## Evaluation of genetic and phenotypic parameters growth traits in organized flock of Chokla sheep of Rajasthan

PRAKASH<sup>1</sup>, S B S YADAV<sup>2</sup>, A K PATEL<sup>3</sup>, H K NARULA<sup>4</sup> and ASHISH CHOPRA<sup>5</sup>

Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bikaner, Rajasthan 334 001 India

Received: 18 September 2017; Accepted: 15 April 2019

**Key words**: Chokla sheep, Genetic and non-genetic factors, Genetic and phenotypic parameters, Growth traits, Rajasthan

Several non-genetic factors affect growth traits and directly obscure recognition of the genetic potential of animals. The effect of various factors like year of birth, season of birth, type of management and sex of animal on lamb growth of various wool breeds of sheep, has been studied (Mandal *et al.* 2003, Reddy *et al.* 2009). The Chokla sheep, comparatively fine carpet wool producing type sheep among the Indian sheep breeds, is hardy and well adapted to the arid and semi-arid regions of Rajasthan and also best suited for the region where migration is common practice. The present study was undertaken to identify various factors (period of birth, dam's age at lambing, and season of birth and sex of lamb) influencing growth traits and to estimate the genetic andphenotypic parameters of these traits in Chokla sheep.

The overall least-squares means were  $2.79\pm0.015$ ,  $12.68\pm0.119$ ,  $19.02\pm0.0152$ ,  $20.91\pm0.194$  and  $23.89\pm0.199$ kg at birth, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months of age, respectively (Table 1). The overall least-squares means were  $109.97\pm1.242$ , 70.67±1.082 and 29.66±0.879 g/day at 0-3, 3-6 and 6-12 months of age, respectively (Table 2). The random effect of sire was observed to be highly significant (P≤0.01) on all growth traits which indicated that sire selection for these traits can bring further genetic improvement in the flock. Arora et al. (1999) in Avikalin and Malpura sheep, Tomar et al. (2000) in Bharat Merino sheep and Gohil (2010) in Marwari sheep also observed significant effect of sire on all growth traits. Gohil (2010) reported significant effect of sire on average daily gain in Marwari sheep. The fixed effect of sex of lamb was highly significant (P≤0.01) on all growth traits except 12 months body weight. Male lambs were heavier than female lambs on all ages. This was also corroborated by Narula et al. (2010), Dass et al. (2008) and Gohil (2010) in Marwari sheep. These differences are

Present address: <sup>1</sup>Project Associate (drprakashjangu @gamil.com), <sup>2</sup>Professor (yadavsbs@gamil.com) Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bikaner; <sup>3</sup>Head and Principal Scientist (akpatelarc@yahoo.co.in) CS, <sup>4</sup>Principal Scientist (hknarula67@gmail.com), <sup>5</sup>Scientist (ashishchopra1234@gmail.com) ARC- Central Sheep and Wool Research Institute, Bikaner.

mainly due to anabolic effect of androgen which causes higher growth in males. Due to the impact of this hormone, males became more aggressive for feeding resulting in higher feed intake and consequently higher body weight compared to females (Singh and Dhillon 1992, Chopra *et al.* 2010).

The fixed effect of period was highly significant (P≤0.01) on all growth traits expect birth weight. Period of birth was also highly significant (P<0.01) in respect of lamb weights at all developmental stages in this study. The present findings corroborated with the results of Sivakumar *et al.* (2006) and Reddy *et al.* (2009) who obtained significant effect of period/year of birth on body weights of sheep. The body weight differences among lambs born in different periods in our study may be attributed to differences in management, selection of rams, genetic improvement in breed performance over the period and environmental conditions etc.

