#### **Short Communication**

### Journal of Cereal Research

Volume 17 (1): 105-111

Homepage: http://epubs.icar.org.in/ejournal/index.php/JWR

# Influence of Foliar Applications of Synthetic Compounds on Wheat Grain Quality During Terminal Heat Stress

Tribhuwan Singh<sup>1</sup>, Chandrakant Singh<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Nandeesha C.V.<sup>4</sup>, A.G. Pansuriya<sup>2</sup>

Article history: Received: 28 Jan., 2024 Revised: 27 Mar., 2025 Accepted: 5 Apr., 2025

Citation: Singh T, C Singh, Nandeesha C.V. and A.G. Pansuriya. 2025. Influence of Foliar Applications of Synthetic Compounds on Wheat Grain Quality During Terminal Heat Stress. Journal of Cereal Research 17 (1): 105-111. <a href="http://doi.org/10.25174/2582-2675/2025/159851">http://doi.org/10.25174/2582-2675/2025/159851</a>

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: chandrakant.singh07@gmail.com, ckphysio@jau.in

© Society for Advancement of Wheat and Barley Research

Climate change and global warming have a direct impact on agricultural production as well as on quality by increasing the frequency and magnitude of several stresses. Cereals are mostly affected by this changing environment of Earth and have effect adverse effect on yield and quality. The high temperature during the flowering and grain filling stages can cause considerable damage to the wheat reproductive system, leading to a reduction in the grain yield (Farooq et al., 2011; Jamil et al., 2019; Matsunaga et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2022). Wheat under harsh terminal heat stress has serious impairments in the photosynthetic process and starch metabolism (Cao et al., 2019). Due to the incomplete transit of photosynthates it causes denaturation/aggregation of critical enzymes linked with pathways and the creation of tiny starch granules in the endospermic tissue (Kumar et al., 2018). Due to domestication and its role as the world's main crop for staple foods, wheat is placed first among important cereals (Iqbal et al., 2021). It also contains a lot of vitamins, minerals, and fibre aside from the carbohydrates. In addition to disrupting the ratios of amylose to amylopectin in the endospermic tissues, it has been discovered that elevated environmental temperature significantly lowers the nutritional density of the grains. Under HS, even the cereals' storage proteins' quality is severely diminished (Kumar et al., 2018). In wheat, heat stress limits resource

translocation to developing grains (Al Masruri *et al.*, 2023), causing a reduction in grain size (Kamara *et al.* 2021), grain yield (Zhao *et al.*, 2020), kernel appearance (Jamil *et al.*, 2017), the functional properties of wheat grain protein (Zhao *et al.*, 2022), starch (Mahdavi *et al.*, 2022), minerals (Panigrahi *et al.*, 2022), kernel hardening (Elhadi *et al.*, 2021; Mahdavi *et al.*, 2022), and baking quality (Fleitas *et al.*, 2020; Mahdavi *et al.*, 2022). Heat stress generates complex changes at the genetic level that influence the physiological and biochemical pathways of wheat quality. Several researchers reported the effect of heat stress and climate change on wheat quality parameters (Fleitas *et al.*, 2020; Nagy-Réder *et al.*, 2021; Helal *et al.*, 2022; Mahdavi *et al.*, 2022; Panigrahi *et al.*, 2022; Zahra *et al.*, 2023).

Terminal heat stress affects the late-planted wheat crop during the anthesis and grain filling period (Hays *et al.*, 2007). Farmers, particularly those who are growing wheat in the same field after harvesting of the previous crop, are facing this problem very frequently because they often get compelled to sow the wheat under delayed conditions after harvesting of long long-duration previous crop and it also effects the quality of grain. When salt concentrations or temperatures are adverse, osmoprotectants help to increase osmotic pressure in the cytoplasm and can also stabilise proteins and membranes (Yancey, 1994). Mitigation of terminal heat stress improved by



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, COA, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Wheat Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Sr. Scientist, ICAR- Directorate of Weed Research, Jabalpur, MP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, ASPEE College of Agriculture, JAU, Khapat

exogenous application of various synthetic compounds. These comprises of inorganic salts like potassium nitrate, sulfhydryl compounds like thiourea, thioglycolic acid (TGA), dithiothreitol (DTT), secondary metabolites like putrescine and salicylic acid and NO donor like sodium nitroprusside (SNP). Literature says that these compounds mitigate the adverse effects of high temperature stress in plants through various mechanisms like preventing the degradation of chlorophyll, reducing electrolytic leakage from cells etc. and it also increases the quality of wheat grain *viz.* protein, starch, gluten, moisture, sedimentation value and hectolitre weight.

