

Deep-freezing of cattle and buffalo semen with or without equilibration and its fertility trials - A comparative study

A J DHAMI¹ and K L SAHNI²

Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Uttar Pradesh 243 122

Received: 28 March 1994

The technique of deep-freezing of bovine semen is continuously being improved to enhance post-thaw recovery and fertility rates among inseminated females. However, very few studies have been supported with the actual fertility trials which are real means of evaluating the semen/sires and the effectiveness of various processing techniques followed. The aim of this study was to know and compare the freezability, post-thaw longevity both at 37° and 5°C, GOT leakage and fertility rates of cattle and buffalo semen frozen with or without equilibration at 5°C.

This investigation was carried out at the Germ Plasm Centre of the Institute utilizing 36 good quality ejaculates (6/bull) obtained at weekly intervals from 3 Friesian and 3 Murrah bulls, managed identically throughout the study. Semen ejaculates with above 70% initial motility were extended at 32°C in standard TFYG diluent keeping 55-60 million sperm/ml. Half the straws (0.5 ml) were frozen in liquid nitrogen vapour soon after 2 hr of gradual cooling to 5°C, i.e. without equilibration, and the remaining straws were equilibrated at 5°C for 2 hr (Dhami and Sahni 1993). Frozen straws were thawed in water-bath at 40°C for 1 min after 24 hr of storage. The sperm forward motility was assessed after dilution, cooling, equilibration and thawing under a phase-contrast microscope

Present address: ¹Associate Professor, Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Gujarat Veterinary College, Anand 388 001.

²Principal Scientist and Head, Division of Animal Reproduction.

fitted with a biotherm (37°C). The glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT) activity was also determined simultaneously in the supernatant of 1 ml of semen centrifuged (2500 rpm, 20 min) at all these steps (Reitman and Frankel 1957). Two frozen-thawed straws were further incubated at 37°C in water-bath for 1 and 3 hr, and another 2 straws were refrigerated at 5°C (along with thawing water) for 6 and 48 hr of thermal stress and motility was re-assessed as above. Fertility rates of semen frozen without and with 2 hr of equilibration were also determined based on 147 and 352 first inseminations in cows and buffaloes of Gujarat State. Pregnancy was confirmed rectally 90 days later. The data on freezability, post-thaw longevity and GOT leakage were analyzed separately for cattle and buffalo semen using 2 × 2 × 3 factorial RBD and the conception rates were compared by using Chi-square test as per the standard statistical methods.

The findings on the various traits studied in cattle and buffalo semen frozen with and without equilibration and its ANOVA are shown in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

Freezability and GOT leakage

The pooled mean motility of cattle and buffalo semen was 83.33±1.81 and 85.55±1.22% on dilution. These values declined significantly ($P < 0.01$) to 73.06±0.42 and 76.66±0.56% at prefreezing and 51.39±1.14 and 48.61±1.76% at post-freezing respectively. The sperm cell GOT leakage on dilution was 15.85±0.27 and 16.31±0.34

Table 1. Mean (\pm SE) freezability, post-thaw incubation (37°C)/ageing (5°C) survival, GOT leakage (μ mole/L) and fertility rates of cattle and buffalo semen frozen in straws without or with 2 hr of equilibration at 5°C

