

# Effect of glycerol as an energy source with or without probiotic on carcass trait, litter moisture per cent, haematological and serum metabolites in broiler chicken

N D NAITAM $^1$ , V K MUNDE $^{1 \boxtimes}$ , K Y DESHPANDE $^2$ , P M KEKAN $^1$ , P D SONALE $^1$ , A U GHOLVE $^1$  and S D NAITAM $^3$ 

College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Parbhani, Maharashtra 431 410 India

Received: 10 October 2019; Accepted: 24 December 2019

#### **ABSTRACT**

An experiment was conducted to access glycerol feeding on the carcass traits, litter moisture %, haematological and serum metabolites of broiler chicken. The broiler chicks were randomly distributed in five groups, viz.  $T_0$  (0% glycerol),  $T_1$  (3% glycerol with probiotic),  $T_2$  (3% glycerol without probiotic),  $T_3$  (6% glycerol with probiotic) and  $T_4$  (6% glycerol without probiotic) with four replicates of 15 chicks, each of 45.8±0.23 g body weight. Results indicated that, the dressed breast weight and its percent was significantly higher in  $T_1$  followed by  $T_2$  and almost comparable in  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  as compared to control. LDL was significantly lower in  $T_1$  as compared to  $T_0$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ . The % litter moisture was significantly increased as glycerol inclusion level increased. From the results, it was concluded that 3% glycerol in diet with probiotic significantly improved dressed breast weight, lowered LDL and increased litter moisture with increase in glycerol level.

Keywords: Broiler chicken, Carcass traits, Glycerol, Haematological parameter, Serum metabolic parameter

India ranks 3rd in egg production and 4th in meat production but still there is scope to increase production of chicken meat for an ever increasing human population (Poultry Sector in India, 2017). Nutrition plays a crucial role in the production of poultry as the recurring expenditure on feed is about 70% of total production cost and energy is the most important major nutrient in the diet of poultry (Bertechini 2012). The broiler chickens are rapidly growing birds and require high energy for their rapid growth and metabolic activity. Cereals and oils are main energy sources used in poultry feed and their prices are too high and their availability is limited. So there is need to find some cheaper alternative energy source like crude glycerol which is easily available by-product of biodiesel industry. The production process of biodiesel produces approximately 10% of crude glycerol (Dasari et al. 2005). Glycerol being in important structural component of triglycerides and phospholipids which can be readily absorbed in the poultry and mammals gastrointestinal tract (Mandalawi et al. 2014). Glycerol is a precursor of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, which acts as an intermediate in lipogenesis process and gluconeogenesis pathways and can generate energy through the pathway of glycolytic and tricarboxylic acid (Lammers et al. 2008). Glycerol also acts as easily utilizable nutrient for probiotic

Present address: ¹College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Parbhani, Maharashtra.²Post Graduate Institute of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Akola, Maharashtra.³Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra. <sup>™</sup>Corresponding author email: drvkmunde@gmail.com

microbial growth because some of researchers observed that lactic acid bacteria based probiotic ferment glycerol and improve the growth rate as well as bacteriocin production (Ozdogan et al. 2014, Delgado et al. 2014). Now-a-days antibiotic resistance is the most serious problem throughout the world (Carter et al. 2009). Probiotics can enhance growth of animals, improves immune response and improve the action of intestinal microflora, hence can be used as an alternative to antibiotics (Isolauri et al. 2002, Ayasan et al. 2006, Ayasan 2013, Ayasan and Inci 2019). The supplementation of 5% glycerol combined with Flora Max B-11 (lactic acid bacteria base probiotic culture) to chickens did not found detectable Salmonella enteritis (Delgado et al. 2014). Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of glycerol with or without probiotic on carcass trait, litter moisture per cent, haematological and blood biochemical parameters in broiler chicken.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design and feeding: The experiment was carried out on 300, day-old (Vencobb-400) broiler chicks each of 45.8±0.23 g body weight for a period of 42 days at experimental broiler shed at College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, MAFSU, Parbhani, Maharashtra (India). The crude glycerol used in this study was procured from E-Shakti Binary Current Pvt. Ltd., Aurangabad, Maharashtra. Probiotic was added @ 250 g/tonne in the feed of experimental birds. Experimental chicks were randomly distributed on equal body weight basis into five groups, viz.

