



Efficacy of tree leaf based complete feed blocks on performance of growing yaks

D MEDHI¹✉, P P SARMA¹, EYASHIN ALI¹ and P CHAKRAVARTY¹

ICAR-National Research Centre on Yak, Dirang, West Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh 790 101 India

Received: 4 October 2020; Accepted: 26 October 2021

Keywords: Complete feed block, Growth performance, Plane of nutrition, Tree leaves, Yak

Yak (*Poephagus grunniens*) found between altitude of 3,000 and 6,000 m above msl, plays a major role in the economy of the tribal population living in difficult terrains of the foot hills of Himalayas. Yak herdsman usually do not fed any supplementary feed except some salts at regular interval, and generally milch and pregnant animals are fed small amounts of concentrates in the form of wheat flour or maize flour. Most of the yak rearing areas of the country are facing an acute shortage of both feeds and fodders especially during winter when entire grassland remains covered with snows. In India, the yak keepers practice two-pasture utilization strategies. The summer pasture extends for 190 days (May–October) and winter pasture for about 138 to 150 days (November–April). The rest period is spent on transit from winter pasture to summer pasture. Traditionally, they maintain yaks to allow heavy weight gain through feeding *ad lib.* summer forages and utilize the reserved fat for survival in winter when there is scarcity of feed (Ramesha *et al.* 2009). Yaks are taxed heavily in winter due to inadequate fodder resulting 25 to 30% loss of body weight and the milk yields (Baruah *et al.* 2012). Yak herdsman usually feed these animals with salt at regular interval. The periodic feed shortage results in the weight loss of grazing ruminants and is particularly evident in young animals (Ryan 1990). In such situation, nutritional intervention may be an effective weapon to improve the productive performance of yak that is already undernourished. Supplementing concentrate mixture may not solve the problem of acute scarcity of green and dry forages, particularly during winter under field condition at higher altitude. Therefore, Complete Feed Block (CFB)s, may be useful to overcome this problem which also involve cheaper cost of transportation. Further, majority of livestock in hilly region are generally fed on forest grasses and tree leaves though all of them are not palatable to these animals. Animals even refuse to eat certain tree leaves in a particular season, mainly because of high concentration of some anti-nutritional factors like tannin. Therefore, selection of suitable tree leaves for preparation of complete feed blocks by observing their proximate compositions, anti-nutritional

factor contents and effects on rumen fermentation and palatability for supplementing nutrients to the yaks during scarcity particularly during winter for improving its productivity is important. Hence, the present study was undertaken to observe the quality of different tree leaves relished by yaks and their conservation as complete feed blocks for scarcity feeding, their effect on growth performance and blood biochemical profiles in growing yaks.

The samples of the tree leaves were oven dried/processed, pooled and analyzed for proximate composition as per AOAC (1984). The cell wall constituents were estimated as per the method given by Van Soest (1967). The experiment was carried out at ICAR-NRC on Yak farm, situated at 7,500 ft. above msl using 20 male yak calves of uniform age (12–13 months) and body weight (130.7 kg). The animals were randomly divided into four groups, viz. G₁, G₂, G₃ and G₄ each having five calves. Group G₁ was fed CFBs comprising 50% concentrate and 50% paddy straws [as per NRC (1989) requirements of dairy cattle] and 50% paddy straw of this ration was replaced with maar, blemkar and salix tree leaves and was fed to groups G₂, G₃ and G₄, respectively. All the animals were allowed to feed individually for 120 days and at the last part of the feeding, a digestibility trial of 6 days was carried out. Standard managemental practices were applied for each animal and were treated for both ecto and endo parasitic infestation before the start of the experiment. The samples of feed, faeces and residue left were analyzed for proximate composition (AOAC 1990). The data were subjected to statistical analysis (2×2 factorial designs) as per the methods (CRD design) described by Snedecor and Cochran (1986).