The fixed effect of dam's age was highly significant (P≤ 0.01) on birth weight, 3 months weight and ADG3 and nonsignificant for 6, 9, 12 months body weights, ADG1 and ADG2. In general, it has been observed that dam's age, i.e. from 4 to 5 years and 5 to 6 years of age were giving significantly higher body weights of lambs at birth and this effect continued in all the growth traits in advanced age as a carryover maternal and permanent environmental effect. Younger and older dams were giving birth to lower body weight lambs and also resulted in lower growth rate of those lambs in later stages too. Dixit et al. (loc.cit.) reported effect of age of ewe at birth and post weaning ADG less significant, whereas it was found to be highly significant on all other traits in Bharat merino sheep. Negi et al. (1987) in Gaddi sheep found the non-significant effect dam age on growth traits. Singh and Dhillon (1992) in Avivastra lambs reported significant effect of dam age on growth traits, which is similar to our study.

The fixed effect of season was highly significant (P≤0.01) on all growth traits and non-significant for 12 month body weight. The significant effect of season of birth on body weights was also reported in different breeds of sheep (Kumar *et al.* 2007, Reddy *et al.* 2009). Lambs born

Table 1. Least-squares means along with standard errors of different body weights in Chokla sheep

Effect	No. of ol	os. BWT	No. of ob	os. 3WT I	No. of ob	s. 6WT I	No. of ol	bs. 9WT	No. of ol	bs. 12WT
Overall mean (µ)	3714	2.79±0.015	3177	12.68±0.119	2838	19.02±0.015	2421	20.91±0.194	ŀ	23.89±0.199 (2102)
Sire		**		**		**		**		**
Period	NS	NS		**		**		**		**
P1 (1994-1996)	411	2.71±0.053	313	12.34±0.356	306	17.52±0.486 <sup>t</sup>	237	17.83±0.547	a 228	21.10±0.728 <sup>b</sup>
P2 (1997-1999)	524	2.74±0.045	407	11.58±0.291	409	16.44±0.404	a 358	18.05±0.429	a 289	20.09±0.644a
P3 (2000–2002)	570	2.79±0.050	494	11.97±0.315 <sup>t</sup>	477	17.64±0.432 <sup>t</sup>	461	20.26±0.444	c 342	21.61±0.716 <sup>b</sup>
P4 (2003-2005)	589	2.75±0.052	510	11.85±0.313a	475	18.08±0.434°	427	19.32±0.458	b 356	24.42±0.782 <sup>c</sup>
P5 (2006–2008)	637	2.89±0.056	594	13.85±0.3579	570	19.64±0.4919	486	22.12±0.58°	333	25.34±0.736 <sup>d</sup>
P6 (2009–2011)	983	2.87±0.069	859	14.51±0.4186	601	24.82±0.627	452	27.87±0.651	e 454	30.78±0.849e
Sex		**		**		**		**		NS
Male	1860	2.85±0.017	1585	13.12±0.127	1389	20.26±0.165	1147	22.48±0.205	1073	23.94±0.216
Female	1854	2.74±0.017	1592	12.24±0.127	1449	17.79±0.165	1274	19.33±0.204	1029	23.84±0.220
Season		**		**		**		**		**
May-October	854	2.83±0.021	734	13.46±0.148	541	19.32±0.201		21.20±0.241	L	23.50±0.277
NT 1 4 5	1 2060	2.76 . 0.016	2442	11.00.0.120	2207	10.72 . 0.151	20.60	(353)	1776	(326)
November-Apri	il 2860	2.76±0.016 **	2443	11.90±0.120 **	2297	18.73±0.151	2068	20.61±0.190	1776	24.28±0.191
Dam's age	4.4.1		252		072	NS	221	NS	204	NS
1 (<2 year)	441	$2.58\pm0.027^{a}$		12.35±0.179		18.84±0.254		20.71±0.279		24.04±0.342
2 (2–3 year)	854	2.64±0.021 <sup>b</sup>		12.50±0.148		18.90±0.198		20.85±0.233		23.85±0.263
3 (3–4 year)	735	2.82±0.021°		12.68±0.149a		19.02±0.198		20.76±0.231		23.74±0.263
4 (4–5 year)	609	2.88±0.023d		12.64±0.154 <sup>a</sup> 13.03±0.163 <sup>b</sup>		19.02±0.205		20.95±0.238		23.71±0.278
5 (5–6 year)	480	$2.94\pm0.025^{e}$				19.33±0.218		21.32±0.247		23.93±0.297
6 > 6 year)	595	2.90±0.023 <sup>d</sup>	e 530	12.89±0.156 <sup>b</sup>	c 483	19.03±0.209 **	418	20.85±0.240 **	530	24.04±0.284 *
Dam's weight				*****		*** ***		71. 11.		***
at lambing		0.062.0.002	4	0.25.0.0144		0.252.0.021		0.227.0.020	4	0.062.0.0222
Regression coefficient (k		0.062±0.0024	4	0.25±0.0144		0.252±0.021		0.227±0.020	4	0.062±0.0282

