CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS IN UPLAND COTTON (Gossypium hirsutum L.)

K.BAYYAPU REDDY, V. CHENGA REDDY, M. LAL AHAMED, T.C.M.NAIDU AND V.SRINIVASARAO

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Agricultural College, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Bapatla -522 101

Date of Receipt :10.9.2015

Date of Acceptance: 12.10.2015

ABSTRACT

Correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield contributing characters in upland cotton were carried with 55 genotypes (45 F₁s and 10 parents) of cotton for seventeen characters in three locations *i.e.* Regional Agricultural Research Station, Darsi, Andhra Pradesh. Analysis of pooled data from three locations showed the character association. The plant height, number of sympodia plant⁻¹, number of bolls plant⁻¹, boll weight, seed index, lint index, micronaire value (10⁻⁶g/inch) and lint yield plant⁻¹ were found to have significant positive association with seed cotton yield plant⁻¹ at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. The path analysis indicated that the number of bolls plant⁻¹, boll weight (g), seed index and lint yield plant⁻¹ (g) showed direct positive effects and significant positive correlation with seed cotton yield plant⁻¹ and more boll weight and there should be economic balance among these traits to get higher seed cotton yield plant⁻¹.

Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) is an important commercial crop of India, where it is being grown over an area of 126.55 lakh ha with an annual production of 400 lakh bales (1 bale=170 kgs of lint) with a productivity of 537 kg/ha (AICCIP Annual Report, 2015). Yield is a polygenically inherited character resulting from multiplicative interaction of its contributing characters. It is highly influenced by the environment, hence selection based on yield alone may limit the progress, where as the yield component characters are less complex in inheritance and are influenced by the environment to a lesser extent. Genetic correlation measures the magnitude of cause -effect relationship between various plant characters that determines the component characters on which selection can be made for improvement in yield. Further, path coefficient analysis, which splits the correlation coefficients, provides precise information on the direct and indirect effects in order to perceive the most influencing characters to be utilized as selection criteria in cotton breeding programme. Asha et al. (2015) reported that correlation studies indicated plant height, sympodia and bolls/plant, boll weight, bundle strength and fibre elongation recorded

significant positive association with seed cotton yield/ plant. Path analysis revealed high positive direct effect of monopodia, sympodia, inter boll distance, boll and lint index on seed cotton yield (Chitti *et al.*, 2014). Both the correlation and path coefficient analysis form a basis for selection and also helps in understanding those yield components affecting yield improvement through the study of their direct and indirect effects.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted during *kharif*, 2013-14 in randomized block design with 55 genotypes (45 F_1 s and 10 parents) with three replications following 120 x 60 cm spacing in three locations *i.e.* Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur; Agricultural Research Station, Jangamaheswarapuram; and Agricultural Research Station, Darsi, Andhra Pradesh. Recommended doses of fertilizers 120 N, 60 P_2O_5 and 40 K_2O kg/ha were applied in split doses. Each plot consisted of three rows of 6 m length and observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each genotype per replication for 10 characters *viz.*, plant height (cm),

