# Effect of Seed Treatments, Packaging Materials and Storage Conditions on Seed Longevity in Onion (*Allium cepa* I.)

## SONDARVA JYOTI\*, PATEL JB, VAGHASIYA KP AND VORA DV

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agriculture University Junagadh – 362001, Gujarat, India

#### \*sondarvajyoti6568@gmail.com

(Received March 2023; Revised April 2023; Accepted April 2023)

**ABSTRACT:** An investigation was carried out from summer 2020 onwards to evaluate the effect of moisture resistant containers and treatments with botanicals on the storability of onion seeds stored at ambient and cold storage conditions. The experimental material comprising three different factors, storage conditions [S<sub>1</sub>: Ambient storage condition and S<sub>2</sub>: Cold storage condition  $(10 + 2^{\circ}C)$ ]; packaging materials (P<sub>1</sub>: Plastic storage box, P<sub>2</sub>: Air tight plastic container, P<sub>3</sub>: Aluminium foil bag and P<sub>4</sub>: Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing); and seed treatments (T<sub>1</sub>: Control, T<sub>2</sub>: Neem seed Kernel powder 5g/kg, T<sub>3</sub>: Sweet flag rhizome powder 5g/kg and T<sub>4</sub>: Black pepper powder 5g/kg) was carried out in Junagadh, Gujarat for 14 months. Germination percentage and other quality parameters evaluated at every 2 month's interval decreased gradually, while seed moisture content with increased in storage period. Seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing after seed treatment with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg seed and stored in cold storage condition recorded the highest germination percentage and vigour, followed by seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing after seed treatment with black pepper powder @ 5g/kg and stored in cold storage condition recorded the highest germination percentage and vigour, followed by seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing after seed treatment with black pepper powder @ 5g/kg and stored in cold storage condition after fourteen months of storage period.

Keywords: Longevity, Onion, Packaging materials, Seed treatments, Storage Conditions

#### INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) belongs to genus *Allium* under the *family Alliaceae*. According to a recent classification based on cladistics, genomics and molecular polygenetic relationships, onion is a monophyletic monocot under clad *Liliales* [1]. Onion is a cross pollinated monocoat grown in cool climate. It is an important crop across the globe and has been used in various forms as food and for medicinal purposes as fresh, cooked and dehydrated forms.

Being hygroscopic in nature, the viability and vigour of seeds under storage are known to be regulated by variations in the physico-chemical factors, initial seed quality, storage structure and packaging materials *etc* [2]. To combat these factors effectively, storing the seeds in moisture vapour proof containers like polythene bag, aluminium foils, tins or any sealed containers are found to be more useful in maintaining the desired quality for longer period, unlike those stored in moisture pervious containers like cloth bag and gunny bag [3]. A knowledge of proper storage of onion seeds under ambient temperature, relative humidity and at relatively low cost

with minimum deterioration in quality for a period of at least one or more seasons will be of immense use to seed industry and farming community.

The main purpose of seed storage is to preserve economic crops from one season to another. Major constraints in onion cultivation are the limited availability of vigorous seeds at the time of sowing due to poor storability under fluctuating ambient temperatures and higher relative humidity. The rate of loss of seed viability is mainly a function of temperature and seed moisture content [4]. Seed moisture affect storability more than the temperature. The speed of declined seed quality is largely dependent on temperature, seed moisture, length of storage, type of seed and storage containers [5]. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out to study the influence of seed treatments, packaging materials and storage conditions on storability of onion seeds

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was initiated in the summer 2020 at laboratory of Department of Seed Science and

Technology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. The initial germination percentage of seeds at the time of seed treatment was 98.00 per cent. Onion seeds were treated with four different seed treatments viz. T1: Control, T2: Neem seed kernel powder 5g/kg, T<sub>3</sub>: Sweet flag rhizome powder 5g/kg, and T<sub>4</sub>: Black pepper powder 5g/kg. The treated seeds were packed in four different packaging materials viz., P1: Plastic storage box, P<sub>2</sub>: Air tight plastic container, P<sub>3</sub>: Aluminium foil bag, and P<sub>4</sub>: Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing. The treated seeds after packing in different containers were stored in two different storage conditions viz., S1: Ambient storage condition and S<sub>2</sub>: Cold storage (10 + 2°C). The observations viz., germination percentage, seedling length (cm), seedling dry weight (mg), seedling vigour index I, seedling vigour index II and seed moisture content (%) were recorded at two months interval as per ISTA standards (up to germination went down below 70%) i.e. up to 14 months. The data were analyzed using Completely Randomized Design (factorial) as per the method suggested by Cochran and Cox [6].

