

### Reviewed by

Dr. Kona Praveen ICAR-Sugarcane Breeding Institute Coimbatore, TN, India.

Email: kona.praveen@icar.gov.in

### \*Correspondence

R. S. Meena rsm.nrcss@gmail.com

Received: 12 August 2024 Revision: 27 August 2024 Accepted: 07 October 2024

#### Citation

Meena, R.S.\*, Kakani, R.K., Singh, B., Saxena, S.N., Meena, R.D., Choudhary, S., Mehta, R.S. and Kant, K. 2023. A high yielding Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare) variety, Ajmer Fennel-2 (AF-2) for better resistance, quality with higher essential oil content to grow in India. Int. J Seed Spice, 13(1&2): 75-84

### DOI

https://doi.org/10.56093/IJSS.v13i1-2.11

### **Affiliation**

<sup>1</sup>ICAR-National Research Centre on Seed Spices, Ajmer, 305 206, Rajasthan, India.

A high yielding Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare) variety, Aimer Fennel-2 (AF-2) for better resistance, quality with higher essential oil content to grow in India

R.S. Meena\*, R.K. Kakani, B. Singh, S.N. Saxena, R.D. Meena, S. Choudhary, R.S. Mehta and K. Kant

### Abstract

Fennel is medicinally and economically important crop of India. This crop is the rich source of antioxidants and used in many medicines to cure diseases. In spite of already available varieties there is a need for development of high yielding, Ramularia blight tolerant variety with higher essential oil content. A high yielding, Ramularia blight tolerant variety, AF-2 (Ajmer Fennel-2) has been released and notified by the CVRC (Notified vide S.O.216 (E)16.01.2018) for commercial cultivation under timely sown, recommended POP for all fennel growing areas of India. AF-2 has an average yield of 17.9 q ha<sup>-1</sup> which is 10.62% and 12.94% higher as compared to national checks, RF-205 and RF-101, respectively. AF-2 is moderately resistant to Ramularia blight disease and its seed contains 1.9% essential oil which was 18.5 % and 11.7 % higher than RF-205 and RF-101, respectively. Fertilizer requirement was 5-10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM and 90 kg N<sub>2</sub> and 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub> & 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>0 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The variety will contribute to increase fennel production and will alleviate the socio-economic status of its farmers.

**Keywords:** Fennel, Ajmer Fennel-2, yield, disease resistance, quality, essential oil

#### Introduction

Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare), is a highly aromatic and flavorful herb with culinary and medicinal uses from the family Apiaceae. It is an annual, biennial or perennial herb, native to Mediterranean region and grown throughout Asia, North America and Europe. A cosmopolitan species, Fennel is commonly cultivated from the plains to 2000 m and has a wide distribution. It was grown by romans for its aromatic fruits and edible fleshy gardens (Abubacker, 2011). Widely cultivated in India, Argentina, China, Indonesia, Russia, Japan and Pakistan (Volak and Stodola, 1998). This crop is the rich source of antioxidants and used in many medicines to cure diseases (Oktay,

2003, Lucinewton, 2005, Bruyas-Bertholon, 2012). Studies have been conducted on antioxidant potential of fennel (Choudhary, 2017), DNA isolation protocol (Choudhary, 2015), induced variability (Verma, 2018), radiation effect (Verma, 2017), lethal dose fixation morphological and molecular variability in mutant lines (Sharma 2020), molecular diversity analysis (Choudhary, 2018). Rajasthan is one of the major fennel growing states of India cultivating this crop since ages, but the biotic and abiotic stresses are effecting its growth and yield. A variety with more yield and resistance to diseases and pests, with higher essential oil content can be a boon to the fennel growers. Looking to the problems of the farmers an experiment was designed to develop a superior variety for yield, resistance and quality of fennel. Fennel producers have been advocated to adopt the recently released high yielding Ramularia blight resistant and superior quality fennel varieties to counter the significant yield losses. In light of the likelihood of blight incidence, researchers advocate the farmers to cultivate the improved and/or recently released fennel varieties that are resistant/tolerant to multiple biotic and abiotic stresses including blight to counter the significant yield and profit loss.

