Fertility of hybrids of dromedary and Bactrian camels: A possible role of conserved architecture of zinc finger domain of recombination regulator \textit{PRDM9}
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ABSTRACT

Recombination regulator, \textit{PRDM9}, has been regarded as the most rapidly evolving gene in the genomes of many metazoans, in addition to being acknowledged as the sole speciation gene in vertebrates. It has become the focus of many scientific investigations because of exceptional numerical and sequence variability in its zinc finger (ZF) domain within and across species that contributes to reproductive isolation between species. This study is the maiden attempt to explore the architecture of \textit{PRDM9} ZF domain in two Camelid species (\textit{Camelus dromedarius} and \textit{Camelus bactrianus}). Sequence analysis revealed highly conserved domain architecture with presence of 3 and 4 ZFs in dromedary and Bactrian camels, respectively. Typical evolutionary features of \textit{PRDM9} ZF domain i.e. concerted evolution and positive selection were invariably absent in both the one-humped dromedary and the two-humped Bactrian camels. Fertility of hybrids of dromedary and Bactrian camels, despite being taxonomically distinct species can be attributed to the lack of sequence variability in \textit{PRDM9} in these species. Phylogenetic analysis underpinned clear demarcation of camels from other livestock species. The results of the present study defy what has been learnt so far about \textit{PRDM9} and add to the enigma surrounding the most intriguing gene in the genome.
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Meiotic recombination regulator, \textit{PRDM9}, is considered the most enigmatic gene in the genome across diverse taxa. By virtue of being the determinant of the location of recombination hotspots during crossing over between maternal and paternal chromosomes, it has assumed paramount significance in evolution of eukaryotic genomes (Baudat \textit{et al.} 2013). Of greatest interest over the last decade has been the DNA binding domain of \textit{PRDM9}, which is a coding minisatellite harboring a tandem array of C2H2 zinc fingers (ZF) that establishes the positioning of double strand break sites during meiosis. High variability in the copy number of ZF repeats and remarkable sequence polymorphism in diverse species have contributed to its recognition as the fastest evolving gene in the genome (Ponting 2011). Interestingly, it is thus far, the sole gene identified in vertebrates that contributes to the process of speciation or reproductive isolation between species (Mihola \textit{et al.} 2009). Therefore, the attributes that have made \textit{PRDM9} the focus of many scientific investigations over the last decade are well comprehensible.

Exploration of diversity of \textit{PRDM9} in mice, humans, primates, equines, small and large ruminants has unraveled many facets of this multihued gene (Buard \textit{et al.} 2014, Myers \textit{et al.} 2010, Groeneveld \textit{et al.} 2012, Steiner and Ryder 2013, Ahlawat \textit{et al.} 2016a, 2017). These include immense numerical and sequence variability in the ZFs and signals of positive selection and concerted evolution in the ZF domain across species. The ZF of \textit{PRDM9} is typically 28 amino acids long and each sequential ZF of the minisatellite array has affinity for sequential trinucleotide on the target DNA molecule. This binding leads to recruitment of double strand break machinery during meiotic crossing over (Baudat \textit{et al.} 2013). Rapid turnover of hotspot locations has been considered to be the driving factor for swift evolution of \textit{PRDM9} zinc fingers, a phenomenon referred to as ‘recombination hotspot paradox’ (Baudat \textit{et al.} 2010). Moreover, hybrid sterility observed in certain mouse subspecies is considered to be the manifestation of allelic differences in \textit{PRDM9} (Mihola \textit{et al.} 2009). Surprisingly, the reason for absence or disruption of \textit{PRDM9} from the genomes of birds, fruit fly and canids is still inscrutable (Oliver \textit{et al.} 2009).

Despite huge interest in deciphering the evolutionary features of \textit{PRDM9} across different metazoans, there is still no information available from camels. Camels are regarded as sustainable livestock genetic resources despite their reduced relevance as draft power in the current scenario. Resilience to climate change and high production efficiency of camels has ensured their survival in diverse ecosystems against the harsh reality of destruction of their
habitats (Satyanarayana et al. 2022). They constitute an important means of transport in the desert landscapes, in addition to being acknowledged for their unique ability to tolerate hostile conditions, unusual immune system and beneficial attributes of their milk (Ali et al. 2019, Sharma et al. 2020). India has 9 registered breeds of the dromedary or one-humped camels that inhabit the hot deserts of arid and semi arid zones of India (www.nbagr.res.in). Additionally, a few Bactrian or two-humped camels (~200 in number) that endure the cold deserts of the northern temperate region are also present in the country (Sharma et al. 2018). This study is the first report on characterization of ZF domain of PRDM9 in the two camel species, Camelus dromedarius and Camelus bactrianus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples: Blood samples were collected from 20 animals each of all the 9 defined breeds of dromedary camels (Bikaneri, Jaisalmeri, Jalori, Kharai, Kutchi, Malvi, Marwari, Mewari and Sindhi) and 8 Bactrian camels from their respective breeding tracts in EDTA containing vacutainers with the help of trained veterinarians. Sampling of unrelated animals for each breed/population was ensured to cover maximum genetic diversity. Genomic DNA was extracted from the blood samples according to the protocol by Sambrook et al. (1989). The quality and quantity of the isolated DNA were determined by running on agarose gels as well as by Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ND-1000, Thermo Scientific).