Fourteen tree fodders relished by the yaks growing in various yak pockets of the West Kameng district of Arunachal Pradesh were collected and based on their availability pattern and biomass yields, nine tree leaves were selected for their proximate analysis and fibre fractionization. Phrengpa and Syluli were better for feeding growing animal because of their higher protein contents with comparatively low fibre levels (Table 1). Estimation of anti-nutritional factors like total phenolics, condensed tannin, total tannins, etc were completed (Table 2) and based on their availability, growth pattern, biomass yield, chemical

Present address: ¹ICAR-National Research Centre on Yak, Dirang, Arunachal Pradesh. ✉Corresponding author email: Dinamani.Medhi@icar.gov.in

Table 1. Per cent composition of tree fodders (on DM basis) commonly fed to yaks in West Kameng district of Arunachal Pradesh

Particular	Tree fodders								
	Blemkar (<i>Buddija asitica</i>)	Domkar (<i>Symplocos racemosa</i>)	Salix (<i>Salix humboldtiana</i>)	Xyululi (<i>Acer campbellii</i>)	Maar (<i>Costanopsis</i> spp.)	Zimbu (<i>Lingustrum myrsinites</i>)	Marsing (<i>Acer hookeri</i>)	Bagar (<i>Berberis</i> spp.)	Phrengpa (<i>Quercus walliasehiana</i>)
Crude protein	13.67±0.43	11.32±0.24	13.97±0.76	15.97±0.36	12.54±0.42	10.42±0.40	6.73±0.35	7.21±0.30	20.12±0.57
Ether extract	1.02±0.11	2.26±0.31	3.01±0.11	1.72±0.31	0.97±0.13	1.51±0.17	1.83±0.11	2.73±0.13	4.23±0.28
Total ash	6.26±0.21	7.82±0.18	10.35±0.45	5.36±0.14	5.01±0.18	5.62±0.12	6.02±0.23	5.78±0.09	6.34±0.18
T-CHO	78.8±2.1	79.0±1.5	72.3±1.8	77.9±1.9	82.5±1.7	84.1±1.9	85.5±2.0	83.9±1.7	70.7±1.4
CF	22.54±1.43	23.23±1.05	21.65±2.22	19.67±1.35	22.32±2.18	20.12±1.23	21.45±1.27	20.45±1.41	18.98±1.78
Organic matter	93.74±2.23	92.18±1.75	89.65±1.54	94.64±2.08	94.99±1.89	94.38±1.23	93.98±3.12	94.22±0.21	93.64±1.37
NDF	58.9±1.3	46.5±1.1	56.6±1.4	45.6±0.9	65.6±0.8	47.8±1.1	36.4±0.7	56.4±0.9	75.5±1.2
ADF	41.1±0.9	38.4±0.8	29.8±0.5	28.5±0.4	51.9±0.9	36.6±0.7	23.1±1.0	50.0±1.4	54.8±1.7
Cellulose	16.9±0.06	23.6±0.2	13.0±0.08	16.7±0.09	21.0±1.0	5.0±0.02	11.4±0.09	27.7±1.1	22.3±1.0

Table 2. Total phenolic, non-tannin phenolic, total tannin and condensed tannin content of different tree fodders of Arunachal Pradesh and their CFBs produced

Sample	Total phenolic (g %)	Non-tannin phenolics (g %)	Total tannin (g %)	Condensed tannins (mg/100 g)
Marsing (<i>Acer hookeri</i>)	2.52±0.18	1.23±0.18	1.86±0.94	8.07±0.23
Phrengma (<i>Quercus walliasehiana</i>)	6.24±0.12	2.32±0.13	4.15±0.23	9.23±0.51
Blemkar (<i>Buddija asitica</i>)	5.12±0.04	2.22±0.32	2.92±0.23	2.49±0.20
Maar (<i>Costanopsis</i> sp)	5.21±0.23	1.63±0.52	4.02±0.14	2.21±0.11
Khakusuma (<i>Araliaceae</i> family)	2.62±0.18	1.12±0.25	1.46±0.21	1.02±0.23
Baggar (<i>Berberis</i> sp)	2.09±0.21	1.02±0.21	1.62±0.72	7.02±0.35
Zimbu (<i>Lingustrum myrsinites</i>)	4.98±0.42	2.05±0.02	3.63±0.42	3.05±0.23
Domkar (<i>Symplocos racemosa</i>)	1.84±0.20	0.85±0.11	1.35±0.05	3.01±0.18
Xyululi (<i>Acer campbellii</i>)	5.24±0.12	1.52±0.03	3.47±0.12	8.20±0.42
Matekpa (<i>Quercus fenestrata</i>)	5.11±0.07	1.48±0.19	3.63±0.12	28.02±0.51
Salix (<i>Salix humboldtiana</i>)	4.62±0.05	1.23±0.28	3.02±0.32	22.02±0.56