### Materials and methods

Yield and quality superiority and adaptability: The selected lines were screened and evaluated before national yield evaluation and hence only resistant genotypes with higher yieldwere promoted to evaluation in the coordinated trials. In multi-location testing during the process of evaluation, yield and blight responses at each location were recorded and the proposed fennel variety AF-2 gave 17.9 q ha-1 average seed yield, which is 10.62% and 12.94% higher as compared to national checks, RF-205 and RF-101, respectively in 27 trials conducted at different locations across the country (Table 1 and la). The proposed variety AF-2 gave 17.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> average seed yield which is 8.55% and 8.60% higher than national checks, RF-205 and RF-101, respectively in coordinated trials (Table 2 and 2a). AF-2 is found superior in quality traits (Table 3,6 and 6a)

**Distinguishing morphological characteristics**: Medium plant height, dense foliage (at fully bloom leaf

stage), king umbel base leaf is straight, Semi erect foliage attitude, king umbel leaf have petiole and short 1<sup>st</sup> inter-node (Table 7)

## Results and discussion

**Performance in agronomical evaluation**: Suitability for early or late sown conditions, seed rate, were examined and found that mentioned genotypes are suitable for normal sown conditions. 15<sup>th</sup>October is most suitable date for sowing. Seed rate and spacing: 8-10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 50cm line to line and 25 cm plant to plant distance. 5-6 irrigations are sufficient depending on soil type and climatic conditions. Yield was 8-10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 50cm line to line and 25 cm plant to plant distance. Fertilizer requirement was 5-10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM and 90 kg N<sub>2</sub>,40 kg P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub> and 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>0 ha<sup>-1</sup>, good quality grain with higher essential oil was obtained; total yield was 1790 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5).

**Resistance to disease and pests**: AF-2 is moderately resistant to *Ramularia* blight disease (Table 4a and 4b). Fennel is mostly attacked by aphids whereas the proposed variety is less susceptible as compared to other released variety as observed in the field.

**Seed characteristics and quality**: Its seed contain 1.9% essential oil which was 18.5 and 11.7 % higher than RF-205 and RF-101, respectively. Its essential oil contain 57.5% Anethol + Estyragol which is 22.4% higher than RF-205(Table 6a and 6b).

### Conclusion

Development of variety: To effectively monitor coordinated trials, multidisciplinary teams were constituted to monitor trial-conducting centers across fennel growing areas. Monitoring and evaluation are carried out for examining the scientific conduction of trials and performance of test genotypes. The collective decisions of the monitoring team members on the acceptance/rejection of a trial are considered for monitoring reports. Based on three-year performance at multiple locations, the best performing test entry wasidentified and proposed in XXVII workshop of AICRP on spices, Calicut. Subsequently, the identified variety is released vide the Notified vide S.O.216(E)16.01.2018

**Conflicts of Interest :** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Table1:** Summary of performance of proposed fennel variety in station and coordinated trials at different locations across the country for seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

| Particulars                          | Proposed entry (AF-2) | National check RF-205 | National check RF-101 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Years of testing                     | 2010-11 to 2014-15    | 2012-13 to 2014-15    | 2010-11 to 2014-15    |
| Total yield over the locations/years | 48340.27              | 404692.92             | 42800.44              |
| No of locations/years                | 27                    | 26                    | 27                    |
| Mean                                 | 1790.4                | 1618.5                | 1585.2                |
| % increase over National of          | heck RF-205           |                       | 10.62                 |
| % increase over National of          | heck RF-101           |                       | 12.94                 |

**Table 1a:**Seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of fennel varieties in station and coordinated trials at different locations across the country