To determine the role of osmo-protectant in response to mitigate terminal heat stress and their effect on quality of wheat grain was conducted at Wheat Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (21.5° N latitude and 70.5° E longitudes) during rabi season, 2019-20. At the site of experiment soil was medium black and calcareous varying from 25 to 75 cm in depth. The annual temperature ranges from 15°C in winter to 45°C in summer with an average minimum of 17.90 C and maximum of 34.8° C. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with three replications. The dimension of each sub-plot was  $2.5 \text{ m} \times 1.0 \text{ m}$ . The wheat crop was sown on 18th December, 2019 which is considered as late-sown. In this study, two wheat cultivars, viz., GW 11 and GW 496 were taken as main-plot treatment and foliar application of synthetic compounds viz., thiourea (TU), sodium nitroprusside (SNP), potassium nitrate (KNO<sub>2</sub>), thioglycolic acid (TGA), dithiothreitol (DTT), salicylic acid (SA) and Putrescine were randomized in the subplots with two different concentrations. These synthetic compounds applied at flowering and grain filling stages. Quality characters of wheat such as protein (%), moisture (%), starch (%), gluten (%) and sedimentation value (ml) were determined by Infratec<sup>TM</sup> 1241 Grain Analyzer at Main Wheat Research Station, Vijapur (Gujarat).

The data regarding quality characters as influenced by genotype, treatments and their interaction effects are presented in Table 1 and Table 2. The data indicated that wheat genotypes and treatments and their interaction

found significant influence on protein (%). The higher and lower protein (%) was found in the genotype GW-11 (12.6750 %) and GW-496 (12.0313 %) respectively. Among foliar treatments T5 (SNP @400µg/ml), T6 (SNP @800μg/ml) and T8 (DTT@50ppm) which was 12.45 (%); while significantly lowest protein (%) was recorded in no spray (control) T15 (12.30 %). But in interactions highest protein (%) was found in genotype GW-11 with T1 (Thiourea@20mM) (13.00 %) while lowest protein (%) was found in T1 (Thiourea@20mM) (11.80 %) of GW-496. Genotypes found non-significant influence on moisture (%) but treatments have significant effect on moisture (%). The highest moisture (%) was found in the treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Salicylic acid@200ppm) (11.25 %); while significantly the lowest moisture (%) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (Thiourea@40mM) and T<sub>3</sub> (KNO3@1%) which was 10.70 (%). And for treatment and genotype interactions shows highest moisture (%) in genotype GW-496 with T<sub>10</sub> (Salicylic acid@200ppm) and T<sub>11</sub> (TGA@200ppm), which was 11.60 (%); while lowest moisture (%) found in  $T_9$  (Thiourea@40mM) (10.50 %) of GW-11 and  $T_9$ (KNO<sub>3</sub>@1%) (10.50 %) of GW-496. The highest starch (%) was found in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Thiourea@40mM) and T3 (KNO<sub>3</sub>@1%) which was 63.45 (%); while significantly the lowest starch (%) was recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (Putrescine@4mM) (62.80 %). While for effect of genotype on starch (%) shows nonsignificant results but starch (%) showed a significant interaction effect between genotype and treatments. Interaction was highest for starch (%) in genotype GW-496 with T<sub>3</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub>@1%) (64.40 %); while the lowest starch (%) was found in  $T_5$  (SNP @400µg/ml) (61.80 %) of GW-11.

Effect of genotype on gluten (%) shows nonsignificant results but treatment shows significant results with highest gluten (%) was found in the treatment  $\rm T_3$  (KNO $_3$ @1%) and  $\rm T_8$  (DTT@50ppm) which was 31.90 (%); while significantly the lowest gluten (%) was recorded in no spray (control)  $\rm T_{15}$  (31.40 %). Furthermore, interactions showed significant results and it was highest in genotype GW-11 with  $\rm T_4$  (KNO $_3$ @2%) (32.90 %); while lowest gluten (%) found in  $\rm T_1$  (Thiourea@20mM) and  $\rm T_7$  (DTT@25ppm) which was 30.70 (%) of GW-496.



