

# Influence of Plant Oils and Containers on Seed Storability of *Allium Cepa* L.

LOKESH<sup>1</sup>, SS JAKHAR<sup>1</sup>, SUNIL KUMAR<sup>2\*</sup> AND BITTU RAM<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Seed Science and Technology; <sup>2</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Sirsa, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana-125004, India

\* maliksunil25@hau.ac.in

(Received: March 2020, Revised: July 2020, Accepted: October 2020)

**ABSTRACT:** An experiment was conducted to investigate the influence of plant oils and packaging materials on the longevity of onion seed (variety: Hisar Onion-4). The seeds were coated with eight plant oils seed and were kept in two containers viz., Cloth bag and Plastic zipling bag up to nine months under ambient conditions in Seed Pathology laboratory of Department of Seed Science & Technology, CCSHAU, Hisar. The samples were drawn at three month intervals for ascertaining the seed quality parameters. The seeds coated with neem oil (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) and stored in plastic zipling bag were found better for the maintenance of higher seed quality parameters [germination (66.33%), root length (3.27cm), shoot length (5.47cm), mean seedling dry weight (26.7mg), vigour indices I & II (579.4 & 1912), electrical conductivity (0.483 µS/cm/seed), mycoflora (0.643%), emergence index (6.33) and seedling establishment (54.17%)] during study period. The study suggested that the use of appropriate packaging material and seed treatment could be useful to prolong the storage life and seed health of onion seeds.

**Keywords:** Onion, containers, plant oils, seed quality, storage

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is the most important commercial crop grown all over the world and consumed in various forms. It is a condiment cum bulb vegetable which belongs to the family *Alliaceae*. The pungency in onion is due to volatile oil *Allyl propyl disulfide*. India is second largest producer of onion after China as it produces 23.28 mt of onion from 1.29 mha. Major onion producing states in India are Maharashtra (8.47mt) and Madhya Pradesh (3.72mt) [1].

Seed is the basic and vital input in agriculture. Onion seeds are short lived under ambient conditions and show orthodox storage behavior which losses viability within a year. The deterioration of stored seed is a natural phenomenon and seeds tend to lose viability even under ideal storage conditions due to ageing [2]. During storage, number of biotic and abiotic factors influences the storage potential of seeds which results in gradual deterioration and ultimately death of the seeds [3]. Every seed is a potential harbour of a wide variety of mycoflora containing both pathogenic and saprophytic microorganisms, both externally and internally [4]. As the controlled conditions involve huge cost, seed treatments remain the best alternative approach to maintain the seed quality.

In any seed production programme, storage of seeds from harvest to next planting season is of prime

importance. Sharma [5] reported that lack of awareness to seed treatment at farmer's level is one of the limiting factors in disease management. It is well known fact that the choice of materials for seed treatment, containers selected for storing the seeds and storage environment exert a positive effect on the viability and vigour of seeds in storage.

Seed treatment with fungicides is an ordinary practice for controlling the pathogens. The indiscriminate use of fungicides has lead to residual toxicity, induced resistance and environmental pollution. On the other side, biological agents like plant products provides advantage of natural, inexpensive and eco-friendly. Plant oils like neem or karanj are reported to give maximum protection against seed mycoflora by suppressing the activity and growth of pathogens [6]. These oils also have growth promoting effect through increased seedling vigour. The information on prolonging the shelf life of onion seeds under storage is very limited. Therefore, the present study entitled 'Influence of plant oils and containers on seed storability of *Allium cepa* L.' was carried out.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during 2019-20 on onion seed (Hisar Onion-4) harvested in May 2018 having

germination (81 per cent) above Indian Minimum Seed Certification Standards. The seeds were treated with plant oils @ 5ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed (T<sub>1</sub>: Castor oil, T<sub>2</sub>:Neem oil, T<sub>3</sub>: Aonla oil, T<sub>4</sub>: Til oil, T<sub>5</sub>:Linseed oil, T<sub>6</sub>: Karanj oil, T<sub>7</sub>: Akhrot oil, T<sub>8</sub>: Ajwain oil and T<sub>9</sub>: Untreated (control) and were kept in two containers [C<sub>1</sub>: Cloth bag, C<sub>2</sub>: Plastic zipling bag (40 µm)] under ambient conditions in Seed Pathology laboratory of Department of Seed Science & Technology, CCS HAU, Hisar.