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

It was found that, germination and all vigour parameters, viz. seedling length, seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index I and seedling vigour index II decreased steadily with increased in storage period (Table 1-5), while seed moisture content in the seeds were increased gradually with increased in storage period (Table 6).

#### Effect of storage conditions

Irrespective of different packaging materials and seed treatments, storage conditions exhibited significant difference for germination, seedling length, seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index I, seedling vigour index II and seed moisture content for different storage period. After fourteen months of storage period, significantly the maximum germination (76.40%), seedling length (6.44 cm), seedling dry weight (7.89 mg), seedling vigour index I (493.91) and seedling vigour index II (606.26) was recorded in S<sub>2</sub> (cold storage condition) in comparison to S<sub>1</sub> (ambient storage condition) (69.79%, 4.47 cm, 6.81 mg, 314.65 and 479.19, respectively). After fourteen months of storage period, significantly the lower seed moisture content (7.81%) was recorded in  $S_2$  (cold storage condition) in comparison to S<sub>1</sub> (ambient storage condition) (9.31%). The results are in agreement with the findings of [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17] and [18].

The onion seeds packed in different containers and stored in cold storage condition gained moisture content and this is evidenced by rise in moisture content from initial moisture content of 6.05 to 7.81 per cent. This was due to prevalence of higher RH or vapour pressure and low temperature in cold storage. The seeds stored in ambient condition also gained moisture content and it is evidenced by rise in moisture content to 9.31 per cent from 6.27 per cent due to prevalence of higher vapour pressure (Table 6). The seeds stored in cold storage condition (S<sub>2</sub>)

Factor	2 months after storage	4 months after storage	6 months after storage	8 months after storage	10 months after storage	12 months after storage	14 months after storage
 S <sub>1</sub>	95.83	94.63	91.38	84.31	78.08	73.08	69.79
S <sub>2</sub>	96.56	95.83	93.31	89.71	83.00	79.40	76.40
S.Em+	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.36	0.39	0.49	0.50
C.D. at 5%	0.48	0.54	0.62	1.01	1.11	1.37	1.40
P <sub>1</sub>	95.25	94.33	91.29	85.92	79.46	73.50	70.21
P <sub>2</sub>	96.50	95.67	92.67	87.25	80.75	76.29	73.00
P <sub>3</sub>	95.50	94.50	91.83	86.75	80.13	74.83	71.83
P <sub>4</sub>	97.54	96.42	93.58	88.13	81.83	80.33	77.33
S.Em+	0.24	0.27	0.31	0.50	0.56	0.69	0.70
C.D. at 5%	0.68	0.77	0.88	1.42	1.58	1.94	1.98
T <sub>1</sub>	95.33	94.25	90.38	84.04	77.67	72.29	68.71
T <sub>2</sub>	96.50	95.63	93.17	88.17	81.67	77.79	74.79
T <sub>3</sub>	97.29	96.38	93.75	88.71	82.21	78.33	75.33
T <sub>4</sub>	95.67	94.67	92.08	87.13	80.63	76.54	73.54
S.Em+	0.24	0.27	0.31	0.50	0.56	0.69	0.70
C.D. at 5%	0.68	0.77	0.88	1.42	1.58	1.94	1.98

Table 1. Effect of storage conditions, packaging materials and seed treatments on germination (%) in onion seeds during storage

## 28 Jyoti et al.

Seed Res. 51 (1): 26-33, 2023

Table 2.	Effect of	storage conditions,	packaging m	naterials and	seed treat	nents on seedlir	ng length	(cm) ir	n onion seeds	during storage
----------	-----------	---------------------	-------------	---------------	------------	------------------	-----------	---------	---------------	----------------

