



Effect of herbal feed supplements on milk yield and composition in crossbred cows in Tripura

TAPAN KUMAR DAS¹, BIKAS CHANDRA DEBNATH², BIJOY KUMAR SARKAR³, ANKAN DE⁴ and SHIVI MAINI⁵

College of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, R.K. Nagar, Tripura 799 008 India

Received: 22 January 2016; Accepted: 29 November 2016

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to evaluate the effect of feeding 5 herbal preparations on the milk yield and composition of crossbred cows. Thirty five lactating cows in their first-third lactation were taken for the experiment. The cows were divided into 7 groups with 5 cows in each group on the basis of average milk yield (8.70±0.08 kg/d). The experiment was conducted for 90 days, partitioned into pre-treatment period (15 days), treatment period (60 days) and post treatment observation period (15 days). The cows in group C₀ were not given any supplement and acted as control. In addition to normal routine feeds and fodders, cows in groups T₁ and T₂ were given either Restobal @ 50 ml twice daily for 5 days/month or Ruchamax @ 15 g/ day for 8 days/month, respectively for 60 days treatment period. The cows in groups T₃, T₄ and T₆ were given either Payaboon @ 500 g/ton, Payaboon @ 1 kg/ton, Optrium @ 500 g/ton/ day, respectively for 60 days treatment period. In group T₅, cows were given Payapro @ 4 bolus/day for 15 consecutive days commencing after 15 days pre-treatment period. T₅ group showed comparatively higher total milk yield than the control and other groups. Average milk yield (kg/d) during the supplementation period was slightly higher in T₅ followed by T₂ and T₆. T₅ group showed lowest trend of reduction in milk yield during treatment period. Average milk yield (kg/d) during post supplementation period was also higher in T₅. Milk protein and fat (%) were higher in T₁ and T₃ than the control and other treatment groups. Total solid and SNF (%) showed higher trend in T₁. At 15 day of supplementation, milk yield increased in all the treatment groups compared to control (8.38) and it was highest in T₅ (9.69). The milk yield decreased gradually thereafter but the rate of reduction was comparatively lower in T₄, T₅ and T₆ than the control. Milk constituents showed significant variations among different weeks in different groups. Hence it can be concluded that herbal preparations can increase the productivity in lactating dairy cows with no deleterious effect on general health.

Key words: Cow, Herbal, Milk yield, Milk composition

One of the most successful attempts accomplished in the last decade is using feed additives such as natural additives which help in improving animal productivity and increasing milk production (Wang *et al.* 2009). In order to restore the animal productivity and to optimize the milk production in individual animals for better profits, various drugs, herbal preparations, hormones, mineral supplements and feed additives have been tried with variable results (Ramesh *et al.* 2000). However, majority of these herbal preparations have not been scientifically evaluated but their traditional use suggests some safety and efficacy.

Certain combinations of herbs have proved useful in different functions to stimulate metabolism or even as therapeutic agents. Much of their use has been in vogue since centuries in humans as well as in animals. Ruchamax

is a potent herbal formulation, which contains 28 different herbs. The ingredients of Ruchamax include *Allium sativum*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Calotrophis oocera*, *Centratherum anthelmenticum*, *Commiphora mukul*, *Eclipta elba*, *Embelica ribes*, *Picorrhiza kurora*, *Zinziber officinale* and *Piper longum*, etc. Payapro, a known galactagogue, is a combination of *Leptadenia reticulata (jivanti)*, *Nigella sativa (kolonji)*, *Foeniculam vulgare*, *Pueraria tuberosa (vidarikand)*, *Glycerriza globra*, *Cuminum cyminum (jeera)* and *Asparagus racemosus (shatavari)* etc. Optrium, a herbal rumen function modulator, is a combination of *Phyllanthus emblica (amla)*, *Zingiber officinalis (ginger)* and *Andrographis paniculata (kalmegh)*. Payaboon, a galactogenic and galactopoetic herbal formulation, contains *Asparagus racemosus*, *Leptadenia reticulata* and *Nigella sativa*. Restobal, comprises of herbs namely *Ocimum sanctum*, *Withania somnifera*, *Phyllanthus emblica* and many more in fixed concentration. Of these, some of the herbs have galactopoietics property while others possess stomachic action. Keeping in view the importance of herbal

Present address: ^{1,2,3,4}Assistant Professor (tapanndri@gmail.com, bikascvsc@gmail.com, bsarkar83@gmail.com, ankan_vet@yahoo.co.in). ⁵Deputy Manager- Clinical Research (shiv@ayurved.in), Ayurved Limited, Baddi (Himachal Pradesh).