| Year    | Trial/Location            | AF-2       | RF-205           | RF-101           |
|---------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
|         |                           | (AJ-FNL-2) | (National Check) | (National Check) |
| 2010-11 | SFTNRCSS, Ajmer           | 2000.0     | NT               | 1252.8           |
| 2011-12 | SFT NRCSS, Ajmer          | 2416.0     | NT               | 1101.7           |
| 2012-13 | SFT NRCSS, Ajmer          | 4349.0     | 1342.0           | 1562.0           |
| 2014-15 | CVT NRCSS, Ajmer          | 1303.0     | 1862.5           | 1630.7           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Hisar                 | 1760.0     | 1692.4           | 1685.5           |
| 2013-14 |                           | 1785.0     | 2782.0           | 2804.0           |
| 2014-15 |                           | 1832.4     | 1198.1           | 1537.0           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Jobner                | 3322.0     | 1892.5           | 1735.1           |
| 2013-14 |                           | 1730.0     | 1205.0           | 875.0            |
| 2014-15 |                           | 2068.5     | 1220.0           | 1189.0           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Jagudan               | 1285.0     | 1202.0           | 1212.0           |
| 2013-14 | _                         | 1290.0     | 1093.0           | 1127.0           |
| 2014-15 |                           | 1219.0     | 1100.0           | 1097.0           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Kumarkanj             | 1336.      | 1048.0           | 1041.0           |
| 2013-14 | •                         | 1288.0     | 1971.0           | 3504.0           |
| 2014-15 |                           | 1236.0     | 1424.0           | 1319.0           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Jabalpur              | 1618.0     | 1424.0           | 615.0            |
| 2014-15 |                           | 1233.0     | 1880.0           | 1050.0           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Dholi                 | 1023.0     | 592.5            | 1314.0           |
| 2013-14 |                           | 1037.0     | 662.0            | 1268.0           |
| 2014-15 |                           | 1333.3     | 976.0            | 1527.8           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Pantnagar             | 1898.0     | 2733.0           | 2037.0           |
| 2013-14 |                           | 1736.1     | 2430.5           | 2592.5           |
| 2014-15 |                           | 1745.1     | 2541.1           | 2254.7           |
| 2012-13 | CVT Navasari              | 1300.0     | 1617.0           | 1450.0           |
| 2013-14 |                           | 2627.3     | 2425.9           | 2013.8           |
| 2014-15 |                           | 2569.4     | 2148.1           | 2004.6           |
|         | Mean                      | 1790.38    | 1618.517         | 1585.201         |
| % inc   | crease over National chec | kRF-205    | 10.62            |                  |
| % inc   | crease over National chec | kRF-101    |                  | 12.94            |

Table 2. Average Seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of proposed fennel variety with checks in CVTs

| Particular                           | Proposed entry | National check | National check |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                      | (AF-2)         | RF-205         | RF-101         |
| Years of testing                     | 2012-15        | 2012-15        | 2012-15        |
| Total yield over the locations /year | 43924.27       | 40462.92       | 40445.94       |
| No of locations /year                | 25             | 25             | 25             |
| Mean                                 | 1757.0         | 1618.5         | 1617.8         |
| % increase over National checkRF-205 | 5              |                | 8.55           |
| % increase over National checkRF-101 |                |                | 8.60           |

**Table 3.** Average performance of fennel varieties tested in coordinated trial among the 12 entries for seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

| Entries    | Code   | Average<br>seed yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | % higher than National check RF-205 | % higher than<br>National check<br>RF-101 | Rank |
|------------|--------|---|-------------------------------------|---|------|
| AJ-FNL-2   | FNL-47 | 1757.0  | 8.55                                | 8.6                                       | 1    |
| AF 05-1-3  | FNL-48 | 1667.5  | 3.03                                | 3.07                                      | 2    |
| UF-157     | FNL-49 | 1534.4  | -5.19                               | -5.15                                     | 9    |
| UF-278     | FNL-50 | 1602.5  | -0.99                               | -0.95                                     | 6    |
| HF-119     | FNL-51 | 1608.5  | -0.62                               | -0.58                                     | 5    |
| HF-147     | FNL-52 | 1538.9  | -4.92                               | -4.88                                     | 8    |
| NDF-45     | FNL-53 | 1478.4  | -8.66                               | -8.62                                     | 11   |
| NDF-46     | FNL-54 | 1448.1  | -10.53                              | -10.49                                    | 12   |
| JF-671-1   | FNL-55 | 1488.1  | -8.06                               | -8.02                                     | 10   |
| JF-674-1   | FNL-56 | 1558.2  | -3.73                               | -3.69                                     | 7    |
| RF-205 Ch. |        | 1618.5  | 0                                   | 0.04                                      | 3    |
| RF-101 Ch. |        | 1617.8  | 0.04                                | 0   | 4    |