**Table 1.** Ameliorative response of different synthetic compounds on protein (%), moisture (%) and starch (%) of wheat genotypes under terminal heat stress

| Genotypes and Treatments              | Protein % | Moisture % | Starch % |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Genotypes                             |           |            |          |  |  |  |  |
| V <sub>1</sub> : GW 11                | 12.6750   | 10.8438    | 62.7125  |  |  |  |  |
| V <sub>2</sub> : GW 496               | 12.0313   | 11.0250    | 63.5125  |  |  |  |  |
| S.Em. ±                               | 0.0505    | 0.0773     | 0.4463   |  |  |  |  |
| CD (p=0.05)                           | 0.3072    | NS         | NS       |  |  |  |  |
| Т                                     | reatments |            |          |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{_1}$ - Thiourea@20mM              | 12.40     | 10.80      | 63.25    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_2$ - Thiourea@40mM                 | 12.40     | 10.70      | 63.45    |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> - KNO <sub>3</sub> @1% | 12.40     | 10.70      | 63.45    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_4$ - KNO $_3$ @2%                  | 12.40     | 10.85      | 63.05    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_5$ - SNP@400µg/ml                  | 12.45     | 11.00      | 62.90    |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> - SNP@800μg/ml         | 12.45     | 10.75      | 63.35    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{7}$ DTT@25ppm                     | 12.30     | 10.95      | 62.95    |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> - DTT@50ppm            | 12.45     | 10.95      | 63.05    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_9$ - Salicylic acid@100ppm         | 12.25     | 10.95      | 63.05    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{10}$ - Salicylic acid@200ppm      | 12.35     | 11.25      | 62.85    |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>11</sub> - TGA@200ppm          | 12.25     | 11.20      | 62.95    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{12}$ - TGA@250ppm                 | 12.20     | 11.00      | 63.15    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{_{13}}$ - Putrescine@4mM          | 12.35     | 11.15      | 62.80    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{14}$ - Putrescine@6mM             | 12.35     | 11.05      | 63.05    |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{15}$ - No spray(control)          | 12.30     | 10.85      | 63.25    |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>16</sub> - Spray of water      | 12.35     | 10.80      | 63.25    |  |  |  |  |
| S.Em. ±                               | 0.0007    | 0.0011     | 0.0019   |  |  |  |  |
| CD (p=0.05)                           | 0.0019    | 0.0030     | 0.054    |  |  |  |  |

| Quality parameters                      | Prote | Protein % |       | Moisture % |       | Starch % |  |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|------------|-------|----------|--|
| Interaction (T x V)                     | V1    | V2        | V1    | V2         | V1    | V2       |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> - Thiourea@20mM          | 13.00 | 11.80     | 10.70 | 10.90      | 62.50 | 64.00    |  |
| ${\rm T_2}$ - Thiourea@40mM             | 12.70 | 12.10     | 10.50 | 10.90      | 63.40 | 63.50    |  |
| $T_3$ - KNO $_3$ @1%                    | 12.90 | 11.90     | 10.90 | 10.50      | 62.50 | 64.40    |  |
| ${ m T_4}	ext{-}~{ m KNO_3} @2\%$       | 12.90 | 11.90     | 10.90 | 10.80      | 62.10 | 64.00    |  |
| $T_5$ - SNP@400 $\mu$ g/ml              | 12.90 | 12.00     | 11.20 | 10.80      | 61.80 | 64.00    |  |
| $T_6$ - SNP@800 $\mu$ g/ml              | 12.80 | 12.10     | 10.80 | 10.70      | 62.80 | 63.90    |  |
| $T_{7}$ DTT@25ppm                       | 12.70 | 11.90     | 11.00 | 10.90      | 62.30 | 63.60    |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> - DTT@50ppm              | 12.80 | 12.10     | 11.10 | 10.80      | 62.20 | 63.90    |  |
| T <sub>9</sub> - Salicylic acid@100ppm  | 12.40 | 12.10     | 10.90 | 11.00      | 62.70 | 63.40    |  |
| T <sub>10</sub> - Salicylic acid@200ppm | 12.60 | 12.10     | 10.90 | 11.60      | 62.80 | 62.90    |  |
| T <sub>11</sub> - TGA@200ppm            | 12.60 | 11.90     | 10.80 | 11.60      | 63.00 | 62.90    |  |
| T <sub>12</sub> - TGA@250ppm            | 12.40 | 12.00     | 10.70 | 11.30      | 63.20 | 63.10    |  |