Table 1. Percent composition of control and experimental ration

Ingredient	Standard broiler diet (SBD)			SBD with 3% Glycerol			SBD with 6% Glycerol		
	PS	S	F	PS	S	F	PS	S	F
Maize (CP: 8.92%)	52.26	53.22	57.36	48.68	49.755	53.81	45.100	46.210	50.21
Soybean (CP: 44.8%)	40.50	38.10	33.00	41.08	38.640	33.60	41.660	39.195	34.20
Oil	3.40	4.80	5.80	3.40	4.760	5.75	3.400	4.750	5.75
Glycerol	0	0	0	3.00	3.000	3.00	6.000	6.000	6.00
Limestone	1.36	1.40	1.36	1.36	1.360	1.36	1.360	1.360	1.36
DCP	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.650	1.65	1.650	1.650	1.65
Salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.500	0.50	0.500	0.500	0.50
DL-Methionine	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.135	0.13	0.130	0.135	0.13
Lysine	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.050	0.05	0.050	0.050	0.05
TM mixture	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.050	0.05	0.050	0.050	0.05
Vit. premix	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.050	0.05	0.050	0.050	0.05
Ch. chloride	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.050	0.05	0.050	0.050	0.05
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.000	100.00	100.000	100.000	100.00
CP (%)	22.73	21.75	19.90	22.86	22.000	19.91	22.770	21.840	19.88
M. Energy	2992.38	3091.49	3198.42	2992.19	3090.680	3195.30	2992.004	3090.190	3194.91
Lysine (%)	1.30	1.24	1.11	1.31	1.250	1.12	1.310	1.250	1.13
Methionine (%)	0.50	0.49	0.46	0.50	0.490	0.46	0.530	0.500	0.45

PS, prestarter; S, Starter; F, Finisher.

Control (T<sub>0</sub>) fed standard basal diet (SBD), T<sub>1</sub> (SBD with 3% glycerol + probiotic), T<sub>2</sub> (SBD with 3% glycerol without probiotic), T<sub>3</sub> (SBD with 6% glycerol + probiotic), T4 (SBD with 6% glycerol without probiotic) with four replicates of 15 chicks in each having 45.8±0.23 g of body weight. The uniform management practices were followed for all the groups throughout the experimental period. The ration for experimental birds were formulated as per BIS (2007) standard recommendation (Table 1). All experimental procedure on broiler chicken were carried out according to IAEC regulation guidelines for which approval had been taken from IAEC committee with resolution no. IAEC 46/ 19 dated on 02/03/2019. At the end of the experiment, two birds from each replicate of each treatment group were selected for sacrifice. Birds were sacrificed by using Islamic/ Halal method. At the end of sixth week, blood samples of two birds from each replicate were collected in clean, dry and sterilized EDTA vials (for haematology) and in clot activator vials (for blood biochemical analysis) from wing vein. Chemical analysis of serum was carried out for the quantitative determination of serum total protein, albumin, globulin, albumin and globulin ratio, HDL, LDL, total cholesterol, triglyceride, BUN and blood glucose using commercial available kits (Ambica Diagnostics Pvt. Ltd., Parbhani, Maharashtra, India). Litter moisture was estimated by collecting random samples on 21, 28 and 42<sup>nd</sup> day of experimental period from each treatment group and mixed it homogeneously. Litter moisture of various treatment groups was determined as per the methods of AOAC (2000).