The onion seeds and oils were weighed 40g and 0.2ml respectively wearing gloves, using appropriate weighing balance for each treatment. The seeds and oils were mixed in beakers and shaken for some time for uniform coating of oil all over the seeds. Then, the treated seeds were kept in different containers (cloth bag and plastic zipling bag) in the laboratory under ambient conditions. The total number of treatments was 27 with three replications.

The experiment consisted of two factors (two different packing materials as storage container were used as level factor "C" and the eight oil treatments along with control were used as level factor "T") were laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) as well as in randomized block design (RBD). Seeds were taken from each of the different containers at three months interval up to nine months and observations were recorded on seed technological parameters.

**Standard germination test (%):** Four hundred seeds of each treatment were placed in three replications in between the germination paper and placed in germinators at 25±1°C [7]. The germination was checked on first count after 6<sup>th</sup> day and final count on 14<sup>th</sup> day and normal seedlings were considered for per cent germination.

Seed germination (%) =

$$\frac{\text{Number of seeds germinated}}{\text{Total number of seeds placed for germination}} \times 100$$

Ten normal seedlings per replication were selected after final count and were measured for root and shoot lengths as usual. After measuring root and shoot lengths, seedlings were dried in hot air oven for 24 h at 80±1°C and were weighed.

Seedling vigour indices were calculated as per method of Abdul-Baki and Anderson [8].

Seed Vigour Index-I = Seed germination (%) × Average seedling length (cm)

Seed vigour Index-II = Seed germination (%) × Average dry seedling weight (mg)

Electrical conductivity of the seed leachates was measured as per ISTA [9].

Seed health test was conducted by blotter method as recommended by ISTA [10].

Three replications of one hundred seeds each were sown at three, six and nine months in factorial randomized block design, in Research Farm of Department of Seed Science and Technology for calculating following parameters.

Emergence index was calculated by method as described by Maguire [11].

The seedling establishment was determined by counting the total number of seedlings on 15<sup>th</sup> day.

The data recorded from the experiments were statistically analyzed as per method suggested [12].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The seed possess maximum germination and vigour at the time of physiological maturity and thereafter starts declining. Seed ageing is a continuous process and it can't be stopped but the rate of deterioration can be minimized by management of storage conditions and by seed treatments.

The seed germination declined progressively with the passage of storage in all the treatments which may be attributed to the phenomena of natural ageing and was recorded below Indian Minimum Seed Certification Standards (70%) in both the storage containers at the end of storage period of nine months. The data presented in table 1 indicates that among the treatments, T<sub>2</sub> (66.33%) recorded higher germination percentage and at par with T<sub>5</sub> treatment (65.67%) and T<sub>3</sub> (65.50 %) and the lowest was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> treatment (63.50%). Among the containers, plastic zipling bag was significantly better. Interaction effect of containers with fungicides was found non-significant. The similar results were reported in the past by [13] in chilli seeds and [14] in chickpea seeds.

There is gradual decrease in shoot and root length of seedlings with the passage of storage time. The decline in root and shoot length may be attributed to natural ageing induced decline in germination. The damage caused by fungi and toxic metabolites that have hindered the seedling growth. The perusal of data indicated in table 2 showed the maximum root length was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (3.27 cm) treatment closely followed by T<sub>3</sub> (3.23 cm) and T<sub>5</sub> (3.22 cm) treatments. Containers and interaction effect was found non-significant. Similar trend was followed in

**Table 1.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5 ml/kg seed) and containers on germination (%) in onion seed

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 74.33          | 74.67          | 74.50   | 71.33          | 72.00          | 71.67   | 63.67          | 64.33          | 64.00   |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 74.67          | 75.67          | 75.17   | 71.00          | 71.67          | 71.33   | 66.00          | 66.67          | 66.33   |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 73.67          | 74.33          | 74.00   | 72.33          | 73.00          | 72.67   | 65.33          | 65.67          | 65.50   |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 73.33          | 73.67          | 73.50   | 71.67          | 72.33          | 72.00   | 64.67          | 65.33          | 65.00   |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 74.00          | 74.67          | 74.33   | 71.33          | 72.33          | 71.83   | 65.00          | 66.33          | 65.67   |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 73.67          | 74.67          | 74.17   | 72.00          | 73.00          | 72.50   | 64.33          | 64.67          | 64.50   |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 73.33          | 74.00          | 73.67   | 71.67          | 72.33          | 72.00   | 64.33          | 65.00          | 64.67   |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 74.33          | 74.67          | 74.50   | 72.00          | 72.67          | 72.33   | 64.00          | 65.00          | 64.50   |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 73.00          | 73.67          | 73.33   | 70.33          | 71.00          | 70.67   | 63.00          | 64.00          | 63.50   |
| Mean           | 74.00          | 74.63          |         | 71.63          | 72.37          |         | 64.70          | 65.43          |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | 0.433          | 0.968          | NS      | 0.541          | 1.21           | NS      | 0.515          | 1.151          | NS      |