Factor	2 months after storage	4 months after storage	6 months after storage	8 months after storage	10 months after storage	12 months after storage	14 months after storage
S <sub>1</sub>	12.63	10.44	10.32	9.16	8.43	7.71	4.47
S <sub>2</sub>	13.09	12.36	11.92	10.59	9.41	8.45	6.44
S.Em+	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04
C.D. at 5%	0.26	0.21	0.23	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.10
P <sub>1</sub>	12.49	11.06	10.81	9.54	8.56	7.78	5.24
P <sub>2</sub>	12.98	11.49	11.22	9.88	8.97	8.06	5.49
P <sub>3</sub>	12.62	11.25	10.97	9.80	8.83	8.08	5.36
P <sub>4</sub>	13.33	11.81	11.49	10.29	9.33	8.43	5.73
S.Em+	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.05
C.D. at 5%	0.36	0.29	0.32	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.14
T <sub>1</sub>	11.21	9.86	9.43	8.32	7.54	6.77	4.30
T <sub>2</sub>	13.87	12.31	12.10	10.81	9.78	8.79	6.25
T <sub>3</sub>	14.11	12.60	12.36	11.10	10.12	9.27	6.56
T <sub>4</sub>	12.25	10.83	10.60	9.28	8.25	7.50	4.71
S.Em+	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.05
C.D. at 5% S x T	0.36	0.29	0.32	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.14
$S_1T_1$	10.85	8.91	8.56	7.54	7.20	6.40	3.43
$S_1T_2$	13.75	11.32	11.33	10.11	9.20	8.26	5.21
$S_1T_3$	13.96	11.64	11.59	10.37	9.54	8.90	5.53
$S_1T_4$	11.95	9.89	9.81	8.64	7.80	7.29	3.72
$S_2T_1$	11.58	10.82	10.30	9.10	7.88	7.15	5.17
$S_2T_2$	13.99	13.30	12.86	11.51	10.36	9.32	7.28
$S_2T_3$	14.25	13.56	13.13	11.84	10.70	9.63	7.60
$S_2T_4$	12.55	11.78	11.40	9.92	8.70	7.71	5.69
S.Em+	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.07
C.D. at 5% P x T	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.29	NS
$P_1T_1$	11.03	10.01	9.66	8.37	7.48	6.63	4.27
$P_1T_2$	13.23	11.69	11.48	10.19	9.18	8.29	5.78
$P_1T_3$	13.94	12.39	12.07	10.78	9.76	8.87	6.37
$P_1T_4$	11.78	10.14	10.03	8.84	7.83	7.32	4.54
$P_2T_1$	11.46	9.87	9.45	8.04	7.42	6.60	4.18
$P_2T_2$	14.02	12.48	12.27	10.98	9.92	8.91	6.50
$P_2T_3$	13.99	12.55	12.33	11.04	10.01	9.13	6.56
$P_2T_4$	12.47	11.05	10.84	9.48	8.51	7.59	4.72
$P_3T_1$	10.84	9.55	9.09	8.36	7.59	7.06	4.40
$P_3T_2$	13.94	12.37	12.16	10.87	9.84	8.67	6.08
P <sub>3</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	14.08	12.45	12.23	10.94	9.92	9.25	6.32
$P_3T_4$	11.64	10.62	10.41	9.02	7.98	7.32	4.63
$P_4T_1$	11.53	10.03	9.53	8.52	7.67	6.81	4.35
$P_4T_2$	14.28	12.68	12.49	11.20	10.18	9.29	6.63
$P_4T_3$	14.42	13.01	12.80	11.66	10.78	9.82	7.00
$P_4T_4$	13.12	11.52	11.14	9.78	8.68	7.79	4.94
S.Em+	0.26	0.21	0.23	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.10
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	0.44	NS	0.40	0.29

Table 3. Effect of storage of	conditions, packagir	g materials and seed	treatmentson seedling	dry weight (mg) in	onion seeds during storage
-------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------	----------------------------

Factor	2 months after storage	4 months after storage	6 months after storage	8 months after storage	10 months after storage	12 months after storage	14 months after storage
S <sub>1</sub>	32.52	28.49	24.90	18.81	15.03	9.94	6.81
S <sub>2</sub>	33.86	30.85	27.25	21.53	18.64	11.85	7.89
S.Em+	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.05
C.D. at 5%	0.26	0.36	0.38	0.34	0.25	0.21	0.13
P <sub>1</sub>	32.71	29.03	25.43	19.56	16.23	10.37	6.84
P <sub>2</sub>	33.37	29.92	26.32	20.43	17.12	11.15	7.60
P <sub>3</sub>	32.73	29.39	25.79	19.85	16.57	10.65	7.07
P <sub>4</sub>	33.94	30.35	26.75	20.83	17.42	11.40	7.89
S.Em+	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.07
C.D. at 5%	0.37	0.51	0.53	0.48	0.36	0.30	0.19
T <sub>1</sub>	31.87	28.37	24.78	18.61	15.15	9.11	5.54
T <sub>2</sub>	33.71	30.09	26.49	20.65	17.41	11.53	8.00
T <sub>3</sub>	34.54	31.05	27.45	21.66	18.33	12.40	8.88
T <sub>4</sub>	32.63	29.17	25.58	19.74	16.44	10.53	6.97
S.Em+	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.07
C.D. at 5%	0.37	0.51	0.53	0.48	0.36	0.30	0.19

