



Potential of waste flowers used as feed additive on the performance of goat kids

M WADHWA¹ and M P S BAKSHI¹✉

Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Science University, Ludhiana, Punjab 141 004 India

Received: 9 September 2020; Accepted: 16 August 2021

Keywords: Bio-active compounds, *In vitro*, Goat kids, Growth, Nutrient utilization, Waste flowers

The area under floriculture in India during 2007–08 to 2017–18 increased from 0.56 to 1.04 million hectares, while production increased from 1.26 to 3.65 million tonnes (mt), respectively. Out of which only 0.02 mt of flowers worth ₹ 5,073 million were exported in 2017–18 and the remaining were utilized domestically (ARDB 2019). Fresh flowers have been used in worship, decoration, weddings, festivals and funeral rituals, as an intrinsic element of the entire human culture. After the above ceremonies, huge quantities of fresh waste flowers (WFs) are available for disposal. Because of the religious sanctities the WFs cannot be dumped into the garbage, therefore disposed of in rivers or nearby water bodies or dumped in waste land, causing choking of water bodies, foul smell, unhygienic atmosphere and spread of infectious diseases, besides causing pollution.

Edible flowers have been used in various foods and beverages, besides they are known as nutraceuticals because of having phytochemicals and biological properties. Mahindrakar (2018) have reviewed floral waste utilization for various purposes like vermicomposting, conversion to activated carbon, charcoal, extraction of dyes and essential oils and bio-gas generation. Till date no report is available on using WFs as feed additive on ruminant's production. This study was therefore taken up to explore the utilization of WFs as feed additives in the total mixed ration (TMR) on the nutrient utilization and performance of goat kids.

Waste flowers [mixture of marigold (*Calendula officinalis*) and rose (*Rosa indica* L)] procured from local temples were segregated, sun dried and finely ground for analysis. The TMRs supplemented with WFs at 0–5% were evaluated in triplicate by *in vitro* gas production technique (IVGPT, Menke *et al.* 1979). Similar sets were run with 200 mg TMR, supplemented with WFs at 0–5% on dry matter basis for 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 26, 48, 60, 72, 84, and 96 h to work out $t_{1/2}$ using Graph Pad Prism software (Miller 2003). Another set was run at $t_{1/2}$ to see the fermentation pattern. For CH_4 estimation, representative gas sample was taken from the headspace of syringe in an air-tight 10 µl Hamilton syringe and injected into gas

chromatograph. After 24 h incubation, a 5 mL aliquot of fluid from each syringe was mixed with 1 mL of 25% metaphosphoric acid, kept for 1 h at ambient temperature (Erwin *et al.* 1961) and the Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) were estimated using gas chromatograph (Cottyn and Boucque 1968). Further, fermentation attributes related to hydrogen recovery, VFA utilization index, efficiency of conversion of fermented hexose energy to VFA and efficiency of conversion of fermented hexose energy to methane energy were calculated by adopting procedures described in an earlier publication (Wadhwa *et al.* 2021).

A 90 days growth trial was conducted on male Beetal goat kids (15 number; 8 months old; average live weight 22.14 ± 1.18 kg) randomly distributed into three equal groups. The animals in control group were fed TMR containing concentrate mixture (maize 32, barley 20, groundnut cake 25, wheat bran 15, full fat soy 5, mineral mixture 2 and salt 1% each) and green oats in 50 : 50 ratio on DM basis as per NRC (2007) feeding standard for goats. Those in experimental groups were fed control TMR supplemented with dried, ground waste flowers @ 3 or 5% of DM intake. A 7-day digestion-cum-metabolism trial was conducted before the termination of growth trial. During metabolic trial, the animals were kept individually in specially designed metabolic cages where urine and faeces were collected automatically in separate containers.