C<sub>1</sub>: Cloth bag C<sub>2</sub>: Plastic zipling bag

T<sub>1</sub>: Castor oil; T<sub>2</sub>: Neem oil; T<sub>3</sub>: Aonla oil; T<sub>4</sub>: Til oil; T<sub>5</sub>: Linseed oil; T<sub>6</sub>: Karanj oil; T<sub>7</sub>: Akhrot oil; T<sub>8</sub>: Ajwain oil; T<sub>9</sub>: Untreated (Control)

**Table 2.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5 ml/kg seed) and containers on root length (cm) in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 3.33           | 3.40           | 3.37    | 3.27           | 3.33           | 3.30    | 3.13           | 3.20           | 3.17    |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 3.40           | 3.40           | 3.40    | 3.33           | 3.40           | 3.37    | 3.27           | 3.27           | 3.27    |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 3.33           | 3.33           | 3.33    | 3.23           | 3.33           | 3.28    | 3.23           | 3.23           | 3.23    |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 3.33           | 3.33           | 3.33    | 3.33           | 3.33           | 3.33    | 3.10           | 3.23           | 3.17    |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 3.33           | 3.40           | 3.37    | 3.33           | 3.33           | 3.33    | 3.20           | 3.23           | 3.22    |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 3.20           | 3.20           | 3.20    | 3.20           | 3.20           | 3.20    | 3.10           | 3.20           | 3.15    |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 3.17           | 3.23           | 3.20    | 3.17           | 3.17           | 3.17    | 3.17           | 3.17           | 3.17    |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 3.10           | 3.17           | 3.13    | 3.10           | 3.10           | 3.10    | 3.20           | 3.10           | 3.15    |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 3.10           | 3.20           | 3.15    | 3.00           | 3.10           | 3.05    | 3.00           | 3.10           | 3.05    |
| Mean           | 3.27           | 3.313          |         | 3.223          | 3.277          |         | 3.16           | 3.213          |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | NS             | 0.115          | NS      | NS             | 0.121          | NS      | NS             | 0.111          | NS      |

case of shoot length, T<sub>2</sub> treatment recorded significantly higher shoot length (5.47 cm) and among containers, plastic zipling bag was superior. The interaction effect was non-significant. The results were in accordance with the findings of [13] in brinjal and [15] *shisham* seeds.

The significant difference due to seed treatments on seedling dry weight was recorded throughout the storage period (Table 4). At the end of nine months of storage period, highest seedling dry weight was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (6.68 mg) followed by T<sub>1</sub> (6.63 mg). Plastic zipling bag again proved better than the cloth bag. Interaction effect was non-significant. This gradual decline in seedling dry weight may be attributed to natural ageing, which resulted in seed deterioration of seed, decreases in the germination percentage and root and shoot length. The

study was in accordance with that of [16] in soybean seeds.

In the present study, significantly higher vigour index-I was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (579.40) treatment at the end of nine months of storage period (Table 5). The plant oil-treated seeds stored in two containers when tested for vigour index-II, treatment T<sub>2</sub> (443.40) found significantly superior as illustrated in table 6. Among containers, plastic zipling bag was superior. The interaction effect was non-significant. Gradual decline in seedling vigour index was noticed due to age induced decline in germination, decrease in dry matter accumulation in seedling and decrease in seedling length. The work found similarity with the earlier findings of [17] in mungbean seeds, [18] in cotton seeds and [19] in sorghum seeds.

