and Dafir M M. 1992. A theoretical concept of compositional nutrient diagnosis. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **117**: 239–42.
- Peticila A, Scaeteanu G V, Madjar R, Stanica F and Asanica A. 2015. Fertilization effect on mineral nutrition of *Actinidia deliciosa* (kiwi) cultivated on different substrates. *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia* **6**: 132–8.
- Serra A P, Marchetti M E, Bungenstab D J, Silva M A G, Serra R P, Guimarães F C N, Conrad V D A and Moraes H S. 2013. Diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS) to assess the nutritional state of plants; *Biomass Now-Sustainable Growth and Use*, Matovic M D (Ed). pp 129–46. nTech, Rijeka.
- Sher D J. 2008. *KiwiTech Bulletin No. 43: Kiwifruit Nutrient Testing*, pp. 1–7.
- Smith G S, Ascher C J and Clark C J. 1987a. *Kiwifruit Nutrition: Diagnosis of Nutritional Disorders*. pp 61. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Agpress Communications Ltd, Wellington.
- Smith G, Clark C and Holland P. 1987b. Chlorine requirement of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*). *New Phytologist* **106**: 71–80.
- Song X B, Zhang X W and Ma S T. 2003. Studies on occurrence regulation of kiwifruit chlorosis. *Journal of Northwest Sci-Tech University Agriculture and Forestry (Nature Science Edition)* **31**: 5–8.
- Srivastava A K and Singh S. 2008. DRIS norms and their field validation in Nagpur mandarin. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **31**: 1091–107.
- Tagliavini M and Rombolà A D. 2001. Iron deficiency and chlorosis

- in orchard and vineyard ecosystems. *European Journal of Agronomy* **15**: 71–92.
- Tong Y A. 2011. *Soil Testing and Fertilization Recommendation for Fruit Trees in Northern China*, pp 20. Chinese Agriculture Publishing House, Beijing.
- Tran L L, Ma H Y, Tong Y A, Lu Y L, Lai Y, Liu F, Chen Y J and Lin W. 2012. Nutrients diagnosis of kiwifruit chlorosis and study on relativity of soil nutrients. *Chinese Soil and Fertilizer* **6**: 41–4.
- Vajari M A, Eshghi S, Moghadam J F and Gharaghani A. 2018. Late season mineral foliar application improves nutritional reserves and flowering of kiwifruit. *Scientia Horticulturae* **232**: 22–8.
- Wairegi L and Asten P V. 2011. Norms for multivariate diagnosis of nutrient imbalance in the East African Highland bananas (*Musa* spp. AAA). *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **34**: 1453–72.
- Walworth J L and Sumner M E. 1987. The diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS). *Advances in Soil Science* **6**: 149–88.
- Wang J. 2008. *The growth, nutrients uptake, utilization and accumulation in 'Qinmei' Kiwifruit tree*. Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University (Master Thesis), Yangling, China.
- Xiong Y and Li Q K. 1987. *Chinese Soil*, pp 573–85. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Science Press, Beijing.
- Yang L L. 2016. *Effect of different fertilizer application on kiwifruit yield, quality and the orchard nutrient*. Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University (Master Thesis), Yangling, China.
- Yu J Y. 2017. *Efficient Cultivation of Kiwifruit in Diagrams*, pp 84–112. China Machine Press, Beijing.
- Zhang L S, Wu C L, Wang X L, Long Z X, Liang J, Ma S S and Zheng Y P. 2001. A study on the leaf nutrient status and standard value in Qinmei kiwifruit orchards. *Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Occidentalis Sinica* **10**: 74–6.
- Zhang X G. 2016. *Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook*, pp 279. China Statistics Press, Beijing.
- Zhao Z P, Duan M, Yan S, Liu Z F, Wang Q, Fu J and Tong Y A. 2017. Effects of different fertilizations on fruit quality, yield and soil fertility in field-grown kiwifruit orchard. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering* **10**: 162–71.
- Zhou G F, Peng S A, Liu Y Z, Wei Q J, Han J and Islam M Z. 2014. The physiological and nutritional responses of seven different citrus rootstock seedlings to boron deficiency. *Trees* **28**: 295–307.