The finely ground samples of feed, feces and orts were analyzed for CP, EE, total ash (AOAC 2007) and cell wall constituents (Van Soest *et al.* 1991), total phenols (Makkar *et al.* 1993), flavanoids (Balabaa *et al.* 1974), saponins (Baccou *et al.* 1977), anthocyanins (Lapornik *et al.* 2005), 2, 2-diphenyl picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) activity (Kumaran and Karakumaran 2007) and vitamin C (Jagota and Dani 1982). Fresh urine and fecal samples were analyzed for N (AOAC 2007). The data were analyzed by using one way ANOVA (Snedecor and Cochran 1994) by using SPSS (2009) version 16.0 and the means were tested for the significant difference by using Tukey's-b test.

Marigold flower constituted the major proportion of the waste flowers collected, with negligible quantity of rose flowers. The data revealed that marigold flowers had 4.1 times higher ($P < 0.01$) total phenols and 1.6 times higher ($P < 0.01$) flavonoids, while rose had higher ($P < 0.01$) amount

Present address: ¹Department of Animal Nutrition, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, Punjab. ✉Corresponding author email: bakshimps2@gmail.com

Table 1. Bio-active components in waste flowers (mg %)

Component	Marigold	Rose (red)	PSE	P value
Total phenols	9.44 ^b	2.29 ^a	3.87	<0.001
Anthocynins	0.09 ^a	0.87 ^b	0.22	<0.001
Saponins	0.14 ^a	0.28 ^b	0.04	<0.001
Vitamin C	3.02 ^a	3.90 ^b	0.26	0.002
Flavonoids	6.16 ^b	3.88 ^a	0.66	0.002
DPPH	54.55 ^a	55.44 ^b	0.26	0.031

DPPH, 2, 2-diphenyl picrylhydrazyl; Figures with different superscripts in a row differ significantly.

of anthocynins, saponins, vitamin C by 9.7, 2.0 and 1.3 times respectively than that in marigold (Table 1). The DPPH activity was also higher ($P<0.05$) in rose flowers than that observed for marigold. Marigold flowers (*Tagetes erecta* L) are a rich source of lutein, a carotenoid pigment (Jain 2017), saponins, triterpenic alcohols (Giuliano *et al.* 2008) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (Kishimoto *et al.* 2005).

The TMR contained 9.09% total ash, 90.92% OM, 11.55% CP, 60.08% NDF, and 27.23% ADF, 21.55% cellulose and 32.85% hemicelluloses on DM basis. The results revealed that Net gas production (NGP), partitioning factor and availability of ME content was not affected by

supplementation of waste flowers, however the digestibility of NDF and that of true OM was improved ($P<0.05$) by supplementation of waste flowers at 3 and 5% levels (Table 2).

The data revealed that NGP at $t\frac{1}{2}$ increased linearly ($P<0.01$) as the level of supplementation of waste flowers increased in TMR. On an average the NGP increased by 10.8% on supplementation of waste flowers. Methane emission as per cent of NGP at $t\frac{1}{2}$ decreased ($P<0.01$) linearly as the level of WFs supplementation to the TMR increased.

The effect of supplementation of waste flowers to TMR on the fermentation pattern revealed that as compared to control TMR, supplementation of waste flowers at 3 and 5% levels on DM basis resulted in higher ($P<0.01$) production of total VFAs, acetate and propionate. The flow of hydrogen is diverted into propionate production via lactate or fumarate (Asanuma *et al.* 1999). It was observed that at lower levels of supplementation of WFs the fermentation efficiency was not affected, but it increased ($P<0.01$) with increase in level of supplementation from 3% onwards. Efficiency with which hexose energy is converted to VFA energy was highest ($P<0.01$) when the diet was supplemented with waste flowers @ 5%. Energy loss through methane was reduced ($P<0.01$) with the

Table 2. Impact of supplementing waste flowers to the TMR on NGP, digestibility of nutrients, ME availability, total and individual volatile fatty acids production and hydrogen balance by IVGPT

