Reproductive disease like brucellosis can cause severe losses to farmers. Various serological tests are being used for the diagnosis of brucellosis; conventional tests as RBPT and STAT have limitations of false positive and false negative results. Supplemental blood tests as HIT and 2-MET can differentiate specific and non-specific reactions found in bovine serum because nonspecific agglutinins can be inactivated when heated (Amerault et al. 1961) in HIT and reduced by 2-mercaptoethanol (McMahon 1983) in 2-MET. Immune precipitation methods like AGID test are used to demonstrate antibody in bovine sera which react with *Brucella* antigens other than the smooth lipopolysaccharide (Bruce and Jones 1958). ELISA was found more accurate than the conventional tests (Gall and Nielsen 2004) as it is a direct method of identification of specific antibody and able to detect all classes of antibody. Keeping in view these facts, the present study was undertaken to (i) estimate the serum prevalence rate of bubaline brucellosis in Kota division, and (ii) compare the different serological tests in infected animals. Serum samples (436) from buffaloes and buffalo bulls from different regions of Kota division were collected for anti *Brucella* antibodies detection using serological tests, viz. RBPT (Davies 1971), STAT (WHO 1971), HIT, MET, AGID and AB ELISA: The RBPT antigen and *B. abortus* plain antigen for STAT (IVRI, Izatnagar) were used. Other tests performed were HIT (Amerault et al. 1961), MET (Nicoletti 1969), AGID (Stemshorn and Nielsen 1981), smooth lipopolysaccharide (S-LPS) based avidin biotin ELISA (AB ELISA kits supplied by AICRP on Animal Disease Monitoring and Surveillance, Bengaluru).

Brucellosis can be diagnosed by cultural or serodiagnostic tests, but serological detection is usually the method of choice for diagnosis and thereby control and eradication of bovine brucellosis as they are easier to perform and give rapid results. The seroprevalence of brucellosis in animals of India was first reported by Polding (1942) and since then many workers have shown its existence in various parts of the country and thus it is expected to find the presence of antibodies in buffaloes of Kota region somewhat in the same range as found in other areas of the country by other researchers. This survey is probably the first attempt to assess the seroprevalence among buffaloes in Kota division of Rajasthan.

Out of 436 sera samples of buffaloes tested for brucellosis, 165 (37.84%) were found positive by AB ELISA. Similar findings were reported by others (Nasir et al. 2004, Jagapur et al. 2013) in buffaloes in three states of India. Higher seroprevalence was also shown by Mahato et al. (2004) in cows in Asom. Relatively lower seroprevalence was also reported by Wadhwa (2007) in Bikaner region of Rajasthan and by Brahmbhatt et al. (2009) in Central Gujarat.

In the present study, the seroprevalence of brucellosis in females and males was detected as 38.46 and 30.30%, respectively. The apparently high seroprevalence figure in female animals compared to males in this study agrees with other works; 38.24% in females and 22.50% in males by Mittal et al. (2005). Lower seropositivity in males may be due to the fact that generally males are not vaccinated for brucellosis.

Similar results for RBPT were recorded by Nasir et al. 2004

### Table 1. Overall seroprevalence of brucellosis by different diagnostic tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of animals tested</th>
<th>Name of test</th>
<th>Number of seropositive animals</th>
<th>Per cent seroprevalence recorded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>RBPT</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>35.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>STAT</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>29.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>HIT</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>26.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>2-MET</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>25.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>AGID</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>13.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>AB ELISA</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>37.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ELISA as a screening test, and (b) endemacity of infection showed seropositivity as compared to males (30.30%). The was found as the most sensitive whereas AGID was the lowest with RBPT.

The study revealed presence of Brucella antibody in serum of buffaloes of Kota division. The results also indicated that for serological diagnosis of brucellosis in buffaloes AB ELISA is better than RBPT and STAT and most suitable as a screening test because chances of non detection of an infected animal in ELISA are minimum. AGID was found the least sensitive and most specific test in our study.

SUMMARY

The study was undertaken to investigate the seroprevalence of brucellosis in buffaloes of Sangod, Mandana, Bundi, Talera, Chhabra, Jhalrapatan and Dag regions of Kota division of Rajasthan. Blood samples (436) were collected for detection of Brucella antibody using Rose Bengal plate test (RBPT), standard tube agglutination test (STAT), heat inactivation test (HIT), 2-mercaptoethanol test (2-MET), agar gel immunodiffusion test (AGID) and avidin biotin enzyme linked immunosorobent assay (AB ELISA). Overall seroprevalence was recorded as 37.84% by AB ELISA, 35.09% by RBPT, 29.59% by STAT, 26.15% in HIT, 25.23% in 2-MET and 13.99% in AGID. AB ELISA was found as the most sensitive whereas AGID was the least sensitive. Higher number of females (38.46%) showed seropositivity as compared to males (30.30%). The results of the study indicated (a) relative suitability of ELISA as a screening test, and (b) endemacity of infection in villages of Kota division, Rajasthan.
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