feed supplements, the present investigation was undertaken to know the efficacy of 5 herbal preparations (HP), viz. Ruchamax, Restobal, Payaboon, Optrium and Payapro in improvement of production performance of lactating crossbred cows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Healthy lactating crossbred cows (35; first to third lactation), weighing between 350 to 450 kg were taken for the experiment. The animals were allocated into 7 groups of 5 animals in each group based on average initial milk yield. The experiment was conducted at Regional Exotic Cattle Breeding Farm of College of Veterinary Sciences and A.H., R.K. Nagar, Tripura, India. The animals were maintained individually having regular feeding and watering facilities. The floor was washed and cleaned twice daily in the morning and evening. The animals were fed twice daily at around 6 AM and 3 PM. All the animals were fed standard seasonally available roughages and concentrates mixture throughout the study period of 90 days to meet nutrient requirement (NRC 2001) calculated on individual cow basis and fortnightly milk yields. Composition (%) of the concentrate mixture was maize grain (32), groundnut cake (21), mustard cake (13), wheat bran (20), deoiled rice bran (11), mineral mixture (2) and common salt (1). Leftover, if any, was weighed next morning. DM content of forage and leftover was determined to calculate the daily DMI. The experiment was conducted for 90 days divided into pre-treatment period (15 days), treatment period (60 days) and post treatment observation period (15 days). The cows in group C₀ were not given any herbal preparation and acted as control. The cows in group T₁ and T₂ were given, in addition to normal routine feeds and fodders, either Restobal @ 50 ml twice daily for 5 days/

month or Ruchamax @ 15 g/day for 8 days/month, respectively, for 60 days treatment period. The cows in group T₃, T₄ and T₆ were given either Payaboon @ 500 g/ton, Payaboon @ 1 kg/ton, Optrium @ 500 g/ton/day, respectively, for 60 days treatment period. In group T₅, cows were given Payapro @ 4 bolus/day for 15 consecutive days commencing after 15 days pre-treatment period. The animals were hand milked (twice/day) and milk yield of each cow was recorded daily. Pooled milk samples (equal proportion of 2 milking) were used for determining the milk composition. Milk composition was analyzed on 15th, 30th, 45th and 60th day for fat, protein, lactose, SNF and total solid. Milk protein were analyzed by Kjeldahl method. Milk fat were analyzed by Gerber method. The total solid values of milk sample were estimated by addition of SNF and fat value.

The data were analyzed using 2-way ANOVA (Sigma Plot software, version 11.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago IL). The results were expressed as mean and pooled standard error of mean.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of herbs on DMI, milk yield, milk composition is presented in the Tables 1, 2. It was observed that all the herbal supplementation did not affect the DMI significantly ($P>0.05$) which was similar to the findings of Kumar *et al.* (2011), Mirzaei *et al.* (2011) and Thakur *et al.* (2006). But, the results were not similar to the findings of Berhane (2000) and Gupta *et al.* (2004). Total milk yield (kg) during the 90 days duration of the trial was statistically nonsignificant but T₅ group showed comparatively higher ($P>0.05$) milk yield than the control and other supplemented groups. T₅ and T₂ group showed 9.39 and 8.04% increase in total milk yield than the control group (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of herbs on milk yield and milk composition

Groups	C ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	SEM	P value
DMI (kg/day)	9.41	9.47	9.39	9.22	9.16	9.18	9.19	0.06	NS
Initial BW (kg)	319.2	325.7	323.8	321.6	325.8	323.2	325.5	6.92	NS
Final BW (kg)	325.7	333.1	341.5	336.6	339.6	344.9	341.0	4.33	NS
Initial milk yield (kg/d)	8.73	8.77	8.79	8.80	8.80	8.77	8.80	0.26	NS
Total yield, kg (90 days)	701.15	716.22	757.51	696.79	722.47	767.05	744.27	26.67	NS
Milk yield (kg/d) during supplementation period	8.00	8.15	8.78	8.00	8.39	8.79	8.65	0.11	NS
Milk yield (kg/d) during post supplementation	7.64	7.82	7.74	7.52	7.53	8.18	7.54	0.29	NS

Table 2. Effect of herbs on milk composition

Milk composition	Control	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₆	SEM	P value
Protein (%)	3.54 ^a	3.96 ^b	3.52 ^a	3.89 ^b	3.51 ^a	3.56 ^a	0.05	0.01
Fat (%)	4.60 ^a	5.26 ^b	4.70 ^a	5.32 ^b	4.88 ^{ab}	5.03 ^{ab}	0.07	0.01
TS (%)	13.14	14.00	13.04	13.87	13.28	13.48	0.11	NS
SNF (%)	8.54	8.74	8.34	8.55	8.40	8.44	0.04	NS

Means bearing different superscripts in a row differ significantly ($P<0.05$). NS, Non-significant.

