

EXTENSION CONTACTS IN RELATION TO ADOPTION OF AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FARMERS.

A. J. SINGH DHALIWAL & T. S. SOHAL*

Introduction

The extension workers are engaged in bringing about improvements in the economic and social standards of the people by providing them with knowledge of practical value. Among the many methods employed by the Extension agency in achieving these objectives, extension contacts of various types are very important. While, it is admitted on all hands that the extension contacts greatly accelerate the adoption of agricultural practices, empirical evidence to indicate the exact extent of this influence is very scarce. In the present study, the influence of contacts of various extension workers with the farmers of Ludhiana Block, on the level of adoption of practices has been studied. The relationship existing between frequency of extension contacts and the Educational and Economic status of farmers has also been investigated.

Methodology

The data was collected from two hundred farmers of Ludhiana block who were selected through stratified random sampling. For the purpose of knowing the effect of extension contacts on the level of adoption, five agricultural practices namely, wheat C-273, use of fertilizers, mechanical threshing of wheat, green manuring, and solar heat treatment of wheat were chosen.

The extension contacts included in the investigation are the visits of, village level workers, agricultural Extension Officers, Block Development Officers, and other Extension workers, to the farmers and vice versa. The farmers' participation in activities arranged by Extension agency viz. training camps, training courses, tours, meetings, field trips, Melas (Fairs), exhibitions also included the contact studied. A period of six months preceding this study was kept in view for ascertaining the frequency of Extension Contacts from the respondents. The relationship of Education and Economic status (based on size of farm and Gross income) with that of Extension Contacts was found by collecting the relevant information from the respondents. The data were collected on a specially structured interview schedule.

Objectives

The two-fold objectives of this study are :-

1. To determine the relationship between frequency of Extension Contacts and adoption of the chosen agricultural practices.
2. To find out the relationship between the Extension Contacts and Educational and Economic status of the farmers.

*Senior author is Lecturer in Extension in College of Agriculture, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana : Junior author is Superintendent, Junior Staff School and acting Professor of Extension, College of Agriculture, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.

Review of Literature

Coleman (1951) observed a direct relationship between Extension Contacts and the level of adoption of practices. He also found that the educated persons are more often reached by Extension people than the uneducated farmers. In another study, Coleman (1955) noted that farmers with large holdings were most often contacted and they were also found to adopt more practices than operators with small holdings. He further stated that, "the extent and nature of contacts within the community is important in the diffusion of ideas." Studies conducted by a large number of investigators like Gross (1949), Lionberger (1952), and Bose (1961), support this conclusion that contacts with formal and informal agencies are significantly related to the adoption of practices.

Results

The results in respect of the three facets of this study are presented hereafter under the following headings:—

- (i) Extension contacts and adoption of Practices.
- (ii) Extension contacts and education of respondents.
- (iii) Extension contacts and economic status of respondents.

Extension Contacts and Adoption of Farm Practices

The frequency of Extension contacts as it affects the level of adoption of practices would be seen in the figures in the following table.

TABLE-I

Extension contacts and Adoption of farm practices

A significant relationship between frequency of Extension contacts with that of average index of adoption is readily evident from the figures in the above table. The average index of adoption of the persons with a low frequency of contacts stands at 2.41 but it increases consistently to 3.23 and 4.44 as the frequency of contacts rises to medium and high respectively.

TABLE 1

Extension contacts and Adoption of farm practices

Frequency of Extension Contacts	CATAGORIES OF ADOPTERS								Average index of adoption	Level of signifi- cance.
	Low 0-2 Scores		Medium 3 Scores		High (above 3)		Total			
	No.	%	No.	%	No,	%	No.	%		
Low (0—15 Scores)	39	57.35	21	30.88	8	11.76	68	100	2.41	at 5%
Medium (16—30 Scores)	20	18.69	39	36.44	48	44.87	107	100	3.23	at 5%
High (Above 30 cores)	—	—	3	12.00	22	88.00	25	100	4.44	at 1%
Total.		.50	63	31.50	78	39.50	200	100		

Chi. Squ. Value 62.42 @ 4 d. f.

tively. The differences in the average index of adoption of farmers reporting low and high contacts with Extension agency were found to be significant even at 0.01% level. Such differences between farmers having low and medium contacts are significant at 0.05% level only. And so are differences between those having medium and high contacts. Thus, it is apparent that the average adoption index increases in direct proportion to the frequency of contacts.