**Table 3.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5ml/kg seed) and containers on shoot length (cm) in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 5.43           | 5.53           | 5.48    | 5.33           | 5.43           | 5.38    | 5.23           | 5.33           | 5.28    |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 5.50           | 5.53           | 5.52    | 5.50           | 5.50           | 5.50    | 5.43           | 5.50           | 5.47    |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 5.40           | 5.57           | 5.48    | 5.33           | 5.40           | 5.37    | 5.23           | 5.33           | 5.28    |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 5.40           | 5.43           | 5.42    | 5.33           | 5.40           | 5.37    | 5.33           | 5.33           | 5.33    |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 5.30           | 5.40           | 5.35    | 5.23           | 5.30           | 5.27    | 5.13           | 5.23           | 5.18    |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 5.23           | 5.27           | 5.25    | 5.17           | 5.23           | 5.20    | 5.13           | 5.17           | 5.15    |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 5.30           | 5.33           | 5.32    | 5.23           | 5.30           | 5.27    | 5.23           | 5.23           | 5.23    |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 5.33           | 5.43           | 5.38    | 5.33           | 5.33           | 5.33    | 5.23           | 5.33           | 5.28    |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 5.10           | 5.23           | 5.17    | 5.03           | 5.10           | 5.07    | 5.03           | 5.17           | 5.10    |
| Mean           | 5.36           | 5.44           |         | 5.30           | 5.36           |         | 5.24           | 5.32           |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | 0.064          | 0.143          | NS      | NS             | 0.139          | NS      | 0.055          | 0.124          | NS      |

**Table 4.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5ml/kg) and containers on seedling dry weight (mg) in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 7.30           | 7.43           | 7.37    | 6.97           | 7.30           | 7.13    | 6.57           | 6.70           | 6.63    |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 7.42           | 7.60           | 7.51    | 7.17           | 7.42           | 7.29    | 6.60           | 6.77           | 6.68    |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 7.27           | 7.38           | 7.33    | 7.13           | 7.30           | 7.22    | 6.50           | 6.67           | 6.58    |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 7.17           | 7.33           | 7.25    | 6.90           | 7.17           | 7.03    | 6.50           | 6.67           | 6.58    |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 7.27           | 7.50           | 7.38    | 7.03           | 7.27           | 7.15    | 6.47           | 6.60           | 6.53    |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 7.30           | 7.52           | 7.41    | 7.10           | 7.30           | 7.20    | 6.50           | 6.60           | 6.55    |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 7.20           | 7.42           | 7.31    | 6.97           | 7.20           | 7.08    | 6.50           | 6.60           | 6.55    |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 7.13           | 7.30           | 7.22    | 7.00           | 7.13           | 7.07    | 6.47           | 6.67           | 6.57    |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 6.37           | 6.57           | 6.47    | 6.07           | 6.23           | 6.15    | 5.93           | 6.03           | 5.98    |
| Mean           | 7.21           | 7.39           |         | 6.96           | 7.19           |         | 6.48           | 6.62           |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | 0.106          | 0.238          | NS      | 0.083          | 0.186          | NS      | 0.062          | 0.139          | NS      |

C<sub>1</sub>: Cloth bag C<sub>2</sub>: Plastic zipling bagT<sub>1</sub>: Castor oil; T<sub>2</sub>: Neem oil; T<sub>3</sub>: Aonla oil; T<sub>4</sub>: Til oil; T<sub>5</sub>: Linseed oil; T<sub>6</sub>: Karanj oil; T<sub>7</sub>: Akhrot oil; T<sub>8</sub>: Ajwain oil; T<sub>9</sub>: Untreated (Control)**Table 5.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5ml/kg) and containers on vigour index I in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 651.6          | 667.0          | 659.3   | 613.5          | 631.2          | 622.3   | 532.6          | 549.0          | 540.8   |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 652.2          | 673.5          | 662.8   | 627.2          | 637.8          | 632.5   | 574.3          | 584.4          | 579.4   |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 655.6          | 664.0          | 659.8   | 619.6          | 637.5          | 628.6   | 553.1          | 562.5          | 557.8   |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 640.4          | 645.7          | 643.0   | 621.1          | 631.8          | 626.5   | 545.4          | 559.7          | 552.6   |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 639.0          | 657.1          | 648.1   | 611.2          | 624.5          | 617.8   | 541.7          | 561.6          | 551.7   |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 621.3          | 632.1          | 626.7   | 602.5          | 615.6          | 609.1   | 529.6          | 541.0          | 535.3   |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 620.9          | 633.9          | 627.4   | 602.0          | 612.5          | 607.3   | 540.4          | 546.0          | 543.2   |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 626.9          | 642.1          | 634.5   | 607.0          | 612.9          | 610.0   | 539.7          | 548.2          | 544.0   |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 598.5          | 621.2          | 609.9   | 565.0          | 582.2          | 573.6   | 506.1          | 529.0          | 517.6   |
| Mean           | 638.8          | 653.1          |         | 610.9          | 625.1          |         | 543.9          | 558.3          |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | 7.833          | 17.515         | NS      | 8.208          | 18.354         | NS      | 6.596          | 14.749         | NS      |