Statistical analysis: The data collected during the experiment were subjected to statistical analyses as per Completely Randomized Design (CRD) method with treatment as factor following statistical procedure of Snedecor and Cochran (1994). Means were compared as

per Duncan's multiple range test and data were processed for statistical analyses using SPSS Software package (23.0).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of carcass traits like dressing percentage, weight of carcass, leg quarter, giblet weight, giblet meat percent, edible meat weight, edible meat percent, weight of spleen, bursa, breast and breast meat percentage of experimental birds fed standard basal diet (T<sub>0</sub>), 3% glycerol with probiotic  $(T_1)$ , 3% glycerol without probiotic  $(T_2)$ , 6% glycerol with probiotic (T<sub>3</sub>) and 6% glycerol without probiotic  $(T_4)$  is given in Table 2. The dressing percentage, weight of carcass, edible meat, leg quarter, spleen, bursa and edible meat percent did not differ significantly amongst the groups. The giblet weight and giblet % was numerically higher in T<sub>1</sub> as compared to other groups. Weight of breast meat and breast meat percent was significantly higher (P<0.05) in  $T_1$  group followed by  $T_2$  and almost comparable in T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> as compared to control. Increase in breast yield might be due to improvement in protein deposition because of sparing effect of glycerol on gluconeogenic amino acid (Cerrate et al. 2006). Results of present experiment corroborated well with Cerrate et al. (2006) who noticed that birds fed with 2.5 and 5% glycerine had significantly higher breast yield and absolute weight of breast as compared to control. The results are consistent with findings of Abd-Elsamee et al. (2010) who found that as compared to control different levels of glycerol in the diet did not show significant difference in the dressing percentage, internal organs percentage or immune organ weights in broilers. Silva et al. (2012) found glycerol inclusion upto 10% in the broilers diet did not affect carcass yield. Mousa et al. (2018) also stated that there was no significant difference in the liver, heart and gizzard weight among the

Table 2. Carcass traits of experimental broiler chickens fed glycerol with or without probiotic

Parameter		SEM	P value				
	Т0	T1	T2	Т3	T4		
Dressing (%)	71.58	71.98	71.71	71.66	71.62	0.234	0.479
Carcass wt (g)	1725.50	1750.12	1738.50	1733.00	1728.62	10.852	0.227
Giblet wt (g)	95.02	97.61	97.04	96.04	95.63	1.776	0.602
Giblet (%)	3.94	4.01	4.00	3.97	3.96	0.066	0.801
Edible meat wt (g)	1630.47	1647.37	1641.36	1636.95	1632.99	10.140	0.497
Edible Meat (%)	67.64	67.76	67.71	67.69	67.65	0.243	0.990
Wt of Spleen (g)	2.24	2.14	2.20	2.17	2.21	0.093	0.859
Wt of Bursa (g)	1.22	1.17	1.19	1.18	1.20	0.027	0.098
Wt of Breast meat (g)	640.50 <sup>a</sup>	694.13 <sup>b</sup>	681.50 <sup>bc</sup>	672.63 <sup>bc</sup>	660.38ab	17.286	0.021
Breast meat (%)	26.58a	28.54 <sup>b</sup>	28.12 <sup>b</sup>	27.81 <sup>b</sup>	$27.36^{ab}$	0.633	0.023
Leg Quarter wt (g)	478.00	480.25	479.62	479.25	478.62	21.578	0.990

<sup>\*</sup>Means bearing different superscript in a row a, b and c differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 3. Haematological and blood biochemical profile of experimental broiler chickens fed glycerol with or without probiotic