Freezing protocols	Parameters evaluated	Cow-bulls				Buffalo-bulls			
		HF-594	HR-625	HF-678	Average	M-1248	M-1281	M-1296	Average
Without equilibration at 5°C	P F M	75.00 \pm 1.29	74.17 \pm 2.01	72.50 \pm 1.12	73.88 \pm 0.86	76.67 \pm 1.67	78.33 \pm 2.11	77.50 \pm 1.71	77.51 \pm 1.01
	P T M	48.33 \pm 1.67	46.66 \pm 4.41	45.00 \pm 3.42	46.66 \pm 2.21	43.33 \pm 5.42	40.83 \pm 4.72	50.00 \pm 4.08	44.72 \pm 2.76
	P T 1-1 hr	34.16 \pm 2.01	34.16 \pm 5.23	28.33 \pm 4.77	32.22 \pm 2.39	32.50 \pm 3.81	28.33 \pm 4.77	34.16 \pm 2.01	31.66 \pm 2.10
	P T 1-3 hr	20.00 \pm 2.58	19.16 \pm 6.25	10.00 \pm 1.83	16.38 \pm 2.45	12.50 \pm 2.14	14.16 \pm 3.52	16.66 \pm 2.11	14.44 \pm 1.51
	PTA-6 hr	41.66 \pm 2.47	37.50 \pm 4.61	26.66 \pm 2.47	35.27 \pm 2.45	30.83 \pm 3.01	34.16 \pm 3.96	33.33 \pm 1.67	32.78 \pm 1.66
	PTA-48 hr	29.16 \pm 4.36	23.33 \pm 4.59	11.66 \pm 2.47	21.39 \pm 2.77	14.16 \pm 3.52	20.00 \pm 4.47	24.16 \pm 3.52	19.44 \pm 2.32
	GOT-P F	19.63 \pm 0.49	17.00 \pm 0.35	17.20 \pm 0.39	17.94 \pm 0.36	15.85 \pm 0.35	20.48 \pm 0.49	18.60 \pm 0.38	18.34 \pm 0.50
	GOT-P T	31.85 \pm 0.48	26.33 \pm 0.41	26.28 \pm 0.53	28.16 \pm 0.68	25.03 \pm 0.67	31.92 \pm 0.45	28.33 \pm 0.52	28.42 \pm 0.74
	C. Rs. %	59.26	47.37	56.52	53.62	51.28	52.00	63.93	56.66
	No. A/I/P	27/16	19/9	23/12	69/37	39/20	50/26	61/39	150/85
With 2 hr of equilibration at 5°C	P F M	72.50 \pm 2.14	73.33 \pm 1.67	70.83 \pm 1.54	72.22 \pm 0.01	74.17 \pm 1.54	76.67 \pm 1.66	76.67 \pm 2.11	75.83 \pm 1.01
	P T M	55.00 \pm 1.85	55.83 \pm 3.36	57.50 \pm 2.81	56.11 \pm 1.74	50.83 \pm 4.73	50.00 \pm 2.47	56.66 \pm 2.47	52.50 \pm 2.19
	P T 1-1 hr	41.66 \pm 2.47	43.33 \pm 5.43	31.66 \pm 5.43	38.88 \pm 2.82	40.00 \pm 2.58	36.66 \pm 5.27	43.33 \pm 2.78	40.00 \pm 2.14
	P T 1-3hr	25.00 \pm 3.16	22.50 \pm 5.88	15.83 \pm 2.71	21.11 \pm 2.44	15.00 \pm 2.24	20.89 \pm 4.36	24.16 \pm 4.36	20.28 \pm 2.41
	PTA-6 hr	48.33 \pm 3.07	46.66 \pm 3.57	39.16 \pm 2.01	44.72 \pm 1.87	38.33 \pm 2.47	41.66 \pm 3.80	44.16 \pm 2.39	41.38 \pm 1.71
	PTA-48 hr	35.00 \pm 3.61	31.66 \pm 4.41	20.83 \pm 2.38	29.16 \pm 2.36	19.16 \pm 3.71	26.66 \pm 3.81	28.33 \pm 2.47	24.72 \pm 1.92
	GOT-P F	21.32 \pm 0.72	18.52 \pm 0.49	18.36 \pm 0.47	19.36 \pm 0.47	17.32 \pm 0.34	22.03 \pm 0.53	20.15 \pm 0.23	19.83 \pm 0.51
	GOT-P T	33.73 \pm 0.93	27.93 \pm 0.66	28.26 \pm 0.63	29.98 \pm 0.76	27.18 \pm 0.66	33.60 \pm 0.46	30.20 \pm 0.40	30.33 \pm 0.69
	C.Rs. %	69.70	52.38	62.50	62.82	58.21	63.29	76.78	65.35
	No. A/I/P	33/23	21/11	24/15	78/49	67/39	79/50	56/43	202/132
Pooled	C.Rs. %	65.00	50.00	57.45	58.50	55.66	58.91	70.08	61.65
	No. A/I/P	60/39	40/20	47/27	147/86	106/59	129/76	117/82	352/217

P F M/P T M, Prefreeze and post-thaw motility %; P T 1, post-thaw incubation (37°C) survival %; P T A, post-thaw ageing (5°C) motility %; GOT-P F/P T, glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase-prefreeze and post-thaw; A/I/P, No. of first inseminations and resultant pregnancies; Chi-square test was significant (P<0.05) for conception rates (CRs) between bulls and between equilibrations in both the species.