**Table 6.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5ml/kg) and containers on vigour index-II in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 542.6          | 555.0          | 548.8   | 497.0          | 525.7          | 511.3   | 418.0          | 431.1          | 424.5   |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 553.9          | 575.1          | 564.5   | 508.9          | 531.5          | 520.2   | 435.7          | 451.1          | 443.4   |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 535.4          | 548.7          | 542.0   | 516.0          | 532.9          | 524.5   | 424.7          | 437.8          | 431.2   |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 525.6          | 540.3          | 532.9   | 494.5          | 518.5          | 506.5   | 420.3          | 435.7          | 428.0   |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 537.9          | 559.9          | 548.9   | 501.7          | 525.7          | 513.7   | 420.4          | 437.8          | 429.1   |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 537.7          | 561.2          | 549.5   | 511.2          | 533.0          | 522.1   | 418.1          | 426.8          | 422.5   |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 527.9          | 548.8          | 538.4   | 499.4          | 521.0          | 510.2   | 418.1          | 429.0          | 423.6   |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 530.2          | 544.9          | 537.6   | 504.0          | 518.3          | 511.2   | 413.8          | 433.4          | 423.6   |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 464.7          | 483.8          | 474.2   | 426.7          | 442.4          | 434.6   | 373.7          | 386.1          | 379.9   |
| Mean           | 533.3          | 551.3          |         | 498.5          | 520.3          |         | 419.6          | 433.3          |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | 8.252          | 18.451         | NS      | 8.026          | 17.947         | NS      | 5.256          | 11.752         | NS      |

**Table 7.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5ml/kg) and containers on electrical conductivity ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}/\text{seed}$ ) in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.467          | 0.447          | 0.457   | 0.497          | 0.480          | 0.488   | 0.540          | 0.527          | 0.533   |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.420          | 0.410          | 0.415   | 0.467          | 0.443          | 0.455   | 0.497          | 0.470          | 0.483   |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.437          | 0.423          | 0.430   | 0.473          | 0.463          | 0.468   | 0.533          | 0.520          | 0.527   |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 0.443          | 0.430          | 0.437   | 0.503          | 0.463          | 0.483   | 0.503          | 0.497          | 0.500   |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 0.457          | 0.440          | 0.448   | 0.490          | 0.473          | 0.482   | 0.523          | 0.513          | 0.518   |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 0.450          | 0.443          | 0.447   | 0.480          | 0.477          | 0.478   | 0.510          | 0.507          | 0.508   |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 0.437          | 0.427          | 0.432   | 0.463          | 0.453          | 0.458   | 0.520          | 0.513          | 0.517   |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 0.460          | 0.443          | 0.452   | 0.473          | 0.470          | 0.472   | 0.523          | 0.503          | 0.513   |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 0.490          | 0.487          | 0.488   | 0.530          | 0.503          | 0.517   | 0.590          | 0.580          | 0.585   |
| Mean           | 0.446          | 0.433          |         | 0.478          | 0.461          |         | 0.517          | 0.504          |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | NS             | 0.021          | NS      | NS             | 0.053          | NS      | NS             | 0.04           | NS      |

C<sub>1</sub>: Cloth bag C<sub>2</sub>: Plastic zipling bag

T<sub>1</sub>: Castor oil; T<sub>2</sub>: Neem oil; T<sub>3</sub>: Aonla oil; T<sub>4</sub>: Til oil; T<sub>5</sub>: Linseed oil; T<sub>6</sub>: Karanj oil; T<sub>7</sub>: Akhrot oil; T<sub>8</sub>: Ajwain oil; T<sub>9</sub>: Untreated (Control)

The change of electrical conductivity is commonly used as an indicator for testing the integrity of plasma membrane. Electrical conductivity ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}/\text{g}$ ) of seed leachates increased significantly after ageing in all the treatments (Table 7). The maximum (0.585  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}/\text{g}$ ) electrical conductivity after nine months of storage was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (control) while significantly minimum in T<sub>2</sub> treatment (0.483  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}/\text{g}$ ). Containers and interaction effect was non-significant. The better performance may be due to the seed treatment which may have increased the cell membrane stability and decreased the leakage of solutes from the seeds which ultimately lead to intact seed coat [20]. The loss of membrane integrity due to damage of phospholipids leads to increased membrane permeability and release of electrolytes,

aminoacids and enzymes from cells [21]. Results were in conformity with the earlier findings of [13] in brinjal seeds.

