



Genetic analysis of non-return and conception rate of Jersey crossbred cattle

AMOL J TALOKAR¹, A MANDAL², LAISHRAM A SINGH³, R BEHERA⁴, M KARUNAKARAN⁵,
M K GHOSH⁶ and C BHAKAT⁷

ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, Eastern Regional Station, Kalyani, West Bengal 741 235 India

Received: 11 August 2017; Accepted: 13 December 2017

Key words: Cattle, Conception rate, Environmental factors, Heritability, Non-return rates

Reproductive performance of animals is extremely important for the dairy industry, as it exerts considerable impact on the economic efficiency of dairy production (Jansen 1985). Among different fertility traits, non-return rate (NRR), a direct measure of fertility, allows for a fast evaluation of reproductive performance, without the need of waiting for the subsequent calving (Tiezzi *et al.* 2011). Besides, per cent conception per first insemination (PC/FI) is also a potential measure of reproductive performance which encompasses puberty and ability to conceive in immediate first service by AI, gestate and deliver a calf. Decrease percentage of PC/FI shows decrease in reproductive performance, and decrease in genetic gain by increasing the generation interval. Both NRR and PC/FI are generally affected by genetic and non-genetic factors. Identification of the important factors affecting the non-return rates and per cent conception after first insemination of animals would help in making important decisions regarding herd management. The present study was carried out to investigate the factors affecting the NRR at 56 days and per cent conception per first insemination in Jersey crossbred cows and to estimate their genetic control.

Data on fertility traits, viz. non-return rates at 56 days (NRR56) and per cent conception per first insemination (PC/FI) of Jersey crossbred animals, maintained at the Eastern Regional Station of National Dairy Research Institute, Kalyani, West Bengal, over a period of 36 years (1980–2015) were utilized for the study. The cows with incomplete records due to sickness or abortions were excluded from the study. Entire duration was divided into 6 periods; each period of 5 years. Based on prevalent climatic conditions of the area, year of calving was divided into 3 seasons- winter (November to February), summer (March to June) and rainy (July to October). The parities

of animals were classified in to 7 groups. All animals were grouped in to 7 groups based on age groups of animals at calving. These animals were progenies of 42 sires and sires having 3 or more progenies were considered in the study. The data were distributed into 10 genetic groups based on the exotic inheritance level of animals. Least-squares analysis of variance (Harvey 1990) was applied to identify the significance of important genetic factors, viz. sire and non-genetic factors like period of service/insemination, season of service/insemination, age group at service, genetic group of animal and parity of animal affecting these fertility traits of animals. The differences among the sub-groups means were tested by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) as described by Kramer (1957). The heritability of these traits was estimated by paternal half-sib method.

The least-squares means for non-return rates at 56 days (NRR56) and per cent conception per first insemination of animals are presented in Table 1. The average for NRR-56 days (57.61 ± 3.53 days) in the present study was well in agreement with the findings of Habib *et al.* (2013). The mean value for per cent conception per first insemination of crossbred animals was $57.30 \pm 3.52\%$ in this study and the findings were well comparable with the findings of Abeygunawardena *et al.* (2001) in Sri Lanka who reported the value of 50.4%. However, Naha *et al.* (2015) reported lower conception rate per first insemination in Jersey crosses (45%) and Sahiwal bulls (45.9%), respectively. The present study revealed that the sire had significant ($P < 0.01$) influence on NRR56 and PC/FI of crossbred cattle. Similarly, significant effect of sire on non-return rates (Fouz *et al.* 2011) and conception rate per first insemination (Buckley *et al.* 2003, Potdar *et al.* 2016) were observed in dairy cattle. In this study, the significant influence of sire on NRR-56 days suggests that this trait can be genetically improved by selection of superior sires in the herd. The non-significant ($P > 0.05$) effects of period of service/insemination and season of service/insemination on NRR-56 and PC/FI of animals were noticed in this study (Table 1). Further, both these fertility traits were significantly ($P < 0.01$) affected by parity of animals. Similarly, Alphonsus *et al.* (2014) and Potdar *et al.* (2016) found significant effect of parity on NRR56 and PC/FI of animals, respectively.