Table 2. Analysis of variance showing the effects of bulls, equilibration times, processing/storage periods and their interactions on freezability, post-thaw longevity (37°C/5°C) and GOT leakage from cattle and buffalo sperm

Sources of variation	df	Mean sum of squares and statistical significance									
		Freezability		Post-thaw survival (37°C)		Post-thaw longevity (5°C)		GOT leakage			
		Cattle	Buffalo	Cattle	Buffalo	Cattle	Buffalo	Cattle	Buffalo		
Replicates/ejac.	5	113.89**	170.56**	567.85**	267.22**	323.89**	225.00**	18.91**	12.84**		
Bulls (B)	2	10.76 ^{ns}	119.10*	584.72**	168.07**	1252.43**	303.13**	138.35**	190.83**		
Equilibrations (E)	1	272.22**	168.05**	583.68**	868.06**	1334.72**	868.06**	47.37**	51.68**		
Periods (P)	1	8449.99**	14168.05**	5083.68**	6234.72**	3901.39**	4050.00**	1951.04**	1905.50**		
Interaction:											
B × E	2	17.02 ^{ns}	2.43 ^{ns}	4.06 ^{ns}	18.06 ^{ns}	31.60 ^{ns}	2.43 ^{ns}	0.12 ^{ns}	0.03 ^{ns}		
B × P	2	7.29 ^{ns}	104.52 ^{ns}	9.72 ^{ns}	84.72 ^{ns}	21.18 ^{ns}	44.79 ^{ns}	16.38**	6.86**		
E × P	1	555.56**	401.39**	17.02 ^{ns}	34.72 ^{ns}	12.50 ^{ns}	49.99 ^{ns}	0.72 ^{ns}	0.76 ^{ns}		
B × E × P	2	10.76 ^{ns}	4.51 ^{ns}	30.39 ^{ns}	5.56 ^{ns}	3.12 ^{ns}	13.55 ^{ns}	0.21 ^{ns}	0.71 ^{ns}		
Remainders	55	41.92	56.31	68.42	56.47	47.53	48.49	0.47	0.31		
C.V. %	-	21.11%	28.22%	50.87%	49.27%	39.99%	39.08%	24.52%	24.16%		

*, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ns, nonsignificant; df, degrees of freedom.

µmole/litre in the extracellular medium, which increased to 18.65 ± 0.34 and 29.06 ± 0.56 µmole/litre in cattle and 19.08 ± 0.42 and 29.38 ± 0.61 µmole/litre in buffalo semen at pre- and post-freezing respectively. Both sperm motility and GOT leakage were significantly ($P < 0.01$) influenced by the bulls, ejaculates, equilibration periods and the processing steps (Table 2). The process of glycerolization and cooling to 5°C followed by 2 hr of equilibration at 5°C over 0 hr, not only increased GOT leakage extracellularly but also improved ($P < 0.01$) the post-thaw recovery, incubation/ageing survival and even fertility rates of frozen semen in both the species (Table 1). These findings very clearly indicated that much of the sperm cell enzymes leaked out into the extracellular medium with loss of viability as a result of cooling, equilibration and freezing-thawing of semen due to osmotic shock, structural damage and increased cell membrane permeability. These observations coincided well with the earlier reports on cattle (Graham *et al.* 1974, Pandit and Garg 1983, Belorkar *et al.* 1993) and buffalo semen (Chinnaiya *et al.* 1979, Jagmohan and Sarma 1988, Dhami and Kodagali 1990). Further, the significant interaction of bull \times period (processing steps) for GOT leakage suggested that the sperms from individual bulls behaved differently in their capacity to resist dilution, cooling and freezing shock, and thereby enzyme leakage. The equilibration \times period interaction for freezability (Table 2) showed that sperm post-thaw motility could be enhanced significantly by longer equilibration at 5°C , though it reduced the prefreeze motility. These interaction findings also agreed to some of the above reports.