Fungi are one of the most important factors which effect seeds during storage and reduce the seed viability in a short span. The data depicted in table 8 shows that the seed mycoflora was increased as the storage time progressed in all the treatments. The significant minimum seed mycoflora (0.643 %) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> treatment in both containers at the end of storage period of nine months. The maximum seed mycoflora (1.072%) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> treatment (control). Plastic zipling bag was significantly superior over cloth bag. The better interaction effect was noticed in T<sub>2</sub>x C<sub>2</sub> (0.563 %). The results are also supported by studies of [22] in sorghum seeds, [13] in brinjal seeds and [23] in rice seeds.

**Table 8.** Mycoflora percentage in onion seed treated with plant oil (5ml/kg) kept in different storage containers

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.490          | 0.350          | 0.420   | 0.593          | 0.430          | 0.512   | 0.737          | 0.600          | 0.668   |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.467          | 0.333          | 0.400   | 0.563          | 0.427          | 0.495   | 0.723          | 0.563          | 0.643   |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.477          | 0.340          | 0.408   | 0.573          | 0.433          | 0.503   | 0.763          | 0.570          | 0.667   |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 0.517          | 0.367          | 0.442   | 0.650          | 0.453          | 0.552   | 0.790          | 0.567          | 0.678   |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 0.480          | 0.340          | 0.410   | 0.567          | 0.463          | 0.515   | 0.747          | 0.607          | 0.677   |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 0.483          | 0.350          | 0.417   | 0.573          | 0.440          | 0.507   | 0.770          | 0.570          | 0.670   |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 0.513          | 0.340          | 0.427   | 0.583          | 0.463          | 0.523   | 0.790          | 0.607          | 0.698   |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 0.480          | 0.367          | 0.423   | 0.603          | 0.430          | 0.517   | 0.753          | 0.570          | 0.662   |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 0.817          | 0.597          | 0.707   | 1.093          | 0.680          | 0.887   | 1.353          | 0.790          | 1.072   |
| Mean           | 0.517          | 0.368          |         | 0.636          | 0.463          |         | 0.813          | 0.599          |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | 0.006          | 0.014          | 0.02    | 0.016          | 0.035          | 0.05    | 0.009          | 0.021          | 0.03    |

**Table 9.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5ml/kg) and containers on emergence index in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 8.33           | 8.37           | 8.35    | 7.30           | 7.37           | 7.33    | 6.17           | 6.27           | 6.22    |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 8.50           | 8.60           | 8.55    | 7.47           | 7.60           | 7.53    | 6.30           | 6.37           | 6.33    |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 8.43           | 8.47           | 8.45    | 7.47           | 7.47           | 7.47    | 6.20           | 6.30           | 6.25    |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 8.40           | 8.43           | 8.42    | 7.40           | 7.43           | 7.42    | 6.17           | 6.27           | 6.22    |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 8.37           | 8.40           | 8.38    | 7.30           | 7.40           | 7.35    | 6.10           | 6.20           | 6.15    |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 8.40           | 8.43           | 8.42    | 7.33           | 7.43           | 7.38    | 6.20           | 6.30           | 6.25    |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 8.37           | 8.40           | 8.38    | 7.30           | 7.40           | 7.35    | 6.17           | 6.23           | 6.20    |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 8.37           | 8.43           | 8.40    | 7.33           | 7.43           | 7.38    | 6.10           | 6.17           | 6.13    |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 8.17           | 8.23           | 8.20    | 7.13           | 7.23           | 7.18    | 5.60           | 6.00           | 5.80    |
| Mean           | 8.4            | 8.5            |         | 7.4            | 7.4            |         | 6.1            | 6.3            |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | 0.041          | 0.091          | NS      | 0.045          | 0.101          | NS      | 0.055          | 0.122          | NS      |

The data presented in table 9 showed that speed of emergence was slower as the storage time augmented in all the treatments. This might be due to decrease in seed vigour with the process of advancement in ageing. The maximum speed of emergence was observed in T<sub>2</sub> treatment (6.33) closely followed by T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> treatment (6.25) at the end of storage period while the minimum (5.80) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (control). The seeds kept in plastic zipling bag recorded higher speed of emergence over the cloth bag. The interaction effect was non-significant. These findings were in agreement with the reports of [24] in tomato seeds.