composition, and the tannin content, Salix, Maar and Blemkar were selected for preparation of Complete Feed Block (CFB)s. Composition of different experimental rations and that of tree leaves based CFBs is presented in Table 3. It was observed that the proximate compositions of the CFBs varied according to the proximate composition of the tree leaves incorporated in the ration.

The feeding trial and digestibility studies revealed that, the average daily gain in body weight and feed efficiency of the animals ranged from 392.44±19.04 to 402.99±4.88 g and 6.84±0.21 to 7.18±0.38, respectively with highest gain and feed efficiency observed in group G₄ fed salix tree leaves based CFBs. The daily dry matter intake per unit body weight in yak calves were almost similar and the values are in the same range as reported by Liu *et al.* (1997) and Medhi *et al.* (2016). The dry matter intake varied from

1.38 to 2.34 kg/100 kg body weight in 2 to 3 year old castrated yaks under stall-fed condition when the animals are maintained on green forages, dry roughages, or on diets based on dry roughages and concentrates (Han *et al.* 1990a). The increased gain in body weight of the yaks might be due to increased protein content of the tree leaves incorporated in the diets. The possibility of utilizing tree leaves in complete feed blocks as roughage source in sheep ration with lowering of the feed cost was indicated by earlier workers (Afzal *et al.* 2009).

The dry matter as well as the organic matter digestibility was similar among all the four experimental groups except the crude protein and crude fibre digestibility which was significantly higher ($P>0.01$) in salix leaf based complete feed block fed group (G₄). The digestibility of dry matter and the organic nutrients was also similar irrespective of

Table 3. Composition of the experimental diets

Particular	Experimental diet			Concentrate mixture	Paddy straw
	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄	
<i>Physical composition (parts per 100 kg)</i>					
Paddy straw	50	25	25	25	
Maar leaves	—	25	—	—	
Blemar leaves	—	—	25	—	
Salix leaves	—	—	—	25	
Maize crushed	20	20	20	20	
Wheat bran	06	06	06	06	
DOGNC	10	10	10	10	
DOMOC	08	08	08	08	
Molasses	03	03	03	03	
Mineral mixture	02	02	02	02	
Common salt	01	01	01	01	
<i>Chemical composition (%)</i>					
Dry matter	87.49	86.56	87.28	88.95	87.86 87.12
Organic matter	88.57	90.24	89.34	87.65	90.30 8.84
Crude protein	10.63	11.15	12.08	12.31	17.61 3.65
Crude fibre	19.56	17.45	17.18	17.01	7.12 32.00
Nitrogen free extracts	56.04	59.79	58.46	56.22	61.10 48.60
Ether extracts	2.34	1.85	1.62	2.11	4.38 1.00
Total ash	11.43	9.76	10.66	12.35	9.7 15.20
Cost per kg CFBs (₹)	24.69	22.31	22.31	22.31	42.00 17.25

different tree leaf based CFBs fed to the experimental animals. Mishra *et al.* (2013) recorded linear increase in the organic matter digestibility with no significant variation of the dry matter digestibility in sheep fed with complete feed blocks comprising 0 to 30% levels of fallen tree leaves.

Their study also revealed no change in the average daily body weight gain and body condition score in sheep fed with complete feed blocks with incorporation of fallen tree leaves up to 20% levels without any adverse effect on general health status of the animals. In the present study, at the end of 120 days of feeding trial, the average daily gain (ADG) in body weight and feed conversion efficiency (FCE) of the yak calves were significantly better in group fed CFB with salix leaves followed by the group fed with CFB comprising of maar leaves. Comparatively lower digestibility and growth performance was indicated by Afzal *et al.* (2009) in sheep fed with complete feed blocks based on paddy straw than that of maize and oats straws which showed the similarity of the results as indicated herewith the lower values of paddy straw based complete feed blocks.