recorded higher germination compared to ambient storage condition (S<sub>1</sub>) throughout out the storage period of 14 months. Seeds stored in cold storage have retained the germination above certification standard up to 14 months during the storage, while seeds stored in ambient condition retained germination up to minimum seed certification standard (70%) up to 12 months (Table 1). Seeds preserved in the cold storage maintained higher seed quality because of lower respiration rate and metabolic activity as it is evidenced by higher germination (76.40%) at the end of 14 months of storage period. [19] have also observed higher germination up to three years in onion seeds, when the moisture content was maintained from 6.0 to 6.8 per cent (dry treatment) or 3.6 to 3.7 per cent (ultra dry treatment) and stored under a temperature of 2-20°C. [9, 12 and 19] reported that onion seeds stored in the cold storage maintained higher vigour, which supports the present findings on seed vigour (Table 4-5). Higher rate of germination is an indication of higher vigour. Seeds preserved in cold storage  $(S_2)$ recorded higher seedling length, seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index I and seedling vigour index II compared to ambient storage condition  $(S_1)$ , mainly due to lower respiration rate and metabolic activity, which were governed by lower temperature during the storage period in onion [19 and 9].

### Effect of packaging materials

Irrespective of storage conditions and seed treatments, different packaging materials exerted significant

difference for germination, seedling length, seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index I, seedling vigour index II and seed moisture content during different storage period (Table 1-6). After fourteen months of storage, significantly the maximum germination (77.33%), seedling length (5.73 cm), seedling dry weight (7.89 mg), seedling vigour index I (447.60) and seedling vigour index II (613.67) was recorded in seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing (P<sub>4</sub>) and it was followed by seeds packed in air tight plastic container (P<sub>2</sub>) with germination of 73.00 per cent, seedling length of 5.49 cm, seedling dry weight of 7.60 mg, seedling vigour index I of 405.82 and seedling vigour index II of 559.45 (Table 1-5). Significantly the minimum germination (70.21%), seedling length (5.24 cm), seedling dry weight (6.84 mg), seedling vigour index I (373.58) and seedling vigour index II (485.87) was recorded in seeds packed in plastic storage box (P1). After fourteen months of storage period, significantly the minimum seed moisture content (8.35%) was recorded in seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing (P<sub>4</sub>) and it was followed by seeds packed in air tight plastic container (P2) with seed moisture content of 8.60 per cent (Table 6). Significantly the maximum seed moisture content (8.65%) was recorded in seeds packed in plastic storage box  $(P_1)$ . The similar results are in line with the findings of [5, 9 -14,18 20 and 21].

Seeds packed in plastic storage box recorded significantly higher moisture content (8.65%) compared to Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing (8.35%) after 14 months of storage. Seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum

## 30 Jyoti et al.

Seed Res. 51 (1): 26-33, 2023

Table	94.	E	ffec	t of	fst	orage	e co	onditi	ons,	pack	aging	g ma	terial	s and	seed	treatment	s on	seedli	ng v	rigour	index	l in o	nion s	seeds	during	storag	е
											<u> </u>	<u> </u>							<u> </u>	0							