The perusal of data indicated in table 10 revealed that seedling establishment rate was declined as the storage period progressed in all the treatments. The decline in

seedling establishment rate may be attributed to decrease in potential of seed during storage. The maximum seedling establishment was observed in T<sub>2</sub> treatment (54.17 %) at the end of storage period. Among containers, plastic zipling bag was better. The interaction effect was non-significant during the storage period. Results found similarity with [25] in okra seeds.

## CONCLUSION

Deterioration of the stored seeds is natural phenomena and seeds tend to lose viability even under ideal storage conditions. Onion seeds are short lived. In the present study all the seed quality attributes were found decreasing except electrical conductivity and seed mycoflora which were increasing. The germination percentage falls below

**Table 10.** Effect of various seed treatment with plant oils (5ml/kg) and containers on seedling establishment (%) in onion seeds

| Treatments     | 3 Month        |                |         | 6 Month        |                |         | 9 Month        |                |         |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    | C <sub>1</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 71.67          | 72.00          | 71.83   | 61.67          | 62.00          | 61.83   | 53.33          | 53.67          | 53.50   |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 72.00          | 72.33          | 72.17   | 62.00          | 62.33          | 62.17   | 54.00          | 54.33          | 54.17   |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 70.67          | 71.00          | 70.83   | 60.67          | 61.00          | 60.83   | 53.00          | 53.33          | 53.17   |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 71.67          | 72.00          | 71.83   | 61.67          | 62.00          | 61.83   | 53.00          | 53.67          | 53.33   |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 71.00          | 71.33          | 71.17   | 61.00          | 61.33          | 61.17   | 53.33          | 54.00          | 53.67   |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 71.33          | 71.67          | 71.50   | 61.33          | 61.67          | 61.50   | 52.67          | 53.00          | 52.83   |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 71.67          | 72.00          | 71.83   | 61.67          | 62.00          | 61.83   | 52.33          | 52.67          | 52.50   |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 70.67          | 71.00          | 70.83   | 60.67          | 61.00          | 60.83   | 53.33          | 53.67          | 53.50   |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 69.00          | 69.33          | 69.17   | 59.00          | 59.33          | 59.17   | 51.67          | 52.00          | 51.83   |
| Mean           | 71.20          | 71.57          |         | 61.53          | 61.57          |         | 53.10          | 53.53          |         |
| CD (P=0.05)    | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) | C              | T              | (C X T) |
|                | NS             | 0.968          | NS      | NS             | 1.731          | NS      | NS             | 1.09           | NS      |

C<sub>1</sub>: Cloth bag C<sub>2</sub>: Plastic zipling bag

T<sub>1</sub>: Castor oil; T<sub>2</sub>: Neem oil; T<sub>3</sub>: Aonla oil; T<sub>4</sub>: Til oil; T<sub>5</sub>: Linseed oil; T<sub>6</sub>: Karanj oil; T<sub>7</sub>: Akhrot oil; T<sub>8</sub>: Ajwain oil; T<sub>9</sub>: Untreated (Control)

IMSCS (70%) after 9 months of storage. The treatment with neem oil (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) proved superior over all other treatments. Among containers, plastic zipling bag showed better performance as compared to cloth bag. The study also states that seed treatment with neem oil maintains viability, vigour and seed health for longer period of time in case of onion seeds.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly grateful to the Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS HAU for providing necessary facilities to conduct this study successfully.