**Table 4.** Performance of proposed genotypes for *Ramularia* blight disease reaction in station trials at NRCSS Ajmer during 2012-13 and 2014-15

| S.No. | Name of entries                 | 2012 - 13 | 2014 - 15 | Average |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 1     | AJ-FNL-2 (AF-2)(Proposed entry) | 24        | 20        | 22      |
| 2     | RF-125                          | 69        | 48        | 45.5    |
| 3     | RF-101                          | 65        | 42        | 46.5    |

**Table 4a.** Performance of proposed genotypes for *Ramularia* blight disease reaction in station trials at NRCSS Ajmer during 2012-13 and 2014-15

| S.No. | Name of entries                 | 2012-13 | 2014-15 | Average |
|-------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1     | AJ-FNL-2 (AF-2)(Proposed entry) | 18      | 20      | 23.5    |
| 2     | RF-205                          | 44      | 38      | 41      |
| 3     | RF-101                          | 46.7    | 36      | 41.35   |

Int. J Seed Spice,13 (1 & 2), January & July 2023

Table 3. Quality attributes of the proposed variety ACel-2 tested at NRCSS, Ajmer during 2010-11 and 2016-17

| Entries     | Code   |         | Hisar           |         |         | Jobner  |                        |          | Jagudan | _                       | ¥       | Kumarganj | įc      |
|-------------|--------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
|             |        | 2012-13 | 2012-13 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 2012-132013-14 | 2012-132 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 | 2012-13 | 2013-14   | 2014-15 |
| AJ-FNL-2    | FNL-47 | 1760    | 1785            | 1832.4  | 3322    | 1730    | 2068.52                | 1285     | 1290    | 1219                    | 1336    | 1288      | 1236    |
| AF 05-1-3   | FNL-48 | 1866    | 1859.2          | 1868.5  | 2652    | 1722.22 | 2279.63                | 1156     | 1324    | 877                     | 1249    | 1249      | 1187    |
| UF-157      | FNL-49 | 1315    | 1574.5          | 1626.3  | 3426    | 1433.33 | 2357.41                | 1054     | 1277    | 1378                    | 1023    | 1062      | 666     |
| UF-278      | FNL-50 | 1396    | 1531.4          | 1586.2  | 2859    | 1370.37 | 2359.26                | 857      | 953     | 1068                    | 1145    | 1152      | 1090    |
| HF-119      | FNL-51 | 1883    | 1865.5          | 1652.4  | 3085    | 929.63  | 2374.07                | 1104     | 1241    | 696                     | 1076    | 1083      | 1020    |
| HF-147      | FNL-52 | 1985    | 1742.6          | 1695.8  | 2830    | 1037.04 | 1825.93                | 865      | 1188    | 825                     | 1180    | 1145      | 1083    |
| NDF-45      | FNL-53 | 1549    | 2062.3          | 2195.4  | 2456    | 948.15  | 1707.41                | 710      | 1042    | 820                     | 1065    | 1270      | 1208    |
| NDF-46      | FNL-54 | 1567    | 2176.6          | 2286.6  | 2074    | 1122.22 | 1751.85                | 692      | 1038    | 765                     | 1215    | 1254      | 1194    |
| JF-671-1    | FNL-55 | 1344    | 1599.8          | 1482.5  | 2419    | 1042.59 | 2085.19                | 1299     | 1340    | 1549                    | 1082    | 1103      | 1041    |
| JF-674-1    | FNL-56 | 1431    | 1506.5          | 1627.4  | 2644    | 1103.7  | 2231.48                | 1221     | 1308    | 1748                    | 1215    | 1194      | 1131    |
| RF-205 Ch   |        | 1342    | 1862.5          | 1692.4  | 2782    | 1198.15 | 1892.5                 | 1205     | 1220    | 1202                    | 1093    | 1100      | 1048    |
| RF-101 Ch.  | _•     | 1562    | 1630.7          | 1685.5  | 2804    | 1537.04 | 1735.1                 | 875      | 1189    | 1212                    | 1127    | 1097      | 1041    |
| CD (b=0.05) | 2)     | 62      | 55.8            | 55.2    | 440     | 190.1   | 343.03                 | 158      | 183     |                         | 134     | 29        |         |
| CN (%)      |        | 7.24    | 2.9             | 1.9     | 9.46    | 8.72    | 995                    | 9.26     | 9.11    |                         | 4.28    | 3.01      |         |
|             |        |         |                 |         |         |         |                        |          |         |                         |         |           |         |