| $T_{_{13}}$ - Putrescine@4mM | 12.50  | 12.20 | 11.00  | 11.30 | 62.70  | 62.90 |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| $T_{14}$ - Putrescine@6mM    | 12.60  | 12.10 | 10.70  | 11.40 | 63.10  | 63.00 |
| $T_{15}$ - No spray(control) | 12.60  | 12.00 | 10.70  | 11.00 | 63.00  | 63.50 |
| $T_{16}$ - Spray of water    | 12.40  | 12.30 | 10.70  | 10.90 | 63.30  | 63.20 |
| S.Em. ±                      | 0.0010 |       | 0.0015 |       | 0.0027 |       |
| CD (p=0.05)                  | 0.0027 |       | 0.0042 |       | 0.0077 |       |

**Table 2.** Ameliorative response of different synthetic compounds on gluten (%), sedimentation value (ml) and hectolitre weight (kg/hl) of wheat genotypes under terminal heat stress

| Genotypes and Treatments            | Gluten (%) | Sedimentation value (ml) | Hectolitre weight (kg/hl) |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Genotypes                           |            |                          |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| V <sub>1:</sub> GW 11               | 32.3563    | 45.1250                  | 76.9938                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| $V_{2:}$ GW 496                     | 31.0188    | 41.8750                  | 78.7188                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.Em. ±                             | 0.2241     | 0.1780                   | 0.3179                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (p=0.05)                         | NS         | 1.0829                   | NS                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                     | Trea       | atments                  |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${\rm T_{I}}$ - Thiourea@20mM       | 31.75      | 44.50                    | 78.60                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_2$ - Thiourea@40mM               | 31.65      | 46.00                    | 77.75                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_3$ - KNO $_3$ @1%                | 31.90      | 46.00                    | 77.55                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${\rm T_4}$ - KNO $_3$ @2%          | 31.75      | 43.50                    | 76.05                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_5$ - SNP@400µg/ml                | 31.65      | 44.00                    | 78.50                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_6^-$ SNP@800 $\mu$ g/ml          | 31.70      | 45.00                    | 77.60                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{7}^{-}$ DTT@25ppm               | 31.55      | 42.50                    | 77.90                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_8$ - DTT@50ppm                   | 31.90      | 42.50                    | 78.40                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${\rm T_9}$ - Salicylic acid@100ppm | 31.55      | 42.50                    | 78.15                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{10}$ - Salicylic acid@200ppm    | 31.75      | 43.00                    | 78.55                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>11</sub> - TGA@200ppm        | 31.70      | 41.50                    | 77.65                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>12</sub> - TGA@250ppm        | 31.65      | 42.00                    | 78.45                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{13}$ - Putrescine@4mM           | 31.75      | 42.50                    | 77.65                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{14}$ - Putrescine@6mM           | 31.60      | 43.50                    | 77.30                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>15</sub> - No spray(control) | 31.40      | 44.00                    | 78.45                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{16}$ - Spray of water           | 31.75      | 43.00                    | 77.15                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.Em. ±                             | 0.0012     | 0.0070                   | 0.0032                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (p=0.05)                         | 0.0034     | 0.0197                   | 0.0090                    |  |  |  |  |  |

| Quality parameters             | Glute | en (%) | Sedimentation value (ml) |               | Hectolitre weight (kg/hl) |       |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Interaction (T x V)            | V1    | V2     | V1                       | $\mathbf{V}2$ | V1                        | V2    |
| T <sub>1</sub> - Thiourea@20mM | 32.80 | 30.70  | 48.00                    | 41.00         | 76.80                     | 80.40 |
| $T_2$ - Thiourea@40mM          | 32.40 | 30.90  | 49.00                    | 43.00         | 77.00                     | 78.50 |
| $T_{3}$ - KNO $_{3}$ @1%       | 32.70 | 31.10  | 47.00                    | 45.00         | 76.80                     | 78.30 |
| $T_4$ - KNO $_3$ @2%           | 32.90 | 30.60  | 45.00                    | 42.00         | 75.60                     | 76.50 |
| $T_{5}$ - SNP@400 $\mu$ g/ml   | 32.50 | 30.80  | 45.00                    | 43.00         | 77.70                     | 79.30 |