## REFERENCES

1. ANONYMOUS (2019). Monthly report on onion, Horticulture Statistics division, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation; Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture; Farmers Welfare, Government of India.
2. SHABAN M (2013). Review on physiological aspects of seed deterioration. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, **6**(11):627-631.
3. KUMAR TP, ASHA AM, MARUTHI JB AND VISHWANATH K (2014). Influence of seed treatment chemicals and containers on seed quality of marigold during storage. *The Bioscan*, **9**: 937-942.
4. UTOBO EB, OGBODO EN AND NWOGBAGA AC (2011). Seed borne mycota associated with rice and their influence on growth of Abakaliki, Southeast agro-ecology, Nigeria. *Libyan Agriculture Research Center Journal International*, **2**: 79-84.
5. SHARMA KK, SINGH US, SHARMA P, KUMAR A AND SHARMA L (2015). Seed treatments for sustainable agriculture—A review. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, **7**(1): 521-539.
6. BHARDWAJ A, VERMA S, BHARAT NK AND THAKUR M (2013). Effect of vegetable oil seed treatment on seed mycoflora of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *International Journal of Farm Sciences*, **3**(2): 46-51.
7. ISTA (2011). International rules for seed testing. Chapter 5: the Germination test. ISBN 978-3-906549-53-8. International Seed Testing Association, Baslerdorf, Switzerland.
8. ABDUL BAKI AA AND ANDERSON JP (1973). Vigour determination in soybean seeds by multiple criteria. *Crop Science*, **13**: 630-633.
9. ISTA (1999). International rules for seed testing. *Seed Science and Technology* **23**(suppl.) 1-334.
10. ANONYMOUS (1999). International rules for seed testing, *Seed Science and Technology*, Supp. rules. **27**: 25-30.
11. MAGUIRE JD (1962). Speed of germination-Aid in selection and evaluation for seedling emergence and vigour. *Crop Science*, **2**:176-177.
12. PANSE VG AND SUKHATME PV (1985). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, 4th Ed., ICAR, New Delhi
13. REDDY YNP, DAHIYA OS AND JAKHAR SS (2017). Effect of plant oils on seed quality parameters and disease control in chilli seeds infected with *Colletotrichum capsici*. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **51**(4):808-813.
14. GOWDA B, HUDED B, HOSMANI A, MATHAD R, RAGHU BN AND REKHA (2018). Effect of organics in enhancing seed storability of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **6**(2): 1890-1893.
15. RAJPUT NA, PATHAN MA, LODHIAM, DOU D AND RAJPUT S (2011). Effect of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) products on seedling growth of shisham dieback. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, **5**(27): 4937-4945.
16. MAHESH B AND HUNJE R (2008). Effect of seed treatment with botanicals on storability of soybean. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **21**(3): 357-360.
17. BABARIYA CA, PATEL JB, JYOTI KH AND BHATIYA VJ (2016). Performance of neem products on the storability of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] seeds. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **50**(6): 573-578.

18. EL-DAHAB MS, ABO ABE EL-WARD IBRAHIM. A. AND YOUSOF FI (2016). Effect of some plant extracts on seed viability and seed borne fungi of sorghum seed during storage periods. *Research Journal of Seed Science*, **9**: 5-3.
19. SIGNABOUBO S, NOUMBO GT, AOUDOUY, FOVO JD AND KEUETE E (2015). Efficacy of three local plant extracts as seed treatment on the germination, infection and vigour index of two cotton seed varieties from Chad. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*, **6**(2):39-47.
20. NAMVAR A, RAUF SS, TEYMUR K AND MAJID JM (2013). Seed inoculation inorganic nitrogen fertilization effects on some physiological and agronomical traits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in irrigated conditions. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, **14**: 28-40.
21. ZAMANI A, SADAT NSA, TAVAKOL AR, IRAN NH, ALI AG AND TAVAKOLI A (2010). Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymes activity under natural and accelerated ageing in sunflower (*Carthamus tinctoris* L.). *Iranian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **41**: 545-554.
22. RAM B, JAKHAR S, BHUKER A, SINGH S AND SINGH J (2020). Effect of plant oil treatments and containers on electrical conductivity, dehydrogenase activity and mycoflora incidence of sorghum seed during storage. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **8**(5): 2341-234.
23. AHMED M, HOSSAIN M, HASSAN K AND DASH CK (2013). Efficacy of different plant extract on reducing seed borne infection and increasing germination of collected rice seed sample. *Universal Journal of Plant Science*, **1**(3): 66-73.
24. SHASHIBHASKAR MS, VINUTHA KS, NAGABHUSHAN VSN AND RAMANJINAPPA V (2012). Seed quality as influenced by seed pelleting and containers during storage in tomato. *Plant Archives*, **12**(2): 1101-1008.
25. KUMAR S, JAKHAR SS, MALIK AK AND KUMAR S (2020). Effect of nodal position of fruits on seed quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, **32**(20): 50-54.