Table 2a (contd.): Seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of fennel varieties in coordinated trial at different location across the country during 2012-13 to 2014-15

| Entries     | Code   | Ajr     | Ajmer   |         | Jabalpur |         |         | Dholi   |         |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|             |        | 2012-13 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14  | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| AJ-FNL-2    | FNL-47 | 4349    | 1303.0  | 1618    | 888      | 1233    | 1023    | 1037    | 1333.3  |
| AF 05-1-3   | FNL-48 | 2124    | 1751.7  | 1549    | 829      | 1468    | 1259    | 1236.1  | 1541.7  |
| UF-157      | FNL-49 | 1929    | 1352.0  | 855     | 277      | 898     | 482     | 476.9   | 773.2   |
| UF-278      | FNL-50 | 2278    | 1581.3  | 1090    | 999      | 948     | 1597    | 1393.5  | 1699.1  |
| HF-119      | FNL-51 | 2111    | 1470.3  | 643     | 543      | 752     | 1144    | 1120.4  | 1425.9  |
| HF-147      | FNL-52 | 2041    | 1388.3  | 619     | 641      | 620     | 1380    | 1363.7  | 1662.0  |
| NDF-45      | FNL-53 | 2183    | 1339.8  | 416     | 321      | 810     | 1232    | 1189.8  | 1476.9  |
| NDF-46      | FNL-54 | 1797    | 1390.3  | 347     | 310      | 865     | 1273    | 1240.7  | 1527.8  |
| JF-671-1    | FNL-55 | 1931    | 1371.3  | 744     | 440      | 868     | 998     | 879.6   | 1185.2  |
| JF-674-1    | FNL-56 | 2133    | 1463.8  | 490     | 488      | 480     | 1134    | 1134.3  | 1430.6  |
| RF-205 Ch.  |        | 1971    | 1424.0  | 1424    | 840      | 1880    | 592.59  | 662     | 976.0   |
| RF-101 Ch.  |        | 3504    | 1319.0  | 615     | 999      | 1050    | 1314    | 1268    | 1527.8  |
| CD (p=0.05) |        | 248     |         | 312     | 254      |         | 233     | 168.8   |         |
| CV (%)      |        | 7.42    |         | 6.46    | 5.82     |         | 7.82    | 8.96    |         |

**Table 2a (contd.):** Seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of fennel varieties in coordinated trial at different location across the country during 2012-13 to 2014-15

| Entries     | Code   |         | Pantnagar |         |         | Navasari |         |
|-------------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
|             |        | 2012-13 | 2013-14   | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14  | 2014-15 |
| AJ-FNL-2    | FNL-47 | 1898    | 1736.11   | 1745.16 | 1300    | 2627.3   | 2569.4  |
| AF 05-1-3   | FNL-48 | 1854    | 2199.08   | 2245.18 | 1633    | 1789.4   | 1747.7  |
| UF-157      | FNL-49 | 2037    | 2268.52   | 2310.23 | 1368    | 2625.0   | 2490.7  |
| UF-278      | FNL-50 | 2327    | 2500      | 2356.86 | 1368    | 1777.8   | 1777.8  |
| HF-119      | FNL-51 | 3044    | 2754.63   | 2513.26 | 1400    | 1773.2   | 1777.8  |
| HF-147      | FNL-52 | 2104    | 2430.56   | 2481.3  | 1433    | 1768.5   | 1777.8  |
| NDF-45      | FNL-53 | 1826    | 2407.41   | 2318.98 | 1203    | 1782.4   | 1740.7  |
| NDF-46      | FNL-54 | 1944    | 2129.63   | 2111.02 | 1340    | 1555.6   | 1546.3  |
| JF-671-1    | FNL-55 | 2176    | 2615.74   | 2662    | 1283    | 1601.9   | 1601.9  |
| JF-674-1    | FNL-56 | 2493    | 2476.85   | 2477.23 | 1613    | 1615.7   | 1652.8  |
| RF-205 Ch.  |        | 2733    | 2430.56   | 2541.19 | 1617    | 2425.9   | 2148.1  |
| RF-101 Ch.  |        | 2037    | 2592.59   | 2254.72 | 1450    | 2013.9   | 2004.6  |
| CD (p=0.05) |        | 315     | 240.9     |         | 195     | 241.9    |         |
| CV (%)      |        | 6.48    | 5.93      |         | 5.48    | 7.34     |         |