It is interesting to note that not a single respondent having high contacts with Extension agency fell in the category of low adopters. Conversely it is also noteworthy that hardly any high adopters have low Extension contacts. Thus, it can be concluded that, other things being constant, the higher the contacts a person has with the Extension agency, more are the number of improved practices followed by him.

Extension contacts and Education of Respondents

The data in respect of the educational level of a farmer and the frequency of Extension contacts are set out in Table-2.

TABLE 2
Extension Contact and Farmers' Education

Educational Level	EXTENSION CONTACTS						Average index of Extension contacts	Level of significance		
	Low		Medium		High				Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%				
Low (0-1 Score)	56	45.78	58	47.93	7	6.29	121	100	7.21	at 5% %
Medium (2-3 Scores)	8	17.77	28	62.22	9	20.01	45	100	10.92	Not sig ificant
High (Above 3 Scores)	4	11.76	21	61.76	9	26.48	34	100	13.57	at %
Total	68	34.00	107	53.50	25	12.50	200	100		

Chi. Sq. Value=21.93@ 4 d.f.

Chi. Sq. Value = 21.93 @ 4 d.f.

Extension contacts and farmers' Education

From the above table, it seems clear that as the educational level rises, frequency of Extension contacts has a tendency to increase. The average index of Extension contacts for farmers of low level of Education is 7.21. This index rises to 10.92 for farmers with medium level of Education and further goes up to 13.57 when the level of education moves upward.

The differences are significant at 0.01% and 0.05% in the case of low and high; and low and medium educational level respectively. However no significant differences were recorded among persons of medium and high educational level with respect to average index of Extension contacts. It shows that the better educated farmers are considerably much ahead of the poorly educated farmers in developing and maintaining contacts with the Extension agency. It appears probable that the Extension workers are concentrating their activities on farmers with higher educational achievement, because these people have better receptivity to adoption of innovations.

Extension contacts and economic status of respondents

The data in table 3 depict the trend of

TABLE 3
Extension contacts and farmers' Economic status

Economic Status	EXTENSION CONTACTS								Average index of Extension contacts	Level of significance
	Low		Medium		High		Total			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Low (0-10 Scores)	40	66.66	20	33.33	—	—	60	100	5.07	at 5%
Medium (11-30 Scores)	26	24.74	67	62.03	15	13.32	108	100	11.23	Not Significant
High (above 30 Score)	2	5.71	20	57.12	10	37.17	32	100	15.19	at 1%
Total	68	34.00	107	53.50	25	12.50	200	100		

Chi. Sq. Value 30.37 @ 4 d.f.

contacts as influenced by the Economic status of the farmers.

TABLE-3

Extension contacts and farmers' Economic status

A close perusal of the figures in table 3 seems to indicate that with an increase in the economic status of farmers, the frequency of extension contacts also increases. The average index of extension contacts increases from 10.7 to 11.23 and 15.19 as the economic status of the farmers rises from low to medium and high respectively. It is noteworthy that in this study no farmer with low economic status had high extension contacts. The differences between farmers of low and high economic status are significant at 0.01% level. These differences are statistically not significant while the differences are statistically not significant between farmers of medium and high economic status.

The above findings seem to show that the higher contacts are instrumental in increasing adoption. Extension contacts are known to be

the result of the reciprocal initiative of the farmers and the extension workers. It can be stated that adoption of improved agricultural practices is considerably dependent on the contacts of a farmer with the extension agency whether at his own initiative or through the efforts of extension worker.

While collating the educational and economic status of the farmers with the frequency of Extension contacts, the study establishes quite conclusively that it is the persons with higher educational and economic status, who are receiving greater attention from the agency. This finding can be disquieting because it raises many fundamental and basic questions. Is it that the less educated and poorer farmers are denied the opportunity of enough Extension contact because of the difficulty involved in breaking their initial resistance? Or is it that the extension workers are trying to produce physical achievements by concentrating their efforts on the well placed and educated farmers who are likely to be more responsive?