Present address: ¹M.V.Sc. Student (dr.amoltalokar@gmail.com), ²Principal Scientist (AG&B) (ajoymandal@rediffmail.com), ³M.V.Sc. Student (arjunlan16@gmail.com), ⁴Scientist (AG&B) (drrajlaxmi.10@gmail.com), ⁵Senior Scientist (AR&G) (drmkarunakaran@gmail.com), ⁶Principal Scientist (AN) (monojghsh@rediffmail.com), ⁷Principal Scientist (LPM) (bhakat56@gmail.com).

Animals in first parity had highest NRR56 and PC/FI than animals of later parities in this study. The lowest non-return rates at 56 days and per cent conception after first insemination was achieved in first calvers animals (Table 1). Similar findings of higher non-return rates in animals of first parity and lowest in first calvers were also noticed by Rautala (1991) in dairy cattle. Age of the animals at insemination didn't have any significant ($P>0.05$) influence on NRR-56 and PC/FI of Jersey crossbred cows (Table 1). Similar non-significant result for non-return rates was also

Table 1. Least squares means (\pm S.E.) for non-return rate at 56 days (NRR56) and per cent conception per first insemination (PC/FI) of Jersey crossbred cattle

Parameter	No. of observation	NRR56 (%)	PC/FI (%)
Overall mean	1457	57.61 \pm 3.53	57.30 \pm 3.52
<i>Period of service/insemination</i>			
POS 1 (1980–84)	47	62.42 \pm 13.92	62.05 \pm 13.93
POS 2 (1985–89)	145	60.61 \pm 9.11	59.90 \pm 9.11
POS 3 (1990–94)	187	57.57 \pm 6.71	55.98 \pm 6.70
POS 4 (1995–99)	243	48.84 \pm 5.65	48.10 \pm 5.64
POS 5 (2000–04)	234	51.38 \pm 6.36	51.18 \pm 6.36
POS 6 (2005–09)	281	60.42 \pm 8.43	60.42 \pm 8.43
POS 7 (2010–15)	320	62.06 \pm 11.02	63.51 \pm 11.02
<i>Season of service/insemination</i>			
SOS 1 (Winter)	532	59.69 \pm 3.89	59.91 \pm 3.89
SOS 2 (Summer)	469	55.81 \pm 4.09	55.71 \pm 4.08
SOS 3 (Rainy)	465	57.34 \pm 4.02	56.30 \pm 4.01
<i>Parity of animals</i>			
P 1	393	69.34 ^a \pm 6.09	68.01 ^a \pm 6.09
P 2	325	49.86 ^c \pm 5.14	49.54 ^b \pm 5.14
P 3	248	53.05 ^{bc} \pm 4.90	58.58 ^{ab} \pm 4.89
P 4	173	55.84 ^{abc} \pm 5.49	56.23 ^{ab} \pm 5.48
P 5	124	57.92 ^{abc} \pm 6.93	57.97 ^{ab} \pm 6.93
P 6	85	66.94 ^{ab} \pm 8.80	65.61 ^{ab} \pm 8.80
P 7 (7 or more)	109	50.36 ^{bc} \pm 9.15	50.20 ^b \pm 9.15
<i>Age of animals at insemination</i>			
AG- 1 (\geq 1 to <2 yr)	173	52.61 \pm 8.24	55.06 \pm 8.24
AG- 2 (\geq 2 to <3 yr)	303	52.02 \pm 6.90	51.72 \pm 6.90
AG- 3 (\geq 3 to <4 yr)	236	61.23 \pm 6.90	61.02 \pm 6.90
AG- 4 (\geq 4 to <5 yr)	203	63.50 \pm 5.73	62.23 \pm 5.78
AG- 5 (\geq 5 to <6 yr)	174	60.08 \pm 5.52	59.03 \pm 5.52
AG- 6 (\geq 6 to <7 yr)	125	58.31 \pm 6.20	57.56 \pm 6.20
AG- 7 (\geq 7 yr)	243	55.55 \pm 6.82	54.53 \pm 6.81
<i>Genetic groups of animals</i>			
GG-1 ($\frac{1}{2}$ J \times $\frac{1}{2}$ RS)	112	61.90 ^b \pm 5.84	62.8 ^{bc} \pm 5.84
GG-2 ($\frac{1}{2}$ J \times $\frac{1}{2}$ T)	283	81.11 ^a \pm 7.34	81.42 ^{ab} \pm 7.34
GG-3 (\geq 50% to 62.5% J)	310	44.83 ^c \pm 4.80	44.91 ^d \pm 4.79
GG-4 (1/2 J \times 1/4 RS \times 1/4 T)	219	55.28 ^b \pm 5.28	58.83 ^{bc} \pm 5.28
GG-5 (1/2 J \times 1/4 RS \times 1/8 T \times 1/8 D)	141	63.78 ^b \pm 5.68	63.59 ^{bc} \pm 5.68
GG-6 ($\frac{1}{2}$ J \times 3/8 RS \times 1/8 T)	85	53.48 ^{bc} \pm 6.87	53.35 ^{bcd} \pm 6.86
GG-7 (Misc. 50% J)	93	48.62 ^c \pm 7.25	48.32 ^{cd} \pm 7.25
GG-8 (\leq 50% J)	49	46.70 ^c \pm 9.54	46.52 ^{cd} \pm 9.54
GG-9 (>62.5 to 75% J)	86	67.93 ^a \pm 6.56	66.93 ^a \pm 6.56
GG-10 (>75% J)	79	52.53 ^{bc} \pm 7.63	51.30 ^{bcd} \pm 7.63