Number of observations are given in parenthesis. Figure with different superscripts differ significantly BWT, birth weight; 3WT, weaning weight; 6WT, 6-month weight 9WT, month weight; 12WT, 12-month weight \*\*-Highly significant ( $P \le 0.01$ ); \*-Significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ); NS-Non-significant.

Table 2. Least-squares mean (± SE) of for main effects on average daily gain (g) during different of age in Chokla lambs

Effect	No. of obs.	ADG1 (0–3 months)	No. of obs.	ADG2 (3–6 months)	No. of obs.	ADG3 (6–12 months)	
Overall mean (µ)	3156	109.97±1.242	2648	70.67±1.082	1783	29.66±.879	
Sire		**		**		**	
Period		**		**		**	
P1 (1994–1996)	312	106.34±1.766b	267	61.49±3.790 <sup>b</sup>	147	25.19±3.062a	
P2 (1997–1999)	397	99.91±3.099a	348	55.73±3.047a	254	$25.67 \pm 2.724^{a}$	
P3 (2000–2002)	490	103.21±3.326 <sup>b</sup>	464	61.96±3.175 <sup>b</sup>	331	$31.27 \pm 3.013^{c}$	
P4 (2003–2005)	505	100.92±3.304ab	443	67.16±3.264°	270	34.16± 3.273 <sup>d</sup>	
P5 (2006–2008)	594	120.86±3.770°	532	63.26±3.644 <sup>b</sup>	397	28.91± 3.134 <sup>b</sup>	
P6 (2009–2011)	858	128.55±4.417 <sup>d</sup>	594	114.39±4.498d	(384	32.78± 3.101cd	
Sex		**		**		**	
Male	1578	114.19±1.329	1306	78.84±1.172	774	32.94±0.935	
Female	1578	105.75±1.329	1342	62.49±1.183	1009	26.38±0.926	
Season		**		**		**	
May-October	732	118.26±1.554	478	66.01±1.466	225	25.91±1.136	
November-April	2424	101.68±1.260	2170	75.32±1.067	1558	33.41±.844	
Dam's age		NS		NS		**	
1 (<2 year)	352	108.84±1.879	261	71.04±1.814	169	32.00±1.287°	
2 (2–3 year)	693	109.47±1.555	572	71.46±1.416	356	29.01±1.080ab	
3 (3–4 year)	623	109.83±1.565	532	70.25±1.421	315	28.83±1.060ab	
4 (4–5 year)	532	108.75±1.620	460	71.53±1.472	315	29.59±1.082ab	
5 (5–6 year)	430	111.94±1.722	375	70.95±1.569	260	30.74±1.144bc	
6 (>6 year)	526	110.96±1.640	448	68.76±1.502	337	27.79±1.080 <sup>a</sup>	
Dam's weight at lambing		**		NS		NS	
Regression coefficient (kg/kg	g)	2.226±0.1519		0.107±0.1489		-034±0.0983	

Number of observations are given in parenthesis. Figure with different superscripts differ significantly. \*\*Highly significant ( $P \le 0.01$ ); \*Significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ); NS, Non-significant.