Post-thaw incubation/ageing survival of sperm

In the present study, the average sperm post-thaw motility (51%) of cattle sperm declined significantly ($P < 0.01$) to 35.50 ± 1.88 and $18.75 \pm 2.11\%$ after 1 and 3 hr of incubation at 37°C , and to 40.00 ± 1.67 and $25.28 \pm 2.07\%$ after 6 and 48 hr of ageing/refrigeration storage at 5°C . The corresponding values for buffalo

semen were 35.83 ± 1.35 and $17.22 \pm 1.93\%$ on incubation, and 37.08 ± 1.28 and $22.08 \pm 1.67\%$ on refrigeration storage respectively. Similar findings on post-thaw incubation survival of cattle and/or buffalo sperm at 37°C (Tuli *et al.* 1985, Chinnaiya and Balkrishnan 1988, Jagmohan and Sarma 1988) and at 5°C (Uwland 1984, Sahni and Mohan 1988) have been reported by others. Further, the post-thaw longevity of sperm at both the storage temperatures was significantly ($P < 0.01$) higher in semen frozen following 2 hr of equilibration over 0 hr in both the species and it was also affected significantly ($P < 0.01$) by the bulls, ejaculates and storage periods. However, none of the interaction was significant for these traits in either of the species (Tables 1, 2). Wiggin and Almquist (1975) and Motwani *et al.* (1986) reported best post-thaw results with 2 or 3 hr of equilibration time over shorter or longer one. Ennen *et al.* (1976) suggested that some equilibration at 5°C is desirable for successful cryopreservation of semen regardless of cooling time. Tuli *et al.* (1981) found significantly better post-thaw motility after 4 hr of equilibration than after 2 or 6 hr, whereas Rao *et al.* (1993) suggested 6 hr to be the optimum for buffalo semen. These studies were, however, not supported by fertility trials.

Fertility trials of frozen semen

In our study, the mean conception rates of cattle and buffalo semen frozen without equilibration at 5°C were significantly lower (53.62 and 56.66%) than those frozen after 2 hr of equilibration (62.82 and 65.35%) with a pooled mean of 58.50 and 61.65% respectively. The individual bulls also varied significantly ($P < 0.05$) in their fertility rates among both the species (Table 1). Graham *et al.* (1957) and Dhami and Sahni (1993) reported significant increase in conception rates of bovine frozen semen (from 63.4 to 67.3% and 47.2 to 57.8%) following extension of equilibration period from 4 to 12 hr and 0 to 2 hr respectively. The present overall findings on fertility rates also compared well with the reports of Yassen *et al.* (1985) Chinnaiya and Balakrishna (1988) and Dhami

and Sahni (1993). Further the trend of our findings on various traits suggested that the fertility rate, the ultimate goal of frozen semen, could be predicted on the basis of freezability, post-thaw longevity and/or GOT leakage, and the later traits in turn depended on the initial quality/freezability of semen. These observations compared favourably with those of Saacke and White (1972) and Umland (1984), who reported significant positive correlations between post-thaw incubation (37°C, 1-2 hr) and ageing (5°C, 24 hr) survival of sperm and its fertility rates. Similarly Pandit and Garg (1983) and Dhama and Kodagali (1990) found negative association between sperm cell GOT leakage and its fertility in bovines.

From the findings, we conclude that the freezability, post-thaw longevity both at 37°C and 5°C as well as fertility rates of cattle and buffalo frozen semen were equally good with parallelism in GOT leakage pre- and post-freezing, and that some duration of equilibration/holding (2-3 hr) at 5°C before freezing was essential regardless of cooling time for both cattle and buffalo semen in order to obtain higher post-thaw results in respect of all above parameters studied. GOT estimates were good markers for sperm cell quality and its fertilizability in both the species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr Greesh Mohan, Scientist I/C, Germ Plasm Centre, Dr P N Bhat, formerly Director of IVRI and Dr V R Jani, Manager, Pancharut Dairy, Godhra (Gujarat), for their valuable co-operation and the facilities provided for this work.