Means with different superscripts differ significantly ($P<0.05$) from each other. J, Jersey; RS, Red sindhi; T, Tharparkar; D, Desi.

obtained by Mekonnen *et al.* (2010). Significant ($P<0.05$) variations for NRR-56 and per cent conception per first insemination existed among the Jersey crossbred animals having different genetic groups in this study (Table 1). On perusal of Table 1, it is revealed that the animals having genetic combination of $\frac{1}{2}$ Jersey \times $\frac{1}{2}$ Tharparkar had higher rate of non-return at 56 days and higher per cent conception after first insemination as compared to animals having other genetic combinations. Similar significant effects of genetic groups on NRR-56 days (Nasrin *et al.* 2008) and PC/FI (Khatun *et al.* 2014) were reported in different crossbred cattle.

The heritability estimates of non-return rate-56 days (NRR56) and per cent conception per first insemination (PC/FI) of Jersey crossbred cattle were 0.06 \pm 0.04 and 0.06 \pm 0.04, respectively using paternal half-sib method. Similarly, low heritability (0.01 to 0.03) estimates for NRR56 (Sewalem *et al.* 2010, Liu *et al.* 2017) and for PC/FI (Castillo-Juarez *et al.* 2000, Bormann *et al.* 2006) were reported in dairy cattle. In the present study, the low heritability estimates of these fertility traits indicate slow genetic progress possible by selection under the prevalent management conditions and fertility performance of animals could be improved by better management practices rather than selection.

SUMMARY

Data pertaining to non-return and conception rate of Jersey crossbred cattle, maintained at the Eastern Regional Station, ICAR-NDRI, Kalyani, were collected/generated for a period of 36 years (1980 through 2015) to determine the effects of important environmental factors affecting these traits and to estimate their genetic parameters. The present study revealed that the sire had significant influence on NRR-56 days and PC/FI of animals. The parity and genetic groups of animals showed significant ($P<0.05$) effect on NRR-56 days and PC/FI of animals. However, the period and season of service/ insemination and age group of animals didn't have any significant impact on all the traits in this study. Animals having genetic group of $\frac{1}{2}$ Jersey \times $\frac{1}{2}$ Tharparkar and more than 50–75% Jersey performed better as compared to animals of other genetic groups. The heritability estimates for non-return rate-56 days (NRR-56 days) and per cent conception per first insemination (PC/FI) was low (0.06 \pm 0.04) in magnitude for the present set of data.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to the Director, National Dairy Research Institute, for providing all the facilities to carry out this work. We wish to acknowledge the contribution of the all former incharges and staffs of the cattle farm for management and recording of data.