| T <sub>6</sub> - SNP@800µg/ml    | 32.20 | 31.20 | 45.00  | 45.00  | 76.90  | 78.30 |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| $T_{7}$ - DTT@25ppm              | 32.40 | 30.70 | 44.00  | 41.00  | 77.50  | 78.30 |
| $T_8$ - DTT@50ppm                | 32.70 | 31.10 | 43.00  | 42.00  | 77.70  | 79.10 |
| $T_9$ - Salicylic acid@100ppm    | 31.90 | 31.20 | 43.00  | 42.00  | 77.80  | 78.50 |
| $T_{10}$ - Salicylic acid@200ppm | 32.60 | 30.90 | 45.00  | 41.00  | 77.70  | 79.40 |
| T <sub>11</sub> - TGA@200ppm     | 32.40 | 31.00 | 44.00  | 39.00  | 76.30  | 79.00 |
| $T_{12}$ - TGA@250ppm            | 32.00 | 31.30 | 44.00  | 40.00  | 77.00  | 79.90 |
| $T_{13}$ - Putrescine@4mM        | 32.30 | 31.20 | 43.00  | 42.00  | 76.90  | 78.40 |
| $T_{14}$ - Putrescine@6mM        | 32.10 | 31.10 | 46.00  | 41.00  | 76.40  | 78.20 |
| $T_{15}$ - No spray(control)     | 31.90 | 30.90 | 47.00  | 41.00  | 77.50  | 79.40 |
| T <sub>16</sub> - Spray of water | 31.90 | 31.60 | 44.00  | 42.00  | 76.30  | 78.00 |
| S.Em. ±                          | 0.0   | 017   |        | 0.0099 | 0      | .0045 |
| CD (p=0.05)                      | 0.0   | 049   | 0.0289 |        | 0.0127 |       |

The data indicated that wheat genotypes had a significant influence on sedimentation value (ml). The higher and lower value was found in the genotype GW-11 (45.1250 ml) and GW-496 (41.8750 ml) respectively. And effect of treatments shows highest sedimentation value (ml) was in the treatment  $\rm T_2$  (Thiourea@40mM) and  $\rm T_3$  (KNO $_3$ @1%) which was 46.00 (ml); while significantly the lowest sedimentation value (ml) was recorded in  $\rm T_{11}$  (TGA@200ppm) (41.50 ml); while interaction was higher for sedimentation value (ml) in genotype GW-11 with  $\rm T_2$  (Thiourea@40mM) (49.00 ml); but lowest sedimentation value (ml) found in  $\rm T_{11}$  (TGA@200ppm) (39.00 ml) of GW-496.

The highest hectolitre weight (kg/hl) was found in the treatment  $\rm T_1$  (Thiourea@20mM) (78.60 kg/hl); while significantly the lowest hectolitre weight (kg/hl) was recorded in  $\rm T_4$  (KNO $_3$ @2%) (76.05 kg/hl) while for genotype and treatment interaction it shows higher hectolitre weight (kg/hl) in genotype GW-496 with  $\rm T_1$  (Thiourea@20mM) (80.40 kg/hl); while lowest hectolitre weight (kg/hl) found in  $\rm T_4$  (KNO $_3$ @2%) (75.60 kg/hl) of GW-11. But the effect of genotype on hectolitre weight (kg/hl) shows non-significant results.

The quality character of wheat was adversely affected by high temperatures. The variation of some quality traits it could be attributed to grain filling process that is harmfully affected by high temperatures and grains reaching to maturity stage before complete filling (Subedi et al., 2007). Quality characters such as protein (%), gluten (%), and sedimentation value (ml) were higher in heat-tolerant variety (GW-11) than heat susceptible variety (GW-496). The favourable growing condition during

timely sown crop induces more of an increase in nitrogen accumulation than in dry matter leading to higher protein content. Similar results have been reported earlier by Jat *et al.* (2013). But moisture (%), starch (%) and hectolitre weight (kg/hl) was more in GW-496 than GW-11.

The data shows that protein content of grain was significantly influenced as a result of all synthetic compounds treatments as compared with control (no spray) and there was a significant difference between treatments and all the treatments increased the quality of grain over control. The highest value of grain protein content was obtained from foliar application of SNP @400µg/ml, SNP @800µg/ml, and DTT@50ppm. This increase in protein content might be the outcome of increased concentration of nitrogen in the grain of wheat by foliar application of synthetic compounds which promote protein synthesis, similar findings was observed by Kousar *et al.* (2018) and Sofy (2015).