Factor	2 months after storage	4 months after storage	6 months after storage	8 months after storage	10 months after storage	12 months after storage	14 months after storage
S <sub>1</sub>	1211.13	988.93	945.16	775.34	660.29	566.29	314.65
S <sub>2</sub>	1265.14	1185.71	1113.89	951.71	782.66	673.36	493.91
S.Em+	8.86	7.19	7.34	5.91	4.79	4.26	2.85
C.D. at 5%	25.03	20.32	20.73	16.71	13.54	12.03	8.06
P <sub>1</sub>	1190.67	1044.29	989.18	823.96	682.65	575.32	373.58
P <sub>2</sub>	1253.79	1100.49	1042.09	866.51	726.84	617.85	405.82
P <sub>3</sub>	1206.54	1064.68	1009.58	853.20	709.68	606.34	390.12
P₄	1301.54	1139.82	1077.26	910.45	766.73	679.80	447.60
S.Em+	12.53	10.17	10.38	8.36	6.78	6.02	4.04
C.D. at 5%	35.40	28.73	29.32	23.63	19.15	17.01	11.40
T <sub>1</sub>	1069.25	930.14	853.24	701.99	586.41	490.49	298.00
T <sub>2</sub>	1338.35	1177.55	1128.05	954.92	800.22	685.76	470.64
	1372.36	1215.07	1159.55	987.17	833.12	727.45	497.85
T₄	1172.59	1026.53	977.26	810.03	666.16	575.61	350.62
S.Em+	12.53	10.17	10.38	8.36	6.78	6.02	4.04
C.D. at 5%	35.40	28.73	29.32	23.63	19.15	17.01	11.40
SxT							
$S_1T_1$	1031.12	832.65	766.36	604.67	534.80	445.29	224.43
$S_1T_2$	1320.91	1077.20	1044.72	867.94	731.65	619.61	375.56
$S_1T_3$	1354.07	1114.81	1075.55	895.43	762.80	672.35	400.69
S₁T₄	1138.43	931.06	894.02	733.33	611.91	527.93	257.92
$S_2T_1$	1107.38	1027.63	940.12	799.31	638.02	535.69	371.57
$S_2T_2$	1355.79	1277.90	1211.39	1041.90	868.79	751.90	565.72
$S_2T_3$	1390.66	1315.32	1243.55	1078.90	903.44	782.56	595.02
$S_2T_4$	1206.74	1122.00	1060.50	886.73	720.40	623.29	443.32
S.Em+	17.72	14.38	14.68	11.83	9.58	8.52	5.71
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	16.13
РхТ							
$P_1T_1$	1043.86	935.96	859.11	687.71	568.53	460.63	281.64
$P_1T_2$	1265.74	1107.54	1056.81	889.61	740.13	628.07	421.58
$P_1T_3$	1338.30	1181.60	1123.41	952.17	795.96	679.02	470.38
$P_1T_4$	1114.81	952.06	917.38	766.34	625.98	533.56	320.73
$P_2T_1$	1092.25	932.83	854.03	679.26	577.23	478.53	287.99
$P_2T_2$	1355.13	1200.54	1153.39	975.09	816.15	694.49	488.22
$P_2T_3$	1371.01	1218.06	1159.69	982.52	825.56	714.47	494.96
$P_2T_4$	1196.77	1050.53	1001.23	829.16	688.43	583.90	352.11
$P_3T_1$	1026.73	892.10	822.26	710.52	594.50	508.61	306.13
$P_3T_2$	1337.61	1177.94	1127.17	956.41	799.99	663.49	450.34
$P_3T_3$	1360.09	1191.48	1138.45	964.79	807.32	708.69	469.54
$P_3T_4$	1101.73	997.23	950.42	781.07	636.90	544.58	334.47
$P_4T_1$	1114.16	959.68	877.54	730.47	605.37	514.21	316.24
$P_4T_2$	1394.93	1224.18	1174.84	998.57	844.62	756.98	522.42
$P_4T_3$	1420.05	1269.13	1216.63	1049.19	903.63	807.63	556.54
$P_4T_4$	1277.03	1106.29	1040.01	863.56	713.32	640.40	395.18
S.Em+	25.06	20.34	20.76	16.73	13.55	12.04	8.07
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	38.29	34.03	22.81

packing showed lower rate of increasing in moisture content, which indicated the impervious nature of this container. Similar observations were made in previous studies in onion [2, 5 and 9]. Seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing recorded higher germination (77.33%), which is more than minimum seed certification standard after 14 months, while in plastic storage box, it was 70.21 per cent after 14 months. This is in accordance with the findings of [2], who have reported higher germination and vigour after seven years when onion seeds cv. Nasik dried upto 6.5 per cent moisture content and packed in aluminium foil. Similar findings have been reported by [5], [9] and [12] in onion. Seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing recorded higher seedling length, seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index I and seedling vigour index II compared to seed packed in other containers. These results are in accordance with earlier reports [2, 5, 9 and 12] in onion.