REFERENCES

Abeygunawardena H, Alexande P A B D and Abeygunawardena I S. 2001. Artificial insemination of cattle in Sri Lanka: status,

- performance and problems. *Radioimmunoassay and Related Techniques to Improve Artificial Insemination Programmes for Cattle Reared Under Tropical and Sub-Tropical Conditions*, IAEATECDOC-1220, IAEA, Vienna, pp 51–56.
- Alphonsus C, Akpa G N, Barje P P, Nwagu B I and Orunmuyi M. 2014. Evaluation of fertility traits of Friesian × Bunaji dairy cows. *Animal Research International* **11**(1): 1851–62.
- Bormann J M, Totir L R, Kachman S D, Fernando R L and Wilson D E. 2006. Pregnancy rate and first-service conception rate in Angus heifers. *Journal of Animal Science* **84**: 2022–25.
- Buckley F, Mee J, O’Sullivan K, Evans R, Berry D and Dillon P. 2003. Insemination factors affecting the conception rate in seasonal calving Holstein-Friesian cows. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* **43**(6): 542–55.
- Castillo-Juarez H, Oltenacu P A, Blake R W, Mcculloch C E and Cienfuegos-Rivas E G. 2000. Effect of herd environment on the genetic and phenotypic relationships among milk yield, conception rate, and somatic cell score in Holstein cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* **83**(4): 807–14.
- Fouz R, Gandoy F, Sanjuán M L, Yus E and Diéguez F J. 2011. Factors associated with 56-day non-return rate in dairy cattle. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* **46**(6): 648–54.
- Habib M A, Bhuiyan A K F H and Amin M R. 2013. Genetic and phenotypic parameter on fertility traits of Red Chittagong cattle in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Veterinarian* **29**(2): 78–89.
- Harvey W R. 1990. Guide for LSMLMW, PC-1 Version, mixed model least squares and maximum likelihood computer programme. Mimeograph, Ohio State University, USA.
- Jansen J. 1985. Genetic aspects of fertility in dairy cattle based on analysis of A.I. of data—A review with emphasis on areas for further research. *Livestock Production Science* **12**(1): 1–12.
- Khatun M A, Bari F Y, Alam M S, Ali M R and Sarkar P K. 2014. Post AI conception rate in cattle at Rajarhat, Kurigram, Bangladesh. *Wayamba Journal of Animal Science* **6**: 845–54.
- Kramer C Y. 1957. Extension of multiple range tests to group correlated adjusted means. *Biometrics* **13**: 12–18.
- Liu A, Lund M S, Wang Y, Guo G, Dong G, Madsen P and Su G. 2017. Variance components and correlations of female fertility traits in Chinese Holstein population. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology* **8**: 56.
- Mekonnen T, Bekana M and Abayneh T. 2010. Reproductive performance and efficiency of artificial insemination smallholder dairy cows/heifers in and around Arsi-Negelle, Ethiopia. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* **22**(3): 1–5.
- Naha B C, Chakravarty A K, Mir M A, Jamuna V, Singh A P and Maher D. 2015. Identifying factors affecting age at first semen freezing and age at first semen use in Sahiwal bulls. *Veterinary World* **8**(7): 928–31.
- Nasrin S, Amin M R and Alam M K. 2008. Evaluation of semen and non-return rate of bulls in artificial insemination (AI) center. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science* **37**(2): 1–7.
- Potdar V V, Bhawe K, Gaundare Y, Awasthi H R and Khadse J R. 2016. Factors influencing conception rate of local and crossbred cows. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science* **9**(10): 51–54.
- Rautala H. 1991. Fertility in Finnish dairy cattle. Impact on milk production, variation according to cow and environmental factors and characterization of fertility problem cows. Department of Animal Hygiene, College of Veterinary Medicine.
- Sewalem A, Kistemaker G J and Miglior F. 2010. Relationship between female fertility and production traits in Canadian Holsteins. *Journal of Dairy Science* **93**(9): 4427–34.
- Tiezzi F, Maltecca C, Penasa M, Cecchinato A, Chang Y M and Bittante G. 2011. Genetic analysis of fertility in the Italian Brown Swiss population using different models and trait definitions. *Journal of Dairy Science* **94**(12): 6162–72.