E-mail: drkaipu3@gmail.com

| | ex Lint index (g) | | 0.00 | * 86.68** | * 86.68** | * 86.68** 0.05 21.70** | * 86.68** 0.05 21.70** 2.30** | * 86.68** 0.05 21.70** 2.30** 0.44 | * 86.68** 0.05 21.70** 0.44 | u.uo * 86.68** 0.05 0.05 21.70** 2.30** 0.44 0.44 lint yield plant ¹ (g) | * 86.68** 86.68** 21.70** 2.30** 0.44 0.44 Lint yield plant ¹ (g) 8.99 | * 86.68** * 86.68** 0.05 0.05 21.70** 2.30** 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 8.99 8.99 8.99 8.99 | * 86.68** * 86.68** 0.05 21.70** 21.70** 2.30** 0.44 0.44 8.99 8.99 8.93 30.93 | ** 86.68** 0.05 0.05 21.70** 2.30** 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 10.48 8.99 8.99 8.93 30.93 30.93 10568.18** 10568.18** | ** 86.68** ** 86.68** 0.05 21.70** 21.70** 2.30** 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.44 8.99 8.99 8.93 30.93 10568.18** 331.03** |
|----------|--|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Seed ind (g) | 0.33 | 168.61* | 0.03 | 42.25** | 12.43** | 2.58 | | ed cotton Id plant ⁻¹ (g) | 185.30 | 3887.50** | 175.92 | 356.16** | 510.85** | |
| Dolativo | chlorophyll content | 0.05 | 0.15** | 00.0 | 0.05** | 0.02** | 0.01 | | ation See) yie | - | 4 348 | 2 | 5 87 | ** 2! | |
| | Boll weight (g) | 0.03 | 117.56** | 0.04 | 29.42** | 0.86** | 0.21 | | y Elong: (% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.11 | |
| | o. of bolls plant ¹ | 16.24 | 534.32** | 6.06 | 140.67** | 39.97** | 11.78 | | Uniformit ratio | 6.96 | 119.09** | 0.71 | 31.87** | 14.35** | |
| | o. ol npodia No lant ⁻¹ | 0.97 | 1.14** 4 | 2.20 | 3.63** 1 | .50** | 2.41 | | Bundle strength (g/tex) | 1.76 | 0.19 | 2.17 | 1.57 | 7.84** | 1 |
| | monopodia syn plant ⁻¹ pl | 0.07 | 5.09** 26 | 0.15* | 1.36** 66 | 0.05 5 | 0.05 | | Micronaire value (10 ⁻⁶ g/inch) | 0.01 | 6.26** | 0.06 | 1.60** | 0.93** | |
| | Plant height (cm) | 159.89 | 27224.59** | 52.32 | 6872.28** | 143.57** | 85.24 | | 2.5% span length (mm) | 2.12 | 62.47** | 2.40 | 17.34** | 18.45** | |
| | Days to 50% flowering | 5.39 | 58.44** | 1.28 | 16.60** | 78.73** | 1.16 | | Ginning out turn (%) | 0.64 | 157.97** | 0.57 | 39.93** | 23.57** | 10.0 |
| | df | 2 | 2 | 4 | œ | 54 | 432 | | df | 2 | 2 | 4 | ω | 54 | 001 |
| | Source | Replications | Locations | Interactions | Overall Sum | Treatments | Error | | Source | Replications | Locations | Interactions | Overall Sum | Treatments | |

Table 1. Analysis of variance over environments (pooled) in cotton during kharif, 2013-14

26

| Source | df | Ginning out turn (%) | 2.5% span length (mm) | Micronaire value (10 ⁻⁶ g/inch) | strength (g/tex) | Uniformity ratio | Elongation (%) | yield plant (g) |
|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Replications | 7 | 0.64 | 2.12 | 0.01 | 1.76 | 6.96 | 0.01 | 185.30 |
| Locations | 2 | 157.97** | 62.47** | 6.26** | 0.19 | 119.09** | 0.04 | 348887.50* |
| Interactions | 4 | 0.57 | 2.40 | 0.06 | 2.17 | 0.71 | 0.02 | 175.92 |
| Overall Sum | ø | 39.93** | 17.34** | 1.60** | 1.57 | 31.87** | 0.05 | 87356.16** |
| Treatments | 54 | 23.57** | 18.45** | 0.93** | 7.84** | 14.35** | 0.11** | 2510.85** |
| Error | 432 | 8.25 | 4.10 | 0.20 | 1.70 | 3.07 | 0.03 | 631.96 |
| ** Significant a | at 1 % le | evel * Signific | ant at 5 % level | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

BAYYAPU REDDY et al.