Since the great majority of the farmers

have low education and poor economic standards, it is obvious that this major segment of population is remaining bereft of the facilities available through the Extension services. Such a state of affairs is not only out of keeping with an egalitarian society, but it also shows up a serious defect in the functioning of the Extension Services.

Summary

In this paper an attempt has been made to study the relationship between the frequency of Extension contacts and level of adoption on the one hand and the relationship among the frequency of extension contacts and the educational and economic status of the farmers on the other. This is expected to be of value to the extension agency and research workers interested in programmes of rural develop-

ment.

Data for the study were obtained through personal interview with 200 farmers in 1963 as a part of the study entitled "A study of some important factors affecting the adoption of a few selected agricultural practices by the cultivators of Ludhiana (India) Community Development Block." The main inferences from this study are that frequency of contacts with Extension agency is significantly related to the adoption of Agricultural practices. Further, the Extension agency has concentrated its contacts with farmers having high educational and economic status. On the other hand, the farmers with low education and poor economical levels respectively are less frequently contacted by the Extension Agency.

REFERENCES

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| Bose, S. P. | Characteristics of Farmers who adopt Agricultural Practices in Indian Villages, <i>Rural Sociology</i> . 26 (2) 1961 p. p. 138-45. |
| Coleman, A. L. | Differential contact with Extension workers in a New York Rural Community, <i>Rural Sociology</i> . 16, 1951 p.p. 207-216. |
| Coleman, A. L. | "How Farm people Accept New Ideas", Agricultural Extension Bureau. Iowa State College 1955 p.p. 1-10. |
| Gross, N. | The Differential characteristics of Acceptors and non acceptors of improved Agricultural Technological Practices, <i>Rural Sociology</i> . 14 (2) 1949 p.p. 148-56. |
| Lionberger, H. F. | "Diffusion of Farm and Home Information as an area of Social Research", <i>Rural Sociology</i> 17 (2), 1952 p.p. 132-44. |

THE PILOT AREA APPROACH IN THE NETHERLANDS

IR. J. M. A. PENDERS

In the period 1953-1956 an experiment as a new extension procedure, intended to be used as an integral part of rural development plans, was carried out in the Netherlands through 2 pilot villages. A joint farm and home approach was introduced in 2 hamlets comprising about 30 neighbouring farms each, where the group approach in extension work of a temporarily intensive nature was carried out along systematic lines by extension programme planning based on a previous survey and finalized by an evaluation of the results obtained. The experiment served in the meantime as a demonstration, attracting some ten thousand visitors from the Netherlands and abroad.

Since 1956 this extension procedure is being applied on a large scale for rural areas in the process of development, in so-called "pilot areas." In 1963 these numbered nearly 100, covering about one quarter of the agricultural acreage and comprising approximately one third of the agricultural holdings in the country as a whole. The great regional differences in production costs of milk—the main produce for small farms—were the original motive for starting pilot areas.

Categories :—The pilot areas are meant to accelerate rural development, improvement of internal production and living conditions in the developing countryside through systematic intensive extension work in the regions concerned. They may be distinguished by the

following characteristics :—

- a. less advanced rural regions, where, as is expected, extension work will permit a considerable improvement ;
- b. rural regions, where a rather fast and far reaching improvement of production and living conditions will have to take place by land consolidation. On these the programmes have to synchronize kind with intensity of extension work. About three-quarters of the pilot areas belong to this category ;
- c. rural regions, where not only improvements of the rural structure is involved, but the total socio-economic structure of the region may also have to be changed by the establishment of industrialization centres. This category presents the most complex problems especially because more ministries are engaged in the overall development plan. The rural pilot area plan as such can only form a part of and will have to be subordinated to the overall development plan for the region.