Parameter	Level of waste flowers, %						PSE	P value
	0	1	2	3	4	5		
NGP, ml/g DM/24 h	180.0	182.61	182.69	183.81	182.05	183.28	0.95	0.168
NDFD, %	26.48 ^a	29.11 ^{ab}	33.54 ^{bc}	36.83 ^c	32.90 ^{bc}	31.88 ^{bc}	1.04	0.005
TOMD, %	58.82 ^a	59.92 ^{ab}	61.51 ^{abc}	63.68 ^c	62.10 ^{bc}	62.34 ^{bc}	0.85	0.006
PF, mg/ml	2.00	2.04	2.05	2.04	2.07	2.08	—	0.107
ME, MJ/kg DM	8.20	8.28	8.25	8.32	8.28	8.31	—	0.596
<i>NGP and methane production at $t\frac{1}{2}$</i>								
NGP, ml/g DM	125.00 ^a	129.17 ^b	136.67 ^c	138.33 ^c	144.17 ^d	144.17 ^d	1.72	<0.001
CH ₄ , %	45.18 ^b	43.42 ^{ab}	41.71 ^a	42.72 ^{ab}	40.65 ^a	40.84 ^a	0.82	0.010
<i>VFA production, mM/dL</i>								
TVFA	4.93 ^a	4.94 ^a	5.08 ^b	5.33 ^d	5.12 ^b	5.27 ^c	0.04	<0.001
Acetate (A)	3.55 ^a	3.56 ^a	3.70 ^b	3.83 ^d	3.68 ^b	3.79 ^c	0.03	<0.001
Propionate (P)	0.849 ^a	0.855 ^a	0.863 ^a	0.93 ^c	0.878 ^b	0.926 ^c	0.01	<0.001
Isobutyrate	0.049 ^a	0.048 ^a	0.051 ^b	0.052 ^b	0.055 ^c	0.051 ^b	0.001	<0.001
Butyrate	0.355 ^a	0.355 ^a	0.353 ^a	0.388 ^c	0.378 ^b	0.379 ^b	0.004	0.002
Isovalerate	0.082 ^b	0.077 ^a	0.077 ^a	0.081 ^b	0.082 ^b	0.075 ^a	0.001	<0.001
Valerate	0.044 ^a	0.045 ^a	0.045 ^a	0.051 ^b	0.054 ^c	0.045 ^a	0.001	<0.001
A:P	4.10 ^a	4.16 ^b	4.29 ^c	4.11 ^a	4.19 ^b	4.19 ^b	—	<0.001
<i>Hydrogen balance, %</i>								
E	72.22 ^a	72.02 ^a	72.20 ^a	72.27 ^{bc}	72.24 ^b	72.33 ^c	0.53	<0.001
E ₁	71.91 ^{bc}	71.72 ^a	71.88 ^b	71.97 ^{cd}	71.93 ^{bc}	72.03 ^d	0.44	<0.001
E ₂	17.94 ^a	18.19 ^c	18.04 ^b	17.92 ^a	17.97 ^{ab}	17.89 ^a	0.18	<0.001
HR	29.09 ^{bc}	28.49 ^a	29.00 ^b	29.21 ^{bc}	29.09 ^{bc}	29.33 ^c	0.11	0.001
HC	0.128 ^{ab}	0.132 ^c	0.129 ^b	0.127 ^{ab}	0.128 ^{ab}	0.126 ^a	0.001	0.001
VFA-UI	4.81 ^b	4.90 ^c	4.83 ^b	4.78 ^b	4.79 ^b	4.73 ^a	—	<0.001

E, Fermentation efficiency; E₁, Efficiency of fermented hexose energy to VFA energy; E₂, Methane energy; HR, Hydrogen recovery; HC, Hydrogen consumed; VFAUI, Volatile fatty acid utilization index. Figures with different superscripts in a row differ significantly.

increase in level of WFs from 1 to 5%.