Table 3. Estimates of heritability (on diagonal), genetic correlation (above diagonal) and phenotypic correlation (below diagonal) among body weights (kg) average daily gains (g/day) at different ages in Chokla sheep

Traits/ parameter	BWT r	3 WT	6 WT	9 WT	12 WT	ADG1	ADG2	ADG3
BWT	0.231±0.030	0.242±0.111	0.565±0.103	0.030±0.116	0.065±0.124	0.072±0.122	-0.270±0.190	-0.252±0.143
3 WT	0.321±0.017	0.139±0.029	$0.855 \pm 0.062$	$0.383 \pm 0.121$	0.437±0.121	$0.977 \pm 0.006$	0.017±0.218	-0.181±0.178
6 WT	$0.279 \pm 0.029$	$0.753 \pm 0.008$	$0.068 \pm 0.024$	$0.667 \pm 0.092$	0.573±0.183	0.831±0.068	0.462±0.196	0.081±0.229
9 WT	$0.265 \pm 0.021$	0.591±0.014	$0.806 \pm 0.007$	$0.175 \pm 0.033$	$0.847 \pm 0.041$	0.367±0.122	$0.637 \pm 0.137$	0.589±0.146
12 WT	$0.255 \pm 0.023$	$0.538 \pm 0.017$	$0.715 \pm 0.011$	$0.818 \pm 0.008$	0.183±0.039	$0.534 \pm 0.024$	0.709±0.131	0.721±0.102
ADG1	0.160±0.019	$0.985 \pm 0.001$	0.737±0.009	$0.564 \pm 0.015$	0.184±0.037	0.137±0.029	$0.065 \pm 0.026$	-0.088±0.183
ADG2	0.046±0.020	$0.058 \pm 0.020$	0.694±0.010	0.557±0.015	0.492±0.018	0.141±0.029	$0.059 \pm 0.023$	$0.322 \pm 0.251$
ADG3	0.040±0.025	-0.206±0.025	-0.271±0.023	0.101±0.025	0.548±0.018	00.22±0.025	$-0.132 \pm 0.025$	0.131±0.037

in May-October grew well up to 3 months of age and attained highest weight. This might be due to a favorable climate when the grazing of good quality was available.

The effect of dam's weight as a covariate was highly significant ( $P \le 0.01$ ) on birth, 3, 6, 9 months body weights and ADG1 and significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) on 12 months body weights and non-significant for ADG2 and ADG3. This suggests that heavier dams during pregnancy and lambing delivered heavier lambs. Weaning weight and pre-weaning average daily gain of these lambs was also higher probably due to good body condition of dams, better nutrition, better mothering ability and favourable uterine environment prior to lambing (Dass *et al.* 2008, Gohil 2010). Therefore these non-genetic factors should be considered while evaluating performance and planning genetic improvement.

The genetic and phenotypic correlations and heritability estimates for growth traits are presented in Table 3. The heritabilities of body weights at birth and at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months of age observed in this study were moderate (0.088-0.231), as compared to the estimates of Nehra and Singh (2006), which indicate ample scope of improvement of these traits by selection. The heritabilities of average daily gains observed in this study were low to medium (0.059 - 0.137). Estimates of genetic correlations between body weights with weights at subsequent ages were positive and ranged from 0.065 to 0.885, and average daily gains during different phase ranged from -0.088 to 0.322. Estimates for phenotypic correlations between body weights with weights at subsequent ages ranged from 0.255 to 0.818, and average daily gains during different phase ranged from -0.22 to 0.141. Both the phenotypic (0.753) and genetic correlation (0.855) of 3 months weight with 3 months weight was high. The present study revealed that different environments affect significantly the growth traits of Chokla sheep. The high heritabilities of body weights and high genetic correlation among the body weights at different stages suggest that selection for increased early growth traits will lead to genetic improvement in the subsequent development of body weights.