Choice of the areas : The number of the pilot areas is determined by the amount of money made available by the government. The allocation is carried out by the national agricultural extension council in consultation with the provincial councils. A preliminary survey as to the relative backwardness of the rural regions was made by the sociographic branch of

the agricultural economics research institute in co-operation with the agricultural extension service. Furthermore consideration is given to regions where consolidation schemes will be carried out and for which a long term plan had been set up. These regions cover about one fifth of the total agricultural area.

It is difficult in practice to achieve an equilibrium between technical, economic and social standards in order to get indications for priority to be given to the various regions, apart from the fact that for reason of state policy an even distribution over the country as a whole has to be regarded. Finally the capacity of the existing regional extension services to handle the additional extension work properly must be taken into consideration.

Size of the areas : There is a wide variation in size of the pilot areas, ranging from somewhat more than 100 farms covering 1500 hectares to 4000 farms covering 25,000 hectares. Experience has taught that the ideal size would be one with 200 to 300 farms with one additional extension-officer in order to ensure a close co-operation between the extension service, the pilot area committee and the population within the area. In cases where a larger area is necessary—as by the consolidation scheme or that required for the establishment of industrialization centres—sub-areas should be formed with a co-ordinating committee for the area as a whole.

The planning procedure : The plans for the pilot areas are made within the frame of broad general outlines issued by the national agricultural extension council, which together with the provincial councils supervise the programmes. A national sub-committee for rural home economics is assisting these councils in regard to the home economics part.

The general outlines were changed and improved twice within a period of eight years to fall in line with the experience gained. They may be regarded as a good indication for extension work, which has to remain a dynamic enterprise with flexibility in outlook and readiness to take into account the fast structural changes going on, especially in the agricultural changes.

The plan itself has to be set up primarily by the pilot area committee to be selected amongst the rural population, both male and female, in consultation with the respective rural organizations, the extension office acting as advisory members of the committee. It may be regarded essential to emphasize this aspect as it is meant to stimulate :

- the self-reliance of the population against the tendency of professional rural development and even of the extension office who direct the plan. The professional extension personnel will have to confine themselves to providing basic information as to the factual situation, formulation of the priorities chosen by the people and drawing up the annual plan of work. The alpha and omega of real progress lies after all in the effective co-operation with the people and in the stimulation of their self-reliance.
- the achievement of one integrated phase associating to the maximum extent, agriculture and rural home economics.

The selection and training of "leaders" in the pilot areas—within and outside the committee—need thorough consideration. **The period :** The total pilot period may be divided into :—

- one or more years' preparatory period during which a survey has to be carried

out providing a base for a long term plan to be built up. The extension service is engaged to a great extent in this survey, which if handled properly may, in the meantime, arouse the necessary public interest and that of the rural population for the plan. Practical courses in agriculture (including farm management) and rural home economics, along with extension work as to social aspects are particularly effective in this period.

four years' main period of intensive extension work in agriculture and rural home economics. When land consolidation is involved, this period starts with the allotment of the new farming and housing units ;

final period of one to two years at the most to finalize the plan in such a way that the population will be able to help itself without further assistance from outside sources. The raising of funds amongst members of the pilot area to ensure additional extension work after the period, if this might be required, would have to be stimulated. This has already been realised in a few areas.

Active costs : The total amount of money allocated to additional extension work in the pilot areas equals annually about $\frac{1}{2}\%$ of the rest value of agricultural output in the respective regions. This means that the intensity of extension work in the pilot areas is about twice that in the other rural areas. In this connection it may be mentioned that the local agricultural extension officer in the herlands covers on the average 400 farms.

The home economics part as percentage of total costs of additional extension work is increasing steadily from nearly 10% in 1956 the year, to about 30% after seven years of

operation, the average pilot area period.

For the pilot area as a whole, comprising more than 50,000 farms with an average acreage of 9 hectares, about 150 additional agricultural officers and 100 home economics extension officers are employed temporarily.

Apart from agricultural and rural home economics, inter-related social aspects of rural life are also considered more systematically in the extension plan and work, though still to a limited extent in financial terms as this aspect of extension work requires only a small per cent of the total money devoted to the pilot areas.

Some results : The short period of operation will hardly permit a scientifically justified evaluation. The national and provincial secretaries of the agricultural extension councils are assembling the necessary data in this regard. Nevertheless some preliminary results may be mentioned.