Table 4b.Performance of proposed genotypes as per Ramularia blight disease reaction in CVTS at NRCSS Ajmer

| S.No. | Name of entries                 | 2012 - 13 | 2014-15 | Average |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 1     | AJ-FNL-2 (AF-2)(Proposed entry) | 18        | 20      | 23.5    |
| 2     | RF-205                          | 44        | 38      | 41      |
| 3     | RF-101                          | 46.7      | 36      | 41.35   |

Table 5. Mean of Ancillary data for the proposed variety tested in CVT during 2012-13 to 2014-15

| Entries                   | AF-2 (AJ-FNL-2) | RF-205 (C) | RF-101 (C) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Character                 |                 |            |            |
| 50% flowering             | 98.6            | 95.6       | 94.6       |
| Plant height (cm)         | 156.2           | 147.8      | 142.4      |
| No. of branches per plant | 8.6             | 8.9        | 8.3        |
| Umbel per plant           | 35              | 38.2       | 36.1       |
| Umbellate per umbel       | 27.8            | 25.5       | 24.6       |
| Seeds per umbellate       | 25              | 23.8       | 21.9       |
| Seeds per umbel           | 429.2           | 411.4      | 439.5      |
| Test weight (g)           | 6.2             | 6.2        | 6.2        |
| Days to maturity          | 175             | 173        | 175        |

Table 6. Essential oil (%) content in seed of the proposed variety tested at NRCSS, at CVT Ajmer during 2014-15

| S.No. | Name of entries                 | Essential oil | % higher than | % higher    |
|-------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
|       |                                 | %             | RF-205        | than RF-101 |
| 1     | AJ-FNL-2 (AF-2)(Proposed entry) | 1.9           | 18.5          | 11.7        |
| 2     | RF-205                          | 1.6           |               |             |
| 3     | RF-101                          | 1.7           |               |             |

Table 6a. Profiling of essential oil of the proposed variety tested at NRCSS, Ajmer in CVT during 2014-15

| Compound in essential oil | AF-2 (AJ-FNL-2) | RF-205 (C) | RF-101 (C) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Alpha Pinene              | 0.223           | 0.05       | 0.034      |
| Camphene                  | 0.029           | 0.01       | 0.012      |
| Beta Pinene               | 0.022           | 0.008      | 0.009      |
| Myrecene                  | 0.111           | 0.046      | 0.05       |
| Cymene/ Cymol             | 0.022           | 0.014      | 0.012      |
| Gama Terpinene            | 2.056           | 1.369      | 0.541      |
| 4-allyl Anisole           | 40.051          | 49.51      | 22.01      |
| Geraniol                  | 0.016           | 1.804      | 0.081      |
| Anethol+Estyragol         | 57.456          | 46.941     | 77.176     |
| Geranyl Acetate           | 0.011           | 0.247      | 0.074      |
|                           |                 |            |            |

**Table 6b.** Essential oil yield (I ha<sup>-1</sup>) of proposed fennel variety in CVT at Jobner centre (*Rabi* 2012-13 to 2014-15)

| S.No | Name of entries     | AF-2<br>(AJ-FNL-2) | NC-1 RF-<br>205 | NC-2 RF-<br>101 | % higher<br>than<br>RF-205 | % higher than RF-101 |
|------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1.   | 2012-13 CVT,Jobner  | 68.66              | 59.34           | 67.29           |                            |                      |
| 2.   | 2013-14 CVT,Jobner  | 42.66              | 27.96           | 35              |                            |                      |
| 3.   | 2014-15 CVT, Jobner | 26.2               | 25.24           | 26.61           |                            |                      |
| 4.   | Mean                | 45.84              | 37.51           | 43.08           | 22.2                       | 6.4                  |