Parameter	Treatment						P value
	$T_0$	$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$		
Haemoglobin (g/dl)	8.63	8.71	8.69	8.65	8.60	0.164	0.964
Pack cell volume (%)	25.88	26.12	26.06	25.95	25.80	0.493	0.982
Total erythrocyte count (×10 <sup>6</sup> /ml)	2.38	2.42	242.00	2.41	2.40	0.088	0.964
Total protein (g/dl)	3.67	3.65	3.65	3.61	3.60	0.225	0.998
Albumin (g/dl)	1.65	1.58	1.58	1.58	1.60	0.074	0.850
Globulin (g/dl)	2.02	2.07	2.06	2.03	2.00	0.201	0.997
Albumin: Globulin ratio	0.83	0.77	0.79	0.80	0.82	0.079	0.923
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	133.64 <sup>b</sup>	124.94 <sup>a</sup>	126.47 <sup>a</sup>	129.49 <sup>ab</sup>	130.98 <sup>ab</sup>	3.073	0.081
High density lipoprotein (mg/dl)	48.72	54.19	53.14	53.09	51.57	2.920	0.409
Low density lipoprotein (mg/dl)	57.69°	45.98a	48.43 <sup>ab</sup>	$50.40^{ab}$	52.93bc	2.615	0.005
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	136.11	123.87	124.47	130.00	132.34	7.361	0.436
Blood glucose (mg/dl)	170.57	182.32	179.68	176.32	173.82	12.811	0.897
Blood urea nitrogen	0.54	0.52	0.53	0.54	0.54	0.014	0.375

<sup>\*</sup>Means bearing different superscripts a, b and c in a row differ significantly (P<0.05).

treatment groups and control. Mousa *et al.* (2018) also observed that weight of bursa and spleen did not differ significantly in the glycerol supplemented groups and control. Similarly, Fortuoso *et al.* (2019) observe no significant differences among the treatment groups related to weight of carcass and weight of leg in the birds fed diet containing different levels of glycerol monolaurate.

The haematological and serum metabolic parameters of experimental birds are shown in Table 3. The effect of different level of glycerol with or without probiotic on haemoglobin [Hb (g/dl)], pack cell volume [PCV (%)] and total erythrocyte count [TEC (×10<sup>6</sup>/ml)] did not differ significantly among the all treatment and control group. Present study findings are in agreement with Fortuoso *et al.* (2019) who noticed nonsignificant differences on haemoglobin concentration, erythrocyte count and hematocrit level among the treatment groups in the broilers fed glycerol monolaurate. Likewise, Mohammed *et al.* (2018) also reported nonsignificant variations in the haematological indices (PCV, HB, RBC) of the broilers fed different levels of dietary cottonseed oil as an energy source.

Serum total protein, albumin, globulin, albumin: globulin (A: G) ratio, high density lipoprotein (HDL), blood glucose and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) did not differ significantly amongst all the treatment and control group. Triglyceride was numerically lower in T<sub>1</sub> followed by T<sub>2</sub> as compared to T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> which ultimately suggesting improved utilization of lipid and tissue storage. Serum total cholesterol was not significantly but numerically lower in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> group and comparable in  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  whereas highest in  $T_0$ . LDL was significantly lower (P<0.05) in T<sub>1</sub> as compared to T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>. Present study data is consistent with Mousa et al. (2018) who observed that crude glycerol supplementation in the broilers did not show any significant effect on serum total protein, albumin, globulin, serum glucose and HDL level. Pinto et al. (2019) who reported that blood glucose, total protein, albumin did not differ significantly among the treatment groups in the pigs fed diet containing mixed crude glycerine and ractopamine hydrochloride. Serum protein and uric acid concentration remain quiet similar between the groups (Erol et al. 2009). Yalçin et al. (2010) observed triglyceride level was not

affected by glycerol supplementation. Pinto *et al.* (2019) reported that serum cholesterol level did not affected by supplementation of glycerol. Mousa *et al.* (2018) reported that LDL level was significantly reduced in birds fed diet with 5% crude glycerol compared to broilers fed standard diet which recorded highest value. Present study is in disagreement with the findings of Mousa *et al.* (2018) who stated that serum cholesterol was significantly reduced in birds fed diet with 5% crude glycerol compared to broilers fed standard diet which recorded highest value.