In one of the pilot areas, a backward grass-land district, the production costs per kg. of milk (the regional disparity as to this indicator was the starting point for the pilot areas in 1956) were reduced relatively, by two cents in five years, which compared favourably with another more advanced grassland district. This would lead to the conclusion that an input of about 25 guilders/ha within a period of some years in additional extension work as applied in that pilot area had yielded an output of about 100 guilders annually, which means a ratio of 1 : 4 through this kind of intellectual investment.

The increase of labour productivity on small mixed farms in several pilot areas was 15—20% higher than that in other areas with about the same external production conditions. This effect was achieved within a period of

about 7 years, that is to say the average pilot area period. But apart from these results measured in economic terms, the change in attitude of the farming population in the pilot areas—one of the main aims of extension work—is certainly one of the most important results. By the pilot area approach the original reluctance of the population in some areas in regard to land consolidation was transformed into whole hearted approval and support. The farmers' attitude—and that of their wives—as to rural home economics are gradually changing also as indicated by the increasing percentage of money allotted by the pilot area committees to home economics extension in the successive years. The outlook of farm families in regard to migration of labour from the land is broadened too. Timely counselling and guidance as to future jobs for rural youth supported by exhibitions is increasing. The extension services themselves are being improved by the experiences in the pilot areas.

Instruction as such is gradually transformed into an educational process of group dynamics, in which the former "clients" of the agricultural advisory service are more and more regarded as "co-operators". The contribution of local leaders to the development plan is stimulated purposely.

Subsidies on separate technical farm improvements especially to small holders—were decreasing from more than 50% of the total additional costs of extension work for the pilot areas in 1956, the starting year, to a little bit more than 10% since 1962. More thought is given to effective group methods e.g. demonstrations as is rightly done by the home economics extension service. This extension procedure was also due to a relatively limited number of full time professional

extension officers the latter could employ. Farm economics bookkeeping introduced in the pilot areas as a base for more efficient farm management, is also to be regarded as an important and often the limiting factor for greater productivity. Efficient co-ordination between the services for land and water use and the extension services is put into effect in the pilot areas.

Comprehensive extension programs planning as initiated in the pilot areas has not only improved gradually, but also it has served as a stimulating example and will certainly be extended beyond the areas as efficient basic procedure in agricultural extension work for the country as a whole.

1961 a first experimental start in this region was made under the auspices of the national and provincial agricultural extension council in which the governmental agricultural extension services together with the farmers' and farm labourers' organisations are represented.

The inclusion of home economics as an integral extension programme is making progress. The rural home economics extension division has gained a great deal of experience through the pilot areas preceded by the full example in the pilot villages. Based on this experience, they are expanding gradually through intensive individual methods through home-visits. Extension experience gained by the home economists who are primarily trained as teachers. In-service training of home economics extension office personnel is gaining in importance with the extension of rural women's organisations. Assistance is given here by the foundation for home economics research. Much informal assistance is given through contacts with the agricultural extension services in the pilot areas, as the services have at their disposal a longer ex-

perience in more or less systematic expansion work.

Though a joint farm and home approach has not yet been achieved, in a few areas budgeting of the household along with budgeting the farming enterprise is initiated. Finally we may claim that systematic extension work to social aspects confronting agricultural productivity and rural life was initiated on a more elaborate scale in the pilot areas.

The promising results obtained within a few years with relatively little financial expenditure are encouraging, especially taking into account the facts that the Netherlands

has a governmental agricultural advisory service with primary emphasis on technical matters and a still relatively less intensive rural home economics extension services split up organizationally amongst three rural women's organizations without formal links among them. This proves that the concept, the way of approach and the attitude of the authorities to rural development that is to say, basing the improvement scheme to a great extent on the principle underlying extension education: "helping the rural people to help themselves", may be regarded as the most decisive factors for ultimate success.

"A code of conduct, a kind of human beings; two things. But the code or system of values dominates the culture and so controls the type of behaviour of the people, makes the type of human being and corresponds to character in the individual"

R. REDFELD