High moisture content leads to storage problems because it encourages fungal and insect problems, respiration and germination. However, moisture content in the growing crop is naturally high and only starts to decrease as the crop reaches maturity and the grains are drying. The moisture content of wheat grain was significantly lowest in treatment thiourea@40mM and KNO $_3$ @1%. Slow settling (higher sedimentation values) indicates a high protein quantity and stronger gluten protein. Sedimentation values usually correlate positively with protein content and loaf volume. So, a higher sedimentation value represents a greater volume of baked bread. Starch content and sedimentation value were significantly highest in



treatments thiourea@40mM and KNO $_3$ @1%. Gluten as the miraculous net that holds bread together; it helps dough rise by trapping gas bubbles during fermentation and gives bread its unique texture for bread making gluten content is important. The gluten content of wheat grain was significantly highest in KNO $_3$ @1% and DTT@50ppm. While hectolitre weight is a good indication of grain-soundness and the higher the hectoliter weight of wheat, the higher the amount of dry matter and flour yield. Hectoliter weight was found to be significantly highest in thiourea@20mM.

Based on current study, it can be concluded that terminal heat stress adversely affects the grain quality of the both wheat genotypes. While the susceptible genotype more affected by terminal heat stress but tolerance genotype not affected as much, so that protein (%), gluten (%) and sedimentation value were higher in heat tolerant genotype (GW-11). When different synthetic compounds applied on plant for heat stress mitigation, it also alters grain quality like protein (%) and gluten (%) were recorded as highest in (SNP, DTT) and (KNO2, DTT) respectively; while starch and sedimentation value were recorded as highest in thiourea and KNO<sub>3</sub>. For hectolitre weight highest value was recorded in thiourea treatment. So based on all the observations, we can reveal that these osmoprotectants not only increase the heat stress mitigation but are also beneficial to plants for quality increment.

#### **Author Contributions**

CK and TS conceived and designed the research. TS and NCV conducted the experiment and collected the data. TS, CK and NCV did the statistical analysis. TS wrote the primary draft of the manuscript. CK and AGP reviewed and improved the manuscript.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

Authors declare no conflict of interest

#### **Ethical Approval**

The article doesn't contain any study involving ethical approval.

## Generative AI or AI/Assisted Technologies use in Manuscript Preparation

No

#### References

- AL Masruri MHK, A Ullah, M Farooq. 2023. Application of nano chitosan-glycine betaine for improving bread wheat performance under combined drought and heat stresses. *Journal of Soil* Science and Plant Nutrition 23(3), 3482-3499.
- Cao Z, X Yao, H Liu, B Liu, T Cheng, Y Tian, W Cao, Y Zhu. 2019. Comparison of the Abilities of Vegetation Indices and Photosynthetic Parameters to Detect Heat Stress in Wheat. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 265, 121–136.
- 3. Elhadi GMI, NM Kamal, YSA Gorafi, Y Yamasaki, Y Ban, K Kato, T Isa, T Ishii, H Tanaka, H Tsujimoto. 2021. Novel Loci for kernel hardness appeared as a response to heat and combined heat-drought conditions in wheat harboring *Aegilops Tauschii* diversity. *Agronomy* 11, 1061.
- Fleitas MC, S Mondal, GS Gerard, N Hernández-Espinosa, RP Singh, J Crossa, C Guzmán. 2020. Identification of CIMMYT spring bread wheat germplasm maintaining superior grain yield and quality under heat-stress. *Journal of Cereal Science* 93:102981.
- Farooq M, H Bramley, JA Palta, KHM Siddique. 2011. Heat stress in wheat during reproductive and grain filling phases. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 30:491–507.
- Hays NM, JH Do, RE Mason, G Morgan, SA Finlayson. 2007. Heat stress induced ethylene production in developing wheat grains induces kernel abortion and increased maturation in a susceptible cultivar. *Plant Science*, 172(6), 1113-1123.
- Helal NM, HI Khattab, MM Emam, G Niedbała, T Wojciechowski, I Hammami, NM Alabdallah, DBE Darwish, MM El-Mogy, HM Hassan. 2022. Improving yield components and desirable eating quality of two wheat genotypes using Si and nano Si particles under heat stress. *Plants* 11:1819.
- 8. Iqbal MA, R Junaid, N Wajid, H Sabry, K Yassir, S Ayman. 2021. Rainfed winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars respond differently to integrated fertilization in Pakistan. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin* 30, 3115–3121.