The litter moisture (%) of various treatment groups fed with glycerol with or without probiotic is shown Table 4. On 21st day, litter moisture per cent was significantly (P<0.01) higher in  $T_4$  comparable in  $T_3$  and  $T_2$ , followed by T<sub>1</sub> as compared to T<sub>0</sub>. On 28<sup>th</sup> and 42<sup>nd</sup> day, moisture (%) of litter was significantly higher (P<0.01) in  $T_4$  and  $T_3$ . comparable in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> as compared with T<sub>0</sub>. Increase in litter moisture might be due to low molecular weight hydrophilic glycerol compound which is easily excreted through the kidneys (Freitas et al. 2017). Present data is consistent with findings of Silva et al. (2012) who reported significant increase in litter moisture as inclusion level of glycerol increased in the diet of broilers. Cerrate et al. (2006) reported that litter moisture percent was significantly higher in the broilers fed 10% glycerine and stated that it might be due to 0.15% excess in potassium derived from the residue of catalyzer used in the transesterification reaction.

Table 4. Litter moisture (%) of experimental groups fed glycerol with or without probiotic

Age		7	SEM	P			
(days)	$T_0$	$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	T <sub>4</sub>		
21	27.04 <sup>a</sup>	29.46 <sup>b</sup>	29.64 <sup>bc</sup>	30.52bc	30.73 <sup>c</sup>	0.506	0.001
28				36.46 <sup>c</sup>			
42	37.64 <sup>a</sup>	$40.06^{b}$	$40.72^{b}$	42.71 <sup>c</sup>	43.40 <sup>c</sup>	0.742	0.001

\*\*Means bearing different superscripts a, b and c in a row differ significantly (P<0.01).

Inclusion of 3% glycerol in diet with or without probiotic improved dressed breast weight and breast meat per cent while there was not any effect on other carcass traits like weight of carcass, dressing percentage, edible meat per cent and giblet weight. There were also no significant variations in the values of haematological parameters (Hb, PCV, TEC) among dietary treatments while blood biochemical parameters like serum total cholesterol, triglyceride numerically reduced and LDL was significantly lowered by supplementation of 3% glycerol with probiotic. Litter moisture per cent was increased as glycerol level increased in broiler chicken diet.

#### REFERENCES

Abd-Elsamee M O, Abdo Z M A, El-Manylawi M A F and Salim I H. 2010. Use of crude glycerin in broiler diets. *Egyptian* 

Poultry Science Journal 30: 281–95.

AOAC. 2000. Association of Official Analysis Chemist. Official method of analysis, 16<sup>th</sup> Edition. AOAC, Washington, DC.

Ayasan T, Ozcan B D, Baylan M and Canogullari S. 2006. The effects of dietary inclusion of probiotic protexin on egg yield parameters of Japanese Quails (*Coturnix coturnix Japonica*). *International Journal of Poultry Science* **5**(8): 776–79.

Ayasan T. 2013. Effects of dietary inclusion of protexin (probioticon hatchability of Japanese quails. *Indian Journal of Animal Science* **83**(1): 78–81.

Ayasan T and Inci H. 2019. The effect of probiotic use on growth performance and some blood parameters in Japanese quail exposed to temperature stress. ISPEC 2. Uluslararasi Tarim Ve Kirsal Kalkinma Kongresi, 27–29 Eylül 2019, Kiev – UKRAYNA.

Bertechini A G. 2012. Monogastric nutrition. Editora UFLA: Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brazil: 373.

Carter A J, Adams M R, Woodward M J and La Ragione R M. 2009. Control strategies for *Salmonella* colonization of poultry: The probiotic perspective. *Food Science and Technology (Campinas)* 5(5): 103–15.

Cerrate S, Yan F, Wang Z, Coto C, Sacakli P and Waldroup P W. 2006. Evaluation of glycerine from biodiesel production as a feed ingredient for broilers. *International Journal of Poultry Science* **5**(11): 1001–07.

Dasari M A, Kiatsimkul P P and Sutterlin W R. 2005. Low-pressure hydrogenolysis of glycerol to propylene glycol. *Applied Catalysis. A: General* **281**(1–2): 225–31.