Acetate and butyrate promote CH_4 production, while propionate formation is for diverting hydrogen away from methane production in the rumen. One mole H_2 is required per mole of propionate or valerate produced. This suggests that the proportions of acetate, butyrate and propionate would determine the amounts of available H_2 for methanogens. Hydrogen balance revealed that hydrogen recovery was highest ($P<0.01$), while hydrogen consumed was lowest ($P<0.01$) when the diet was supplemented with 5% waste flowers. The VFA utilization index varied ($P<0.01$) from 4.73 (diet supplemented with 5% waste flowers) to 4.9 (diet supplemented with 1% waste flowers).

Based on the results of *in vitro* studies, waste flowers at 3 and 5% level were selected for conducting a 90 days feeding trial on goat kids. Supplementation of WFs at 3% did not have any adverse effect on the digestibility of proximate and cell wall constituents as compared to control TMR (Table 3). But, the digestibility of DM, OM, and CP was depressed ($P<0.05$) at 5% supplementation of WFs as compared to control group. The digestibility of cell wall constituents except hemicelluloses was not affected even at 5% WFs supplementation to the TMR. The nitrogen utilization was not affected by supplementation of waste flowers to the TMR.

The performance of kids was better when diet was supplemented with waste flowers (Table 3). The body weight gain and average daily gain was 14 and 41%

respectively at 3 and 5% level of supplementation of waste flowers in the diet.

SUMMARY

The present study was conducted to assess the impact of temple waste flowers as feed additive on the growth of goat kids. Marigold (*Calendula officinalis*) flowers constituted the bulk of WFs with negligible red roses (*Rosa indica* L). Marigold flowers as compared to rose flowers contained high ($P<0.01$) total phenols and flavonoids; and low ($P<0.01$) anthocyanins, saponins and vitamin C content. The *in vitro* studies revealed that the supplementation of WFs at 3 and 5% improved ($P<0.01$) digestibility of nutrients and VFAs production as compared to control. NGP at $t_{1/2}$ increased ($P<0.05$) linearly up to 4% WFs supplementation and methane production decreased ($P<0.05$) at all levels of supplementation. Fifteen Beetal goat kids divided into three equal groups were fed TMR, or TMR supplemented with WFs at 3 or 5% of DM intake for 90 days. The daily DM consumed by the animals was similar in all the groups. The digestibility of proximate constituents at 3% level of WF was comparable with control TMR, but depressed ($P<0.05$) at 5% level of supplementation. The digestibility of cell wall constituents and N retention were not affected by WF supplementation. The average daily gain in weight was improved considerably. It was concluded that bio-active compounds present in WFs reduced the methane emission, resulting in improvement in nutrient utilization and growth of goat kids.

REFERENCES

Table 3. Impact of supplementing waste flowers to the TMR on DM intake, digestibility of nutrients, N-retention and gain in weight of goat kids

Parameter	Level of waste flowers, % DM intake			PSE	P value
	0	3	5		
DM intake, g/day	780	840	850	60.0	0.755
<i>Digestibility of nutrients, %</i>					
DM	67.82 ^b	61.90 ^{ab}	60.72 ^a	1.29	0.035
OM	71.10 ^b	64.78 ^{ab}	63.75 ^a	1.37	0.040
CP	81.28 ^b	74.87 ^{ab}	72.25 ^a	1.58	0.045
NDF	63.85	56.16	56.03	1.66	0.074
ADF	36.34	32.93	30.18	1.70	0.365
Cellulose	57.60	47.59	51.75	2.03	0.123
Hemicellulose	82.44 ^b	75.32 ^{ab}	73.21 ^a	1.69	0.047
<i>N-retention, g/day</i>					
N-intake	19.23	20.40	20.58	1.21	0.758
Faecal-N	2.94	3.90	4.60	1.14	0.116
Urinary-N	3.90	4.43	5.60	0.55	0.078
N-retained	12.39	12.07	10.38	0.96	0.313
<i>Gain in weight</i>					
Initial BW, kg	22.07	22.2	22.15	1.18	0.999
Final BW, kg	27.32	28.21	29.58	1.37	0.819
BW gain, kg	5.27	6.01	7.43	0.53	0.251
ADG, g	58.59	66.74	82.52	5.85	0.251

Figures with different superscripts in a row differ significantly.