Different blood biochemical profiles (Table 5) also indicated no significant difference amongst different treatment groups. The study revealed that there is possibility of incorporation of tree leaves available in Arunachal Pradesh in diets of growing yaks without any adverse effect on their growth and health status.

SUMMARY

An experiment was carried out at ICAR-NRC on Yak farm using 20 male yak calves of uniform age (12–13 months) and body weight (130.7 kg). The animals were randomly divided into four groups, viz. G₁, G₂, G₃ and G₄ each having five calves. Group G₁ was fed CFBs comprising 50% concentrate and 50% paddy straws [as per NRC (1989) requirements of dairy cattle] and 50% paddy straw of this ration was replaced with maar, blemkar and salix tree leaves and was fed to groups G₂, G₃ and G₄, respectively. All the

Table 4. Performance of the experimental animals fed complete feed block based diets

Attribute	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄
	(Paddy straw based CFBs)	(CFB with maar leaves)	(CFB with blemkar leaves)	(CFB with salix leaves)
<i>Growth performance</i>				
Initial body weight (kg)	131.00±15.11	130.80±10.85	130.60±12.06	130.40±13.28
Final body weight (kg)	178.09±17.31	177.13±12.43	176.97±13.32	178.76±13.75
Experimental period	120 days			
Average daily gain	392.44 ^b ±19.04	386.04 ^b ±14.40	386.41 ^b ±12.07	402.99 ^a ±4.88
<i>Dry matter intake</i>				
kg /100 kg BW/day	1.90 ^a ±0.22	1.79 ^b ±0.14	1.83 ^{ab} ±0.12	1.82 ^{ab} ±0.12
g /kg W ^{0.75} /day	66.97±5.13	63.05±3.88	65.17±2.81	64.91±2.47
kg/day	2.82 ^a ±0.27	2.71 ^b ±0.45	2.77 ^{ab} ±0.23	2.76 ^{ab} ±0.14
<i>Feed efficiency</i>				
Dry matter intake (kg/kg BW gain)	7.18 ^a ±0.38	7.02 ^b ±0.31	7.17 ^a ±0.19	6.84 ^b ±0.21
<i>Digestibility co-efficient (%)</i>				
Dry matter	58.41 ^a ±1.25	59.22 ^b ±1.42	59.24 ^a ±2.01	60.12 ^a ±1.22
Organic matter	61.42±0.24	60.42±0.23	58.96±0.18	62.34±0.41
Crude protein	62.17 ^a ±0.30	58.98 ^b ±0.23	60.77 ^{ab} ±0.36	62.98 ^a ±0.43
Ether extract	59.47±0.44	59.11±0.52	59.04±0.45	60.78±0.96
Crude fibre	61.24 ^a ±0.35	59.04 ^b ±0.34	60.54 ^{ab} ±0.42	62.34 ^a ±0.47
Nitrogen free extract	60.21±0.22	57.78±1.10	59.47±0.45	60.68±0.47

Means bearing same superscripts in the same row are non-significant.

Table 5. Mean±SE Blood biochemical constituents of the animals in different experimental groups