Delgado R, Latorre J D, Vicuña E, Hernandez-Velasco X, Vicente J L, Menconi A, Kallapura G, Layton S, Hargis B M and Téllez G. 2014. Glycerol supplementation enhances the protective effect of dietary FloraMax-B11 against *Salmonella* Enteritidis colonization in neonate broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 93(9): 2363–69.

Duncan D B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F Test. Biometrics 11: 1–42.

Erol H S, Yalc'ýn, Midilli M and Yalc'ýn S. 2009. The effects of dietary glycerol on growth and laying performance, egg traits and some blood biochemical parameters in quails. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire* **160**(10): 469–76.

Fortuoso B F, dos Reis J H, Gebert R R, Barreta M, Griss L G, Casagrande R A, de Cristo T G, Santiani F, Campigotto G, Rampazzo L and Stefani L M. 2019. Glycerol monolaurate in the diet of broiler chickens replacing conventional antimicrobials: Impact on health, performance and meat quality. *Microbial Pathogenesis* 129: 161–67.

Freitas L W, Menten J F M, Zavarize K C, Pereira R, Romano G G, Lima M B and Dias C T D S. 2017. Evaluation of dietary glycerin inclusion during different broiler rearing phases. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science* **19**(SPE): 91–96.

Isolauri E, Kirjavainen P and Salminen S. 2002. Probiotics: A role in the treatment of intestinal infection and inflammations? Gut 50(3): 54–59.

Lammers P J, Kerr B J, Honeyman M S, Stalder K, Dozier W A, Weber T E, Kidd M T and Bregendahl K. 2008. Nitrogencorrected apparent metabolizable energy value of crude glycerol for laying hens. *Poultry Science* 87(1): 104–07.

Mohammed O A M, Arabi S A M and Mirghani M E S. 2018. Effect of different levels of dietary cottonseed oil on broiler chicks production. *Biological and Natural Resources Engineering Journal* **01**(01): 58–74.

Mousa B H, Nafa H H, Al-Rawi Y T and Al-Dulaimy R K. 2018. Effect of partial substitution of crude glycerol as an alternative

- energy source to diets in productive performance and some blood parameters of broiler. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences & Research* **10**(11): 2907–11.
- Mandalawi H A, Kimiaeitalab M V, Obregon V, Menoyo D and Mateos G G. 2014. Influence of source and level of glycerin in the diet on growth performance, liver characteristics, and nutrient digestibility in broilers from hatching to 21 days of age. *Poultry Science* **93**(11): 2855–63.
- Ozdogan M, Topal E, Paksuz E P and Kirkan S. 2014. Effect of different levels of crude glycerol on the morphology and some pathogenic bacteria of the small intestine in male broilers. *Animal* 8(1): 36–42.
- Pinto A B F, Naves L D P, Lima I G, Garbossa C A P, Silva S R, Barbosa A M S, Maluf C L, Rosa P V, Zangeronimo M G, Cantarelli V D S and Sousa R V. 2019. Metabolism of glycerol in pigs fed diets containing mixed crude glycerin and

- β-adrenergic agonist. *Animal Production Science* **59**(9): 1631–39.
- Poultry Sector in India 2017. Poultry sector, opportunities and challenges in India, Netherlands business support office Hyderabad, India RVO. H.
- Silva C L S, Menten J F M, Traldi A B, Pereira R, Zavarize K C and Santarosa J. 2012. Glycerine derived from biodiesel production as a feedstuff for broiler diets. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science* **14**(3): 159–232.
- Snedecor G W and Chochran W S. 1994. Statistical methods, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition. Lowa State University, press USA. Oxford and IBH Publication New Delhi, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition: 591.
- Yalçýn S, Erol H, Ozsoy B, Onbaþýlar I, Yalçýn S and Üner A. 2010. Effects of glycerol on performance, egg traits, some blood parameters and antibody production to SRBC of laying hens. Livestock Science 129: 129–34.