Table 3. Effect of Payapro herb on milk composition

Milk composition	Control	T ₅	SEM	P value
Protein (%)	3.62	3.65	0.05	NS
Fat (%)	4.72 ^b	5.24 ^a	0.14	0.04
TS (%)	13.37	13.91	0.05	NS
SNF (%)	8.65	8.66	0.17	NS

Means bearing different superscripts in a row differ significantly (P<0.05). NS, Non-significant

Average milk yield (kg/day) during the supplementation period in the present study was slightly higher (P>0.05) in T₅ followed by T₂ and T₆. This observation was similar to the findings of Singhal (1995) and Khurana *et al.* (1996) who observed 30.1% increase in milk yield of Payapro fed cows. The data were not in agreement with the results cited by Kraszewski (2002) who had shown no effect of herbs supplementation of diet for cows on milk yield. T₅ and T₂ group showed lowest trend of reduction in milk yield during treatment period (Table 1). Comparatively higher milk production in T₅, T₂ and T₆ groups may be due to certain active components in the herbal formulations which stimulate the hypothalamus or pituitary gland leading to release of prolactin hormone (Singh 2010). Estrogenic effect of *Asparagus racemosus* on mammary gland stimulating the alveolar secretory epithelial cell division and proliferation in the lumen of the duct of mammary gland (Pandey *et al.* 2005) could have resulted in higher milk synthesis and secretion. Mirzaei *et al.* (2011) reported that the addition of polyherbal combination increased the net energy of milk, thus leading to an increase in milk yield. The relative improvement of milk production of herbs supplemented group might be due to its potent galactagogue herbal formulations and the associated effect between acetate and succinate on rumen microflora, which lead to improvement of feed efficiency and milk production (Abo El-Nor and Kholif 2005). Mishra *et al.* (2008) and Tanwar *et al.* (2008) also reported that postpartum supplementation of *Asparagus racemosus* increases milk production in crossbred cows.

Average milk yield (kg/day) during post supplementation period was also higher (P>0.05) in T₅ (Table 1) which might be due its potent combinations of herbal galactogogues.

Milk protein and fat (%) was reported higher (P<0.05) in T₁ and T₃ than the control and other supplemented groups (Table 2). Total solid and SNF (%) showed higher trend (P>0.05) in T₁ supplemented groups. As the T₅ group was supplemented for 15 days only, milk composition of that group was presented comparing only with control (Table 3). T₅ showed higher (P<0.05) milk fat (%) than the control. The findings were similar to Mirzaei *et al.* (2011), Kumar *et al.* (2011) and Grega *et al.* (2002) who reported higher milk yield, milk fat, protein on supplementation of herb mixture to crossbred cows. These findings conflicted with the views of some workers who reported no significant increase of milk fat and protein content (Mishra *et al.* 2008,