PCR amplification of PRDM9 ZF array: PRDM9 ZF array was amplified by designing primers (Forward: CACCTGAACACACGCCATTAAGCTCC and Reverse: GGTGGCCCTTTATCGCTGAAGCTTCG) on the basis of Camelus dromedarius gene sequence (GenBank accession JWN03000010.1). PCR cocktail consisted of 12.5 μL of DreamTaq Green PCR Mastermix (2×), 100 ng of DNA, and 1 mM of each primer and nuclease free water to make the final volume to 25 μL. PCR cycling conditions included initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; 32 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 63°C for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 30 sec, and final extension at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were run along with 100 plus 500 bp DNA marker on 1% agarose gel, followed by staining with ethidium bromide. Specific amplicon (approximately 1100 bp) was obtained using the designed primers in all 188 samples. To decipher the sequence variability in the ZF domain, the amplified fragments from 62 animals (six animals each of 9 Indian breeds and 8 Bactrian camels) were enzymatically purified using Exonuclease I and Antarctic Phosphatase and subsequently sequenced in ABI 3100 Automated DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA). The sequences of this study were submitted to NCBI and accession numbers were obtained for camel PRDM9 ZF domain (MT926082- MT926116).

Sequence analysis: All 62 camel sequences were trimmed so as to include only the ZF portion of PRDM9 for further analysis using BIOEDIT 7.0 sequence alignment editor (Hall 1999). The sequences were then subjected to multiple sequence alignment using CLUSTAL OMEGA to analyze sequence variations. Sequence Manipulation Suite Version 2 was used to translate the DNA sequences (Stothard 2000). SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de) was used for functional domain prediction of the camel PRDM9 protein. PRDM9 sequences for other species that emerged as the best hits in BLAST analysis were retrieved from NCBI. For construction of phylogenetic tree, all the sequences of this study and those taken from NCBI were subjected to multiple sequence alignment using MUSCLE tool found in MEGA6 (http://www.megasoftware.net), and subsequently, Maximum Likelihood method was used for the construction of the evolutionary tree (Tamura and Nei 1993).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, amplification of ZF domain of PRDM9 in 188 camels (180, dromedary and 8, Bactrian) yielded amplicons of the same size possibly suggesting lack of numerical variability in the ZF repeats. Sequence analysis of the amplified products revealed an intriguing observation that not only was the size of PRDM9 ZF domain same in all animals, the DNA as well as the amino acid sequence was also found to be highly conserved in dromedary camels (Fig. 1).

However, there were 11 nucleotide variations between dromedary and Bactrian camels, out of which 5 were non-synonymous mutations. This observation of lack of sequence variability in ZF domain is contrary to reports from many investigated vertebrate species, including livestock wherein tremendous variability in the ZF repeats has been a hallmark of evolution of PRDM9. The translated sequences were subjected to SMART to predict the functional domains in the encoded protein. Because of non-synonymous SNPs in Bactrian camels, the ZF domain was observed to possess 3 C2H2 ZFs in all the dromedary camels and 4 in Bactrian camels.

These ZFs were composed of 23 amino acids in both species. The location of ZFs has been highlighted in Fig. 1. This again was a fascinating finding since, in all the other species investigated so far, ZF array contains tandem repeats of 84 nucleotides or 28 amino acids. These repeats have been documented to exhibit perfect homology at both DNA and protein levels in many species (Baudat et al. 2010). Amino acids at positions –1, 3, and 6 in the ZF domain which are involved in binding to bases 3, 2, and 1, respectively, in the primary DNA strand during recombination are known to be under positive selection (Oliver et al. 2009). Since, camel ZF domain was highly conserved, it is important to highlight that concerted evolution and positive selection consistently typifying the evolutionary features of PRDM9 in different species were not evident in our study in camels.

Subsequently, we carried out phylogenetic analysis of the camel PRDM9 sequences with other species that were observed as the best hits in BLAST analysis. To evaluate
evolutionary relationship with other livestock species, the sequences from large ruminants (cattle, buffalo, yak and mithun), small ruminants (sheep and goat) and equines (horse, asinus and zebra) were also included in the analysis. In the evolutionary tree, camels appeared to be the most distinct species forming a separate cluster. Astoundingly, camels showed proximity to Siberian tiger and high sequence dissimilarity with various livestock species (Fig. 2). Although all ruminant species clustered together, their high genetic distance with camels was conspicuous in the analysis. Percent identity was maximum with Siberian tiger (82.5%) and least with large ruminants such as cattle, yak and mithun (64-65%). As expected, the two camel species shared 98.92% sequence identity.