- 9. Jat LK, SK Singh, AM Latare, RS Singh, CB Patel. 2013. Effect of dates of sowing and fertilizer on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in an Inceptisol of Varanasi. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 58(4): 611-614.
- 10. Jamil M, A Ali, A Ghafoor, KF Akbar, AA Napar, NH Naveed, NA Yasin, A Gul, A Mujeeb-Kazi. 2017. Digital image analysis of seed shape influenced by heat stress in diverse bread wheat germplasm. Pakistan Journal of Botany 49:1279-1284
- Jamil M, A Ali, A Gul, A Ghafoor, AA Napar, AM Ibrahim, NH Naveed, NA Yasin, A Mujeeb-Kazi.
   2019. Genome-wide association studies of seven agronomic traits under two sowing conditions in bread wheat. BMC Plant Biology 19:1–18.
- 12. Kamara MM, KM Ibrahim, E Mansour, AM Kheir, MO Germoush, D Abd El-Moneim, MI Motawei, AY Alhusays, MA Farid, M Rehan. 2021. Combining ability and gene action controlling grain yield and its related traits in bread wheat under heat stress and normal conditions. Agronomy 11:1450.
- 13. Kumar RR, M Tasleem, M Jain, S Ahuja, S Goswami, S Bakshi, S Jambhulkar, SD Singh, GP Singh, H Pathak, C Viswanathan, S Praveen. 2019. Nitric oxide triggered defense network in wheat: Augmenting tolerance and grain-quality related traits under heat-induced oxidative damage. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 158, 189–204.
- Kousar R, R Qureshi, Jalaluddin, M Munir, G Shabbir. 2018. Salicylic acid mediated heat stress tolerance in selected bread wheat genotypes of Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 50(6): 2141-2146.
- Matsunaga S, Y Yamasaki, Y Toda, R Mega, K Akashi, T Hjijoms. 2021. Stage-specific characterization of physiological response toheat stress in the wheat cultivar Norin 61. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22:6942.
- Mahdavi S, A Arzani, SM Maibody, M Kadivar. 2022. Grain and flour quality of wheat genotypes grown under heat stress. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 29:103417.

- Foliar compounds and wheat grain quality under heat stress
- 17. Nagy-Réder D, Z Birinyi, M Rakszegi, F Békés, G Gell. 2021. The Effect of abiotic stresses on the protein composition of four Hungarian wheat varieties. *Plants* 11:1.
- Panigrahi S, YK Pankaj, V Kumar, R Kumar, SK Singh. 2022. Studies on effects of terminal heat stress on yield stability, grain iron and zinc contents in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Genetics* and Plant Breeding 82:289–298.
- 19. Sofy MR. 2015. Application of salicylic acid and zinc improves wheat yield through physiological processes under different levels of irrigation intervals. *International Journal of Plant Research*, 5(5): 136-156.
- 20. Subedi KD, BL Ma, AG Xue. 2007. Planting date and nitrogen effects on grain yield and protein content of spring wheat. *Crop Science*, 47: 36-44.
- 21. Ullah A, F Nadeem, A Nawaz, KHM Siddique, M Farooq. 2022. Heat Stress effects on the reproductive physiology and yield of wheat. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 208:1–17.
- 22. Yancey PH. 1994. Compatible and counteracting solutes in cellular and molecular physiology of cell volume regulation. *CRC Press Boca Raton*, pp 81-109
- 23. Zhao W, L Liu, Q Shen, J Yang, X Han, F Tian, J Wu. 2020. Effects of water stress on photosynthesis, yield, and water use efficiency in winter wheat. *Water* 12:2127.
- 24. Zhao K, Y Tao, M Liu, D Yang, M Zhu, J Ding, X Zhu, W Guo, G Zhou, C Li. 2022. Does temporary heat stress or low temperature stress similarly affect yield, starch, and protein of winter wheat grain during grain filling? *Journal of Cereal Science* 103:103408.
- 25. Zahra N, MB Hafeez, A Wahid, MH Al Masruri, A Ullah, KHM Siddique, M Farooq. 2023. Impact of climate change on wheat grain composition and quality. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 103:2745–2751.