REFERENCES

- Belorkar P M, Dhama A J and Kodagali S B. 1993. Effect of seasons and extenders on freezability, GOT leakage and fertility of crossbred bulls semen. *Indian Journal of Dairy Science* 46: 198-202.
- Chinnaiya G P and Balkrishnan M. 1988. Conception rates with frozen semen after prolonged thawing. *Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction* 9: 9-13.
- Chinnaiya G P, Kaker S S and Ganguli N C. 1979. Extracellular release of transaminases from spermatozoa on freezing of semen in extenders. *Zentralblatt für Veterinär Medizin A* 26: 402-407.
- Dhama A J and Kodagali S B. 1990. Freezability, enzyme leakage and fertility of buffalo spermatozoa in relation to the quality of semen ejaculates and extenders. *Theriogenology* 34: 853-64.
- Dhama A J and Sahni K L. 1993. Evaluation of different cooling rates, equilibration periods and diluents for effects on deep freezing, enzyme leakage and fertility of taurine bull spermatozoa. *Theriogenology* 40: 1269-80.
- Ennen E D, Berndson W E, Mortimer R G and Pickett B W. 1976. Effect of processing procedures on motility of bovine spermatozoa frozen in 0.25 ml straws. *Journal of Animal Science* 43: 651.
- Graham E F, Erickson W E and Bayley N D. 1957. Effect of glycerol equilibration on frozen bovine sperm. *Journal of Dairy Science* 40: 510.
- Graham E F, Crabo B G and Schmehl M K L. 1974. Utilization of enzyme assay in developing techniques for freezing semen. *Animal Breeding Abstracts* 42: 4111 abstr.
- Jagmohan and Sama P A. 1988. Investigation on the effect of different freezing rates on physical and biochemical parameters of buffalo frozen semen. *Artificial Insemination News* 3: 15-18.
- Motwani K T, Misra A K and Kodagali S B. 1986. Studies on equilibration period, thawing temperature and time and viability of buffalo semen. *Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction* 7: 130-33.
- Pandit R K and Garg U K. 1983. Freezability, GOT release and fertility of crossbred bull semen. *Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction* 4: 36-38.
- Rao A V N, Ilamath G B and Somasckharan G. 1993. Revivability and acrosome integrity of buffalo spermatozoa deep frozen in straws using different equilibration and thaw rates. *Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction* 14: 44-47.
- Reitman S and Frankel S. 1957. A colorimetric method for determination of serum GOT and GPT. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 28: 56.
- Saacke R G and White J M. 1972. Semen quality test and their relationship to fertility. *4th NAAB Technical Conference on Artificial Insemination and Reproduction*, pp. 22-27.
- Sahni K L and Mohan G. 1988. Effect of various thawing temperatures on survival and vitality of frozen semen of buffalo and cow bulls. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 58: 1077-78.
- Tuli R K, Singh M and Matharoo J S. 1985. Effect of different thawing temperatures on motility of buffalo spermatozoa frozen in medium straws. *Livestock Adviser* 10: 31-34.
- Tuli R K, Singh M and Matharoo J S. 1981. Effect of different equilibration times and extenders on deep freezing of buffalo semen. *Theriogenology* 16: 99-104.
- Umland J. 1984. Possibilities and limitations of semen evaluation for the prognosis of male fertility. *Male*

- in Farm Animal Reproduction*, pp. 269-89. (*vide Animal Breeding Abstracts* 53: 2099.)
- Wiggin H B and Almquist J O. 1975. Combination of glycerol%, glycerolequilibration time and thawing rate upon freezability of bull spermatozoa in plastic straws. *Journal of Dairy Sciences* 58: 416-23.
- Yassen A M, Fathelhab A Z and Ibrahim M A. 1985. Freezability of buffalo semen in tris, UHT-milk and lactose yolk extenders - A comparative study. *First World Buffalo Congress, Cairo, Egypt, December 27-31.*