#### Effect of seed treatments

Irrespective of storage conditions and different packaging materials, seed treatments exhibited significant difference for germination, seedling length, seedling dry weight, seedling vigour index I and seedling vigour index II, and non significant difference for seed moisture content during different storage period. After fourteen months of storage period, significantly the maximum germination (75.33%), seedling length (6.56 cm), seedling dry weight (8.88 mg), seedling vigour index I (497.85) and seedling vigour index

#### Onion seed storage 31

II (671.39)was recorded in seeds treated with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg seed (T<sub>3</sub>) and it was statistically at par with seeds treated with neem seed kernel powder @ 5g/kg seed  $(T_2)$  with germination of 74.79 per cent, seedling length of 6.25 cm, seedling dry weight of 8.00 mg, seedling vigour index I of 470.64 and seedling vigour index II of 600.27, while seed treatments recorded significantly the minimum values for these traits (68.71%, 4.30 cm, 5.54 mg, 298.00 and 383.37, respectively) in control (T<sub>1</sub>) (table 1-5). After fourteen months of storage period, the minimum seed moisture content (8.49%) was recorded in seeds treated with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg seed ( $T_3$ ) and it was followed by seeds treated with neem seed kernel powder @ 5g/kg seed (T<sub>2</sub>) and black pepper powder @ 5g/kg seed (T<sub>4</sub>) with seed moisture content of 8.51 per cent and 8.54 per cent, respectively. The maximum seed moisture content (8.68%) was recorded in control  $(T_1)$  (Table 6). The results are in accordance with the findings of [9], [12], [17] and [20].

The effect of seed treatments was significant after 14 months of storage. Among the seed treatments, on an average, after 14 months of seed storage, significantly higher values were recorded by all the seed treatments over the control. However, after fourteen months of storage period, significantly the maximum germination (75.33%) was recorded in seeds treated with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg seed ( $T_3$ ) and it was statically at par with seeds treated with neem seed Kernel powder

Factor	2 months after storage	4 months after storage	6 months after storage	8 months after storage	10 months after storage	12 months after storage	14 months after storage	
S <sub>1</sub>	3117.82	2696.92	2276.96	1588.69	1176.45	730.16	479.19	
S <sub>2</sub>	3270.41	2958.27	2544.40	1932.67	1549.08	943.30	606.26	
S.Em+	11.11	14.33	13.66	12.21	9.62	6.03	3.80	
C.D. at 5%	31.40	40.49	38.58	34.50	27.17	17.04	10.73	
P <sub>1</sub>	3116.87	2740.14	2325.62	1687.96	1296.79	768.92	485.87	
P <sub>2</sub>	3221.60	2864.28	2441.42	1788.08	1388.86	855.29	559.45	
P <sub>3</sub>	3126.66	2778.73	2370.20	1726.05	1332.79	802.15	511.90	
P <sub>4</sub>	3311.32	2927.23	2505.47	1840.63	1432.62	920.56	613.67	
S.Em+	15.72	20.27	19.31	17.27	13.60	8.53	5.37	
C.D. at 5%	44.40	57.25	54.56	48.79	38.43	24.10	15.17	
T <sub>1</sub>	3039.02	2675.88	2241.24	1571.47	1183.90	660.92	383.37	
T <sub>2</sub>	3253.23	2877.60	2469.21	1823.89	1425.90	900.06	600.27	
T <sub>3</sub>	3361.28	2993.73	2575.12	1924.46	1511.45	974.93	671.39	
T <sub>4</sub>	3122.93	2763.16	2357.14	1722.90	1329.82	811.01	515.87	
S.Em+	15.72	20.27	19.31	17.27	13.60	8.53	5.37	
C.D. at 5%	44.40	57.25	54.56	48.79	38.43	24.10	15.17	

Table 5. Effect of storage conditions, packaging materials and seed treatments on seedling vigour index II in onion seeds during storage

			<i>.</i> .									· · · · ·				
Table		tto ot	ot otorogo		000100000	motoriolo	<u>and</u> <u>aaa</u> a	l trootmonto	$\sim \sim $	I manuatura	0000000	/0/ \	10 00100	00000	dunna	otorogo
12010	<b>.</b> .				11-11-16-21111111	mananale :					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2/01		Capito	( )	SILIALE
	<b>U</b> . L		or alorade	, conunona.	Dagaania	THALCHARD (				I I I O I O I O I O I O	COLICIE	1 / 0 /			uumu	JULIAUL
												( /				
			-												_	-