Attribute	G ₁ (Paddy straw based CFBs)	G ₂ (CFB with maar leaves)	G ₃ (CFB with blemkar leaves)	G ₄ (CFB with salix leaves)
<i>Hb</i> (g/dl)				
Initial ^{NS}	11.72±0.12	11.62±0.24	11.74±0.42	12.03±0.23
Post feeding ^{NS}	11.81±0.45	11.37±0.35	11.31±0.52	12.22±0.62
<i>Blood glucose</i> (g/dl)				
Initial ^{NS}	61.42±1.41	63.24±1.15	60.45±2.11	63.23±1.33
Post feeding ^{NS}	64.41±1.24	66.20±1.09	63.27±1.12	67.67±1.39
<i>Total serum protein</i> (g/dl)				
Initial ^{NS}	7.41±0.16	7.15±0.16	7.28±0.16	7.36±0.24
Post feeding ^{NS}	7.22±0.31	7.34±0.34	7.35±0.42	7.38±0.45
<i>Blood urea nitrogen</i> (g/dl)				
Initial ^{NS}	20.48±0.21	20.15±0.22	20.95±0.35	20.95±0.39
Post feeding ^{NS}	22.04±0.11	21.27±0.24	22.67±0.12	23.56±0.45
<i>Alkaline phosphatase</i> (IU/L)				
Initial ^{NS}	184.27±0.17	180.07±1.12	180.47±0.27	183.45±0.18
Post feeding ^{NS}	186.29±1.47	183.41±1.32	183.57±1.46	187.27±1.42
<i>SGOT</i> (U/L)				
Initial ^{NS}	34.19±0.62	34.28±0.325	34.23±1.08	34.98±0.75
Post feeding ^{NS}	36.23±1.25	38.42±1.02	38.38±1.45	39.20±1.07
<i>SGPT</i> (U/L)				
Initial ^{NS}	23.42± 2.11	23.27± 1.07	24.24±1.23	25.45±1.08
Post feeding ^{NS}	27.17±0.48	25.12±0.24	27.72±0.43	28.67±0.52

NS, Non-significant.

animals were allowed to feed individually for 120 days and at the last part of the feeding, a digestibility trial of 6 days was carried out. Standard managerial practices were applied for each animal and were treated for both ecto and endo parasitic infestation before the start of the experiment. At the end of 120 days, the average DMI/100 kg body weight and per kg metabolic body weights ($W^{0.75}$) ranged from 1.90 ± 0.22 to 1.79 ± 0.14 kg, and 63.05 ± 3.88 to 66.97 ± 5.13 g indicating comparatively higher dry matter intake in G₁ group; however, the values were statistically non-significant amongst the groups. Further, average daily gain in body weight and feed efficiency of the animals ranged from 392.44 ± 19.04 to 402.99 ± 4.88 g and 6.84 ± 0.21 to 7.18 ± 0.38 , respectively with highest gain and feed efficiency observed in Group G₄.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Director, ICAR-National Research Centre on Yak, West Kameng, Arunachal Pradesh for providing the financial help and infrastructure facilities for undertaking this study.

REFERENCES

- Afzal Y, Ganai A M, Mattoo F A and Admed H A. 2008. Performance of sheep fed different roughage based complete feed blocks. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition* **25**(4): 357–61.
- Afzal Y, Ganai A M, Mattoo F A and Shad F I. 2009. Comparative dietary evaluation of oat straw and tree leaves based complete feed in block and mash form in sheep. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants* **15**(2): 212–16.
- AOAC. 1990. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 15th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, Virginia, USA.
- Baruah K K, Paul V, Ahmed F A, Chakravarty P, Deori S, Sarma H and Saikia A. 2012. Effect of strategic feed supplementation on growth performance and nutrient utilization in yak calves during winter. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **82**: 220–22.
- Liu S J, Xie A Y, Wang W B, Xue B and Hu L H. 1997. Study on the forage intake at different phenological periods in grazing yak. *Journal of Qinghai Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine* **27**: 4–8.
- Medhi D, Santra A, Paul V, Saikia A, Das P P, Ali E and Deb S M. 2016. Performance of growing yak calves on different planes of nutrition. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **86**(11): 1337–39.
- Mishra A S, Tripathi M K, Vaithiyanathan S and Jakhmola R C. 2013. Nutritional evaluation of fallen tree leaves as a source of roughage in Complete Feed Blocks for sheep. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology* **13**(2): 223–24.
- Ramesha K P, Bora M, Kandeepan G and Chakravarty P. 2009. *Indigenous Traditional Knowledge of Yak Rearers*. Technical Bulletin. National Research Centre on Yak, ICAR, Dirang, Arunachal Pradesh.
- Ryan W J. 1990. Compensatory growth in cattle and sheep. *Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews (Series B)* **60**(9): 653–64.
- Snedecor G W and Cochran W G. 1986. *Statistical Methods*. 8th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.