Table 4. Effect of herbs on fortnightly milk yield and milk composition

Attribute	Fortnights				SEM	P value	
	I	II	III	IV			
Milk yield (kg/d)	<i>Group C_o</i>				0.19	NS	
	<i>Milk composition</i>						
	Protein (%)	3.57	3.48	3.39			3.72
	Fat (%)	4.75	4.50	4.53			4.63
	TS (%)	13.41	13.12	12.96			13.08
SNF (%)	8.66	8.62	8.43	8.45			
Milk yield (kg/d)	<i>Group T₁</i>				0.47	NS	
	<i>Milk composition</i>						
	Protein (%)	4.22 ^b	3.70 ^a	3.80 ^{ab}			4.13 ^{ab}
	Fat (%)	5.08	5.10	5.30			5.58
	TS (%)	14.03	13.78	13.84			14.37
SNF (%)	8.96	8.68	8.54	8.79			
Milk yield (kg/d)	<i>Group T₂</i>				0.47	NS	
	<i>Milk composition</i>						
	Protein (%)	3.55	3.50	3.31			3.72
	Fat (%)	4.98	4.53	4.58			4.73
	TS (%)	13.47	12.75	12.89			13.07
SNF (%)	8.49	8.23	8.31	8.34			
Milk yield (kg/d)	<i>Group T₃</i>				0.56	NS	
	<i>Milk composition</i>						
	Protein (%)	3.57 ^a	3.67 ^a	3.80 ^a			4.52 ^b
	Fat (%)	5.30	5.18	5.10			5.70
	TS (%)	14.19	13.33	13.41			14.55
SNF (%)	8.89 ^b	8.16 ^a	8.31 ^{ab}	8.85 ^b			
Milk yield (kg/d)	<i>Group T₄</i>				0.32	NS	
	<i>Milk composition</i>						
	Protein (%)	3.61	3.30	3.41			3.72
	Fat (%)	5.60 ^b	4.43 ^a	4.95 ^{ab}			4.53 ^a
	TS (%)	14.52 ^b	12.44 ^a	13.32 ^{ab}			12.84 ^a
SNF (%)	8.92 ^b	8.01 ^a	8.37 ^{ab}	8.32 ^{ab}			
Milk yield (kg/d)	<i>Group T₅[*]</i>				0.48	NS	
	<i>Milk composition</i>						
	Protein (%)	3.76	3.53				0.12
	Fat (%)	5.45	5.00				0.21
	TS (%)	14.28	13.46				0.36
SNF (%)	8.83	8.46		0.17			
Milk yield (kg/d)	<i>Group T₆</i>				0.37	NS	
	<i>Milk composition</i>						
	Protein (%)	3.72	3.41	3.42			3.69
	Fat (%)	5.53 ^b	4.53 ^a	5.10 ^{ab}			4.98 ^{ab}
	TS (%)	14.16	12.78	13.41			13.56
SNF (%)	8.63	8.25	8.31	8.58			

Means bearing different superscripts in a row differ significantly (P<0.05). NS, Non-significant.

Tanwar *et al.* 2008, Berhane and Singh 2002). Qureshi (1999) not only observed an increase in milk yield but also an increase in the fat percentage of milk in dairy cows fed with Lectovet (a herbal combination). Kolte *et al.* (2008) reported that indigenous herbal preparations effectively restored the altered milk constituents and increased the milk production in crossbred cows. In the other studies, milk fat, protein and lactose contents were not affected by polyherbal supplementation (Erasmus *et al.* 2005, Campanile *et al.* 2008). Increase milk protein (%) in the present study, could be attributed to some components in the herbal formulation which increases rumen protection of protein and enhances the efficiency of microbial protein production and its assimilation from rumen, thus making more feed protein available post ruminally for production purposes which could facilitate sustaining higher milk protein in milk (Wu *et al.* 1994).

Effect of herbal product on fortnightly milk yield and milk composition is presented in Table 4. At first fortnight, milk yield increased in all the treatment groups compared to control (8.38) and it was highest in T₅ (9.69). Milk yield decreased gradually (P>0.05) thereafter but the rate of reduction was comparatively lower in T₄, T₅ and T₆ than the control. Milk constituents showed significant variations among different weeks in different groups which were similar to the findings of Mirzaei *et al.* (2011), Kumar (2009) and Beyan (2009).

Therefore, the results of this study suggest that supplementation of herbal feed additives improves the milk production and milk composition of lactating cows with no deleterious effects on general health.