Factor	2 months	4 months	6 months	8 months	10 months	12 months	14 months
	after storage						
S <sub>1</sub>	6.27	6.77	6.97	7.64	8.01	8.81	9.31
S <sub>2</sub>	6.05	6.55	6.72	6.95	7.01	7.61	7.81
S.Em+	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06
C.D. at 5%	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.16
P <sub>1</sub>	6.22	6.74	6.92	7.37	7.59	8.29	8.65
P <sub>2</sub>	6.19	6.70	6.88	7.33	7.54	8.24	8.60
P <sub>3</sub>	6.21	6.72	6.90	7.35	7.57	8.27	8.63
P <sub>4</sub>	6.03	6.50	6.69	7.13	7.32	8.02	8.35
S.Em+	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08
C.D. at 5%	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.23
T <sub>1</sub>	6.29	6.79	6.97	7.42	7.63	8.33	8.68
T <sub>2</sub>	6.12	6.62	6.80	7.25	7.46	8.16	8.51
T <sub>3</sub>	6.09	6.60	6.78	7.23	7.44	8.14	8.49
T <sub>4</sub>	6.15	6.65	6.83	7.28	7.49	8.19	8.54
S.Em+	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08
C.D. at 5%	NS						

@ 5g/kg seed ( $T_2$ ) with germination of 74.79 per cent. Significantly the minimum germination (68.71%) was recorded in control ( $T_1$ ). High germination is an indicator of high seedling vigour and because of that high seedling vigout index (length and mass) observed in treated seed as compared to control. This is in accordance with the findings of [3], [9] and [12] in onion.

# Interaction effect of storage conditions and packaging materials

Interaction effect of storage conditions and packaging materials (S  $\times$  P) was found non-significant for all the seed quality parameters studied during all the storage period (Table 1-6).

# Interaction effect of storage conditions and seed treatments

Interaction effect of storage conditions and seed treatments (S x T) was found non-significant for all the seed quality parameters studied during all the storage period except for seedling length at twelve months of storage period and for seedling vigour index I after fourteen months of storage (Table 1-6). At twelve months of storage period, significantly the maximum seedling length (9.63 cm) was recorded in  $S_2T_3$  (seeds treated with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg and stored in cold storage condition), while significantly the minimum seedling length (8.60 cm) was observed in  $S_1T_1$  (untreated seeds stored in ambient storage condition). After fourteen months of storage period, significantly the

maximum seedling vigour index I (595.02) was recorded in  $S_2T_3$  (seeds treated with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg and stored in cold storage condition) and the minimum seedling vigour index I (224.43) was observed in  $S_1T_1$  (untreated seeds stored in ambient storage condition).

# Interaction effects of packaging materials and seed treatments

Interaction effect of packaging materials and seed treatments (P x T) was found non-significant for all the seed quality parameters studied during all the storage period except for seedling length after 8, 12 and 14 months of storage period and for seedling vigour index I after 10, 12 and 14 months of storage (Table 1-6). After fourteen months of storage period, significantly the maximum seedling length (7.00 cm) and seedling vigour index I (556.54) were recorded in  $P_4T_3$  (seeds treated with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg and packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing). Significantly the minimum seedling length (4.18 cm) and seedling vigour index I (281.64) were recorded in  $P_2T_1$  (untreated seeds packed in air tight plastic container)

# Interaction effect of storage conditions, packaging materials and seed treatments

Interaction effect of storage condition, packing material and seed treatments on seed quality parameters was non-significant after 14 months of storage (Table 1-6). However, germination and vigour index were recorded comparatively higher in  $S_2P_4T_4$  (seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing after seed treatment with black pepper powder @ 5g/kg and stored in cold storage condition) and it was followed by S<sub>2</sub>P<sub>4</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing after seed treatment with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg and stored in cold storage condition) and  $S_2P_4T_2$ (seeds packed in Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing after seed treatment with neem seed Kernel powder @ 5g/kg and stored in cold storage condition). This was mainly due to treating the seeds with compatible organics and it played an effectively role in inhibiting the storage microflora with packing materials like Aluminium foil bag with vacuum packing acted as moisture entry barriers and also maintained the lower moisture content in the seeds. The results obtained under the present study are supported by the findings of [9] and [12] in onion.