Table 7. Characteristics of AF-2 for identification

| S.No | Characteristics                   | States          | Note | Example varieties | Stage of observation (Days after sowing) |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------|-------------------|--|
| 1    | 2                                 | 3               | 4    | 5                 | 6  |
| 1.   | Plant height                      | Medium          | 3    | AF-2              | 40                                       |
|      | -                                 | Tall            | 5    |                   |  |
| 2.   | Foliage intensity of green colour | Very light      | 1    |                   | 20                                       |
|      |                                   | Light           | 3    | AF-2              |  |
|      |                                   | Medium          | 5    |                   |  |
|      |                                   | Dark            | 9    |                   |  |
| 3.   | Fully bloom leaf foliage density  | Less            | 3    |                   | 10                                       |
|      |                                   | Medium          | 5    |                   |  |
|      |                                   | Dense           | 7    | AF-2              |  |
| 4.   | King umbel base leaf              | Droopy          | 3    |                   | 30                                       |
|      |                                   | Straight        | 5    | AF-2              |  |
| 5.   | Foliage attitude                  | Erect           | 3    |                   | 40                                       |
|      |                                   | Semi-erect      | 5    | AF-2              |  |
|      |                                   | Spreading       | 7    |                   |  |
| 6.   | Foliage density                   | Sparse          | 3    |                   | 40                                       |
|      |                                   | Medium          | 5    |                   |  |
|      |                                   | Dense           | 7    | AF-2              |  |
| 7.   | Leaf density of feathering        | Loose           | 1    |                   | 30                                       |
|      |                                   | Medium          | 3    | AF-2              |  |
|      |                                   | Dense           | 5    |                   |  |
| 8.   | Fully bloom king umbel leaf       | With petiole    | 1    | AF-2              | 40                                       |
|      |                                   | Without petiole | 9    |                   |  |
| 9.   | Stem girth                        | Thick<4.5       | 1    | AF-2              | 40                                       |
|      |                                   | Thin >4.5       | 9    |                   |  |
| 10.  | 1 <sup>st</sup> internode length  | Short           | 1    | AF-2              | 30                                       |
|      |                                   | Long            | 9    |                   |  |

### References

Abubacker, A.T.N. 2011. Export Value of Fennel, October (2011), Facts for You, pp 21-22.

Bruyas-Bertholon, V., Lachaux, A., Dubois, J.P., Fourneret, P. and Letrilliart, L. 2012. Essential oil composition and antifungal activity of *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. Obtained by different distillation condition. *Agri. Food Chemi.*,54(18):6814-6820.

Choudhary, S., Sharma, R., Meena, R.S. and Verma, A. K. 2018. Molecular Diversity Analysis in Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill) Genotypes and its Implications for Conservation and Crop Breeding. *Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 7(3):794-809.

Choudhary, S., Meena, R.S., Jethra, G., Sharma, R., and Panwar, A. 2015. Optimised methodology for high quality DNA isolation fromLeaves and seeds of fennel (*foeniculum vulgare*). *J Plant Develop. Sci.*, 7(2):173-175.

Choudhary, S., Pereira, A., Basu, S. and Verma, A.K.

2017. Differential Antioxidant composition and Potential of some commonly used Indian Spices. *J Agri. Search* 4(3):160-166.

Lucinewton, S., Raul, N., Carvalho, J., Mirian, B., Lin, C. and Angela, A. 2005. Supercritical fluid extraction from fennel, (*Foeniculum vulgare*), global yield, composition and kinetic data. *J Super. Fluid.*, 35:212-219.

Oktay, M., Gulcin, I. and Kufrevioglu. O.I. 2003. Determination of in vitro antioxidant activity of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) seed extracts. *LWT-food Sci. Tech.*, 36(2): 263-271.

Sharma, M., Choudhary, S., Gupta, S.K., Meena, R.S. and Meena, R.D. 2020. Estimation of Morphological and Molecular Variability in Induced Mutant Fennel Lines. *J Agri Search*, 7(1):01-07.

Verma, A.K., Sharma, S., Kakani, R.K., Meena, R.D. and Choudhary, S. 2017. Gamma radiation

effects seed germination, plant growth and yield attributing characters of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). *Int. J Curr. Microbiol*. *App. Sci.*, 6(5):2448-2458.

Verma, A.K., Dhanasekar, P., Choudhary, S., Meena, R.D. and Lal, G. 2018. Estimation of induced variability in  $M_2$  generation of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). *J Pharm. Phyto.*, 7(1):430-436.

Volak, J. and Stodola, J. 1998. The illustrated book of herbs. 2nd ed. London, p. 12-24.