Comprehensive analysis of PRDM9 across diverse animal species has unveiled three classes to which these species belong (Ponting 2011). The first class includes species such as humans, chimpanzees, and mice, which encode a ZF domain that displays fast evolution of ZFs in response to short lived recombination hotspots. Therefore, it’s no wonder that remarkable diversity in the number of ZFs ranging from 7-17 in bonobos and chimpanzees (Groeneveld et al. 2012), 5-14 in equines (Steiner and Ryder 2013), 7-17 in mice (Buard et al. 2014), 8-12 in small ruminants (Ahlawat et al. 2016a), 6-9 in large ruminants (Ahlawat et al. 2017), 8-16 in humans (Baudat et al. 2010), 7-15 in primates and 7-12 in rodents (Oliver et al. 2009), with positive selection at DNA binding amino acids and concerted evolution have been consistently observed. In contrast, there are species such as ray-finned fishes and tunicates that have persistent recombination hotspots and consequently, have gradually evolving ZF array and therefore, comprise the second class. Intriguingly, the third class includes chicken, fruit fly and dog, in which PRDM9 gene is either absent or is disrupted by multiple stop codons. In case of livestock species, remarkable diversity has been reported in PRDM9 which substantiates the reports from other vertebrates, but multiple disruptive mutations have been reported in its paralog, PRDM7 (Ahlawat et al. 2016b). However, the results of our study in camels do not support their conformity to any of the known categories because neither could we observe any variability in the number/sequence of ZF array nor its coding sequence was disrupted. Does this imply that on the basis of domain architecture of PRDM9 ZF array, camels represent an altogether separate class? Species such as humans and mice which have rapidly evolving PRDM9 ZF domain have recombination hotspots localized in discrete clusters,
whereas mammals like canids that harbour functionally inert versions of PRDM9 have more uniform distribution of crossover sites in the genome (Baudat et al. 2013, Axelsson et al. 2012). Since camels have a conserved PRDM9 ZF domain, it would be interesting to explore its implications in determining the recombination landscape in future.

Till date, PRDM9 is the only gene that has been implicated in hybrid sterility in some mouse subspecies. Variability in the PRDM9 ZF domain in *Mus m. domesticus* and *Mus m. musculus* has been identified to be a key determinant of the reproductive isolation (Mihola et al. 2009). There are many other instances where inter species breeding is practiced to exploit hybrid vigour, which is manifested in the form of higher productivity, better draftability and greater adaptability of hybrids. Common examples from livestock species are the crosses of horses and donkeys to produce mules as well as interbreeding of cattle (*Bos taurus*) and yaks (*Bos grunniens*) (Steiner and Ryder 2013, Ahlawat et al. 2017). In both these cases, the male hybrids are sterile. However, crossbreeding of the dromedary and Bactrian camel has been attempted since ages and is still routinely carried out in countries like Turkey and Kazakhstan. Despite being taxonomically distinct species, they produce fertile F1 hybrids, which in turn are used to produce F2 and F3 hybrids (Dioli et al. 2020). Thus, there is a possibility that conserved architecture of PRDM9 has a role to play in ensuring the reproductive capability of dromedary and Bactrian camel hybrids.

Fig. 2. Inferred phylogeny of camel PRDM9 and its orthologs.

Various physiological and genomic studies have deciphered many inimitable attributes that enable camels to survive in the inhospitable desert conditions. These include storage of energy in the form of fat in their humps, ability to endure severe dehydration and two folds higher blood glucose levels than other ruminants, etc to underscore a few. Moreover, camels are the only mammals which have unusually elliptical shaped enucleated erythrocytes and produce non-conventional heavy-chain antibodies which are devoid of light chains (Ali et al. 2019, Tillib et al. 2014). It is thus a surprise that camels harbour such unique features despite their small genome size (2.45 Gb) as compared to other mammals. Other prominent differences are lower repetitive DNA (34%) and far greater number of ‘rapidly evolving genes’ in their genome that are involved in metabolism (carbohydrate and lipid) and
signaling pathways (insulin and adipocytokine) (Jirimutu et al. 2012). Surprisingly, our study on the fastest evolving gene in the genome (PRDM9) presents lack of variability in camels. Since genetic recombination has great implications in evolution and adaptation, it is plausible to speculate the role of PRDM9 in the latter in camels, if not, in the former.

Put together, the present study for the first time reports absence of sequence variability in the PRDM9 ZF domain in two camelid species (Dromedary and Bactrian), despite numerical variability between them. Our results are not in concordance with the evolutionary dynamics of PRDM9 that has been reported in other metazoans. We put forth an important question as to what can be the possible role of PRDM9 in the evolution of the camel genome. It would be exciting for researchers in future to explore what evolutionary advantages the conserved architecture of PRDM9 imparts to camels.
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