In the present study, onion seeds packed in aluminum foil bag with vacuum packing after seed treatment with sweet flag rhizome powder @ 5g/kg seed and stored in cold storage condition was found to be the best treatment combination resulting in high germination along with other seed quality parameters even after fourteen months of ambient storage.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. SHARMA A AND SHARMA A (2006). Plant Genome: Biodiversity and Evolution, Volume 1, Part D: Phanerogams (Gymnosperm and Angiosperm-Monocotyledons).
- DOIJODE S D (1995). Effect of silica gel and storage containers on viability and vigour in onion. Seed Res., 18: 163-165.
- SINGH SN, SRIVASTAVA SK AND AGARWAL SC (1988). Viability and germination of soybean seeds in relation to pretreatments with fungicides, period of storage and type of storage container. *Trop. Agric.*, 65(2): 106-108.
- MCDONALD M B (2004). Orthodox seed deterioration and its repair. In: Benech-Arnold, R.L. and Sanchez, R.A. (Eds.), *Handbook of Seed Physiology: Applications to Agriculture*. Food Products Press® and The Haworth Reference Press, imprints of The Haworth Press, Inc., Binghamton, New York, USA.
- MOLLAH MRA, ALI MA, PRODHAN MZH, RAHMAN MM AND ALAM MJ (2016). Effect of containers on storability of true seeds of onion. *European J. Biomed. Pharma. Sci.*, 3(1): 1-4.
- COCHRAN WG AND COX GM (1957). Experimental Designs. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Wiley, New York.
- WOODSTOCK LW, MAXON S, FAUL K AND BASS LN(1983). Use of freeze-drying and acetone impregnation with natural and synthetic anti-oxidants to improve storability of onion,

pepper, and parsley seeds. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., **108**(5): 692-696.

- AMJAD MAND ANJUM MA (2002). Evaluation of physiological quality of onion seed stored for different period. *Int. J. Agri. Bio.*,4(3): 365-369.
- NAGAVENI PK (2005). Effect of storage conditions, packing material and seed treatments on viability and vigour of onion seeds. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpublished) Submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- RAO RGS, SINGH PM AND RAI M (2006). Storability of onion seeds and effects of packaging and storage conditions on viability and vigour. *Scientia Horticulturae* **110**: 1-6.
- 11. TRIPATHI PC AND LAWANDE KE (2014). Effect of seed moisture and packing material on viability and vigour of onion seed. *J. Engg. Comput. Appl. Sci.*,**3**(7): 01-04.
- PATEL JB, BABARIYA CA, SONDARVA JR, RIBADIYA KH AND BHATIYA VJ (2017). Effect of storage conditions, packing materials and seed treatments on viability and seedling vigour of onion (*Allium cepal.*) seeds. *J. Appl. Nat. Sci.*, 9(2): 1054-1067.
- BALDANIYA N, KALYANRAOKARJULE AP AND PATEL DA (2018). Effect of containers and duration on seed quality of onion under ambient storage conditions. Seed Res., 45(2): 1-4.
- KHAN AA, SARKER KU, HAQUE MM, RUBAYET MT AND MIAN IH (2018). Storage container, seed moisture level and storage condition effects on germination and prevalence of seed-borne fungi of onion seed. *Global J. Sci. Frontier Res.*, 18(3) (1): 9-16.
- GEETANJALI C, SANGEETA IM, PRASHANT SM, BASAVEGOWDA AND BELADHADI RV (2019). Effect of storage conditions on seed longevity of onion (*Allium cepaL.*). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(2): 1897-1905.
- YALAMALLE VR, GAIKWAD NN, ITHAPE DM, ASHOK KUMAR, GORREPATI K AND SINGH M (2020). Loss of seed viability in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in relation to degradation of lipids during storage. J. Appl. Nat. Sci., **12**(4): 635-640.
- LAMANI K, DESHPANDE VK, BIRADAR PATIL NK AND SHASHIDHAR TR (2022). Effect of modified atmospheric packaging on seed longevity of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Arka Kalyan. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 9(3): 198-209.
- NAIK IS, HILLI JS, UPPAR DS, PATIL RV AND NAWALAGATTI CM (2022). Effect of different containers and storage conditions on seed quality parameters during storage in onion seed (Allium cepa L.). The Pharma Inno. J., 11(12): 2187-2191.
- ELLIS RH, HONG TD. ASTELY D. AND KRAAK HL (1991). Medium term storage of dry and ultra dry seeds of onion at ambient and subzero temperature. *Onion News Letter Tropics*, 6: 56-58.
- MERSAL IF, RAZEK UA, RASHWAN EA AND ABDALAH SE (2018). Impact of pre-storage seed treatments, packing materials and storage periods on onion seed quality. *J. Plant Prod. Mansoura Univ.*, 9(7): 593-599.
- 21. SAISANTHOSH K AND BIRADARPATIL NK (2018). Effect of packaging materials and moisture content on seed storability of onion. *J. Pharmacog. Phytochem.***7**(4): 1745-1750.