## SUMMARY

The sire effect was highly significant (P≤0.01) on all growth traits. The sex effect of lamb was highly significant

 $(P \le 0.01)$  on all growth traits but non-significant on 12 months body weight, period effect was highly significant (P≤ 0.01) on all growth traits but non-significant on birth weight. The effect of dam's age was highly significant (P≤0.01) on birth weight, 3 months weight, ADG3 and nonsignificant effect for 6, 9, 12 months body weights, ADG1 and ADG2. The effect of season of birth was highly significant ( $P \le 0.01$ ) on all growth traits but non-significant effect for 12 months body weights. The effect of dam's weight as a covariate was highly significant (P≤0.01) on birth, 3, 6, 9 months body weights and ADG1 and significant (P≤0.05) on 12 months body weights and non-significant for ADG2 and ADG3. The heritability estimates from WOMBAT (animal model 1) method for body weights and average daily gains were low to medium. Estimates of genetic correlations between body weights with weights at subsequent ages were positive and ranged from 0.065 to 0.885, and average daily gains during different phase ranged from -0.088 to 0.322. Estimates for phenotypic correlations between body weights with weights at subsequent ages ranged from 0.255 to 0.818, and average daily gains during different phase ranged from -0.22 to 0.141.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Authors thank the Director, Central Sheep and Wool Research Institute, Avikanagar; Head, Arid Region Campus, Central Sheep and Wool Research Institute, Bikaner; Vice-Chancellor, Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bikaner and Dean, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, Bikaner for providing the facilities for the execution of work.

## REFERENCES

Arora A L, Sharma R C, Narula H K and Kumar R. 1999. Comparative performance of Avikalin and Malpura sheep. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants* 5: 4–8.

Chopra A, Prince L L L, Gowane G R and Arora A L. 2010. Influence of genetic and non-genetic factors on growth profile of Bharat Merinosheep in semiarid region of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **80**: 376–78.

Dass G, Sharma P R and Mehrotra V. 2008. Production performance of Marwari lambs under hot arid region of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **78**: 1019–22.

- Gohil G. 2010. 'Genetic evaluation of growth and reproduction of Marwari sheep'. MVSc Thesis, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner.
- Harvey W R. 1990. User's guide for LSMLMW PC-2 Version mixed model least-squares maximum likelihood computer program. Minneograph Columbus, Ohio, USA.
- Kramer C Y. 1957. Extension of multiple range tests to group correlated adjusted means. *Biometrics* **13**: 13–18.
- Kushwaha B P, Kumar S, Kumar R and Mehta B S. 1997. The Chokla sheep in India. *Animal Genetic Resources* 22: 19–27.
- Mandal A, Pant K P, Nandy D K, Rout P K and Roy R. 2003. Genetic analysis of growth traits in Muzaffarnagari sheep. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* **35**: 271–84.
- Meyer K. 2007. WOMBAT—A tool for mixed model analyses in quantitative genetics by REML. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science* B **8**(11): 815–21.
- Narula H K, Kumar A, Ayub M and Mehrotra V. 2010. Growth rate and wool production of Marwari lambs under arid region of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **80**: 350–53.

- Negi P R, Bhat P N and Garg R C. 1987. Factors affecting pre weaning body weights in Gaddi sheep and its crosses. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 57: 489–92.
- Nehra K S and Singh V K. 2006. Genetic evaluation of Marwari sheep in arid zone: growth. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants* **12**(1): 91–94.
- Reddy Y R, Naidu P T and Rao S T V. 2009. Growth performance of Nellore breed of sheep in India. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants* **15**(1): 118–20.
- Singh G and Dhillon J S. 1992. Factors affecting body weights of Avivastra lambs. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **62**: 574–80.
- Sivakumar T, Soundararajan C, Palanidorai R, Ganeshkumar G, Mahendrans M and Malathi G. 2006. Factors affecting birthweight in Madras Red lambs. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants* **12**(1): 115–16.
- Tomar A K S, Mehta B S and Singh G. 2000. Genetic and nongenetic factors affecting growth in Bharat merino sheep. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **70**: 647–48.