- AOAC. 2007. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 7th ed. Association of Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA.
- ARDB. 2019. *Agricultural Research Data Book-2019*. ICAR-Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute (ICAR-IASRI) and Indian Council of Agricultural Research. 22nd ed.
- Asanuma N, Iwamoto M and Hino T. 1999. Effect of the addition of fumarate on methane production by ruminal microorganisms *in vitro*. *Journal of Dairy Science* **82**: 780–87.
- Baccou J C, Lambert F and Sanvaire Y. 1977. Spectrophotometric method for the determination of total steroidal sapogenin. *Analyst* **102**: 458–66.
- Balabaa S I, Zake A Y and Elshamy A M. 1974. Total flavonols and rutin contents of the different organs of *Sophora japonica* L. *Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemistry* **57**: 752–55.
- Cottyn B G and Boucque C V. 1968. Rapid methods for the gas chromatographic determination of volatile acids in rumen fluid. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* **16**: 105–07.
- Erwin E S, Marco G J and Emery E M. 1961. Volatile fatty acid analyses of blood and rumen fluid by gas chromatography. *Journal of Dairy Science* **44**: 1768–71.
- Giuliano G, Tavazza R, Diretto G, Beyer P and Taylor M A. 2008. Metabolic engineering of carotenoid biosynthesis in plants. *Trends in Biotechnology* **26**: 139–45.
- Jagota S K and Dani H M. 1982. A new colorimetric technique for the estimation of vitamin C using folin phenol reagent. *Annals of Biochemistry* **127**: 178–82.
- Jain N. 2017. Extraction and application of natural dye by utilizing

- temple floral waste, *Tegetes erecta*. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology* **4**: 2394–86.
- Kishimoto S, Maoka T, Sumitomo K and Ohmiya A. 2005. Analysis of carotenoid composition in petals of calendula (*Calendula officinalis* L.). *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry* **69**: 2122–28.
- Kumaran A and Karakumaran J. 2007. *In vitro* antioxidant activities of methanol extracts of five *Phyllanthus* species from India. *LWT Food Science and Technology* **40**: 344–52.
- Lapornik B, Prosek M and Wondra A. 2005. Comparison of extracts prepared from plant by-products using different solvents and extraction time. *Journal of Food Engineering* **71**: 214–22.
- Mahindrakar A. 2018. Floral waste utilization—A review. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience* **6**: 325–29.
- Makkar H P S, Blummel M, Borowy W K and Becker K. 1993. Gravimetric determination of tannins and their correlations with chemical and precipitation methods. *Journal of Science and Food Agriculture* **61**: 161–65.
- Menke K H, Raab L, Salweski A, Steingass H, Fritz D and Scheider W. 1979. The estimation of digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *Journal of Agriculture Science (Cambridge)* **93**: 217–22.
- Miller J R. 2003. Graph Pad Prism, Version 4. Step by step examples, Graph Pad Software Inc., San Diego, CA.
- NRC. 2007. *Nutrient Requirements of Small Ruminants: Sheep, Goats, Cervids, and New World Camelids*. National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.
- Snedecor G W and Cochran W G. 1994. *Statistical Methods*. 8th edn. Oxford and IBH Publications, New Delhi.
- SPSS. 2009. *Statistical Packages for Social Sciences*. Version 16. SPSS Inc, Chicago
- Van Soest P J, Robertson J B and Lewis B A. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* **74**: 3583–97.
- Wadhwa M, Hira Singh, Balwinder Kumar and Bakshi M P S. 2021. *In vitro* evaluation of short duration cassava varieties as livestock feed. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* (Accepted).