REFERENCES

- Abo El-Nor S A H and Kholif S M. 2005. Impact of sodium acetate and sodium succinate supplemental to rations of lactating goats on milk production, milk composition and some ruminal and blood parameters. *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds* **8**: 15–25.
- Berhane M and Singh V P. 2002. Effect of feeding some indigenous galactopoietics feed supplement on performance of crossbred cows. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **72**: 609–11.
- Berhane M. 2000. 'Studies on Feeding of Indigenous Galactopoietics Feed Supplement on Performance of Crossbred Cows.' M.Sc. Thesis, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh.
- Beyan M. 2009. 'Effect of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum*) Seeds as Feed Additive on Production Performance of Dairy Goats.' Ph.D. Thesis, National Dairy Research Institute, Deemed University, Karnal, India.
- Campanile G, Zicarelli F, Vecchio D, Pacelli C, Neglia G, Balestrieri A, Di Palo R and Infascelli F. 2008. Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on *in vivo* organic matter digestibility and milk yield in buffalo cows. *Livestock Science* **114**: 358–61.
- Erasmus L J, Robinson P H, Ahmadi A, Hinders R and Garrett J E. 2005. Influence of prepartum and postpartum supplementation of a yeast culture and monensin, or both, on ruminal fermentation and performance of multiparous dairy cows. *Animal Feed Science Technology* **122**: 219–39.
- Grega T, Sady M and Kraszewski J. 2002. Effect of herb mixture supplementation in ratio on milk yield, milk composition and its technological suitability. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry* **18**: 1–56.
- Gupta N, Kumar A and Tiwari D P. 2004. Effect of herbs as feed additive on growth nutrient utilization in crossbred heifers. *Proceedings of XI Animal Nutrition Conference*, Jabalpur, MP (Abstr. 82).
- Khurana K L, Manuja A and Kumar B. 1996. Evaluation of galactagogue effect of Payapro® in lactating buffaloes. *International Journal of Animal Science* **11**: 239–40.
- Kolte A Y, Waghmare S P, Mode S G and Handa A. 2008. Efficacy of indigenous herbal preparation on altered milk pH, somatic cell count and electrolyte profile in subclinical mastitis in cows. *Veterinary World* **1**: 239–40.
- Kraszewski J, Wawrzynczak S and Wawrzynski M. 2002. Effect of herb feeding on cow performance, milk nutritive value and technological suitability of milk for processing. *Annals of Animal Science* **2**: 147–58.
- Kumar S. 2009. 'Effect of Herbal Feed Supplement Shatavari (*Asparagus racemosus*) on Productive Performance of Crossbred Cows.' Ph D. Thesis submitted to National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, India.
- Kumar S, Mehla R K, Gupta A K, Sharma V, Meena R K, Dandi R L and Prakash, A. 2011. Effect of herbal feed supplement Shatavari (*Asparagus racemosus*) on milk production and composition in crossbred cows. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **81**: 420–23.
- Mirzaei F, Prasad S, Dang A K and Sehgal J P. 2011. Efficacy of polyherbal feed additives on nutrient digestibility, milk yield and composition of lactating crossbred goats. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **81**: 886–90.
- Mishra I S, Jaiswal R S, Bhardwas R K, Sharma R J, Joshi Y P, Mondal B C and Rahal A. 2008. Effect of feeding shatavari (*Asparagus racemosus*) on nutrients intake, digestibility and milk production in crossbred lactating cows. National Seminar on Emerging Opportunities for Commercialization in Dairy (6–7 Nov), NDRI Karnal, Haryana, India.
- N R C. 2001. *Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle*. 7th revised edn. National Academy of Science, Washington, DC.
- Pandey S K, Sahay A, Pandey R S and Tripathi Y B. 2005. Effect of *Asparagus racemosus* (shatavari) on mammary gland and genital organs of pregnant rat. *Phytotherapy Research* **19**: 721–24.
- Qureshi M I. 1999. Efficiency of Lectovet as a galactagogue in dairy cattle. *Indian Veterinary Medicine Journal* **23**: 134.
- Ramesh P T, Mitra S K, Suryanarayan T and Sachan A. 2000. Evaluation of Galactacin a herbal galactagogue preparation in dairy cows. *The Veterinarians* **24**: 1–3.
- Singh S P. 2010. 'Study of the Effect of Feeding of Plant Supplement (*Asparagus racemosus*) on Cholesterol and Hormonal Profile of Murrah Buffaloes.' MVSc Thesis submitted to NDRI (Deemed University), Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Singhal S P. 1995. Study on the effect of feeding *Payapro* on milk yield in lactating cows. *Dairy Guide* **1**: 45–47.
- Tanwar P S, Rathore S S and Kumar Y. 2008. Effect of shatavari (*Asparagus racemosus*) on milk production in dairy animals. *Indian Journal of Animal Research* **42**: 232–33.
- Thakur S S, Tyagi A K and Singhal K K. 2006. Effect of a commercial herbal feed supplement on the performance of lactating cows. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition* **23**: 244–

46.

Wang C, Liu Q, Yang W Z, Dong Q, Yang X M, He D C, Zhang P, Dong K H and Huang Y X. 2009. Effects of selenium yeast on rumen fermentation, lactation performance and feed digestibilities in lactating dairy cows. *Livestock Science* **126**:

239–344.

Wu Z, Sleiman F T, Theurer C B, Santos F, Simas J M, Francolin M and Huber J T. 1994. Effect of isocaloric infusion of glucose in the rumen or propionate in the duodenum. *Journal of Dairy Science* **77**: 1556–62.