| | | | No. of | No. of | No. of | | Relative | |
|---|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------|
| Character | flowering | Plant height | monopodi a plant ⁻¹ | sympodia plant ⁻¹ | bolls plant ⁻¹ | boll weight | chlorophyll content | Seed index |
| Days to 50 % flowering | 1 | 0.0156 | 0.0432 | -0.0037 | 0.0845 | -0.1028 | 0.0520 | -0.0748 |
| Plant height | 0.1027* | 1 | 0.1370** | 0.3350** | 0.1305** | 0.0837 | -0.0335 | 0.1263** |
| No. of monopodia plant ⁻¹ | -0.6991 | -2.3733 | 1 | 0.0643 | 0.0288 | -0.0559 | -0.0370 | -0.0435 |
| No. of sympodia plant ⁻¹ | -0.0064 | 1.0346** | 3.2397** | 1 | 0.1620** | -0.0031 | -0.0850 | 0.0631 |
| No. of bolls plant ⁻¹ | 0.1884** | 0.5280** | 1.8031** | 0.6804** | 1 | 0.0859 | -0.0992 | -0.0227 |
| Boll weight | -0.2062 | 0.3690** | -4.2668 | 0.2370** | 0.3802** | : | -0.0481 | 0.1766** |
| Relative chlorophyll content | 0.1913** | -0.2866 | -2.4301 | -0.2935 | -0.2698 | -0.1654 | ł | -0.1199 |
| Seed index | -0.1046 | 0.4821** | 0.7074** | 0.4296** | 0.1310** | 0.2714** | -0.3877 | 1 |
| Lint index | -0.2344 | 0.4144** | -0.1932 | 0.3815** | 0.1616** | 0.1393** | -0.5175 | 0.8378** |
| Ginning out turn | -0.1154 | -0.0451 | -1.9335 | -0.0249 | 0.0904* | -0.3170 | -0.0374 | -0.4593 |
| 2.5% span length | 0.2160** | -0.2571 | 0.4757** | -0.1313 | -0.1072 | 0.0434 | 0.2856** | -0.0660 |
| Micronaire value | -0.1422 | 0.3202** | 1.8363** | 0.3648** | 0.4445** | 0.0166 | -0.4931 | 0.2960** |
| Bundle strength | 0.3815** | -0.0253 | -1.4447 | 0.0119 | 0.1753** | 0.2140** | 0.1883** | 0.0222 |
| Uniformity ratio | 0.1512** | 0.2558** | -0.0639 | 0.0881* | 0.4941** | -0.0263 | -0.0946 | -0.0689 |
| Elongation | 0.2742** | 0.2232** | -0.1700 | 0.2058** | 0.7601** | -0.0178 | -0.0282 | -0.0522 |
| Lint yield plant ⁻¹ | -0.1265 | 0.4511** | -1.8649 | 0.5048** | 0.7856** | 0.7064** | -0.2509 | 0.0827 |
| Seed cotton yield plant ⁻¹ (P) | -0.0408 | 0.1365** | -0.0334 | 0.0924* | 0.6508** | 0.7359** | -0.0956 | 0.1009* |
| Seed cotton yield plant ⁻¹ (G) | -0.0663 | 0.5526** | -1.7329 | 0.5456** | 0.7995** | 0.8844** | -0.2247 | 0.2706** |

CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS IN UPLAND COTTON

| Character | Lint index | Ginning out turn | 2.5% span length | Micronaire value | Bundle strength | Uniformity ratio | Elongation | Lint yield plant ⁻¹ |
|---|------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Days to 50 % flowering | -0.1414 | -0.0461 | 0.1135* | -0.0676 | 0.1823** | 0.0628 | 0.1338** | -0.0647 |
| Plant height | 0.0863 | -0.0417 | -0.1055 | 0.1493** | -0.0673 | 0.0800 | 0.0161 | 0.1024* |
| No. of monopodia plant ¹ | -0.0434 | -0.0018 | -0.0420 | 0.0313 | 0.0429 | 0.0641 | 0.0584 | -0.0352 |
| No. of sympodia plant ⁻¹ | 0.0575 | -0.0256 | -0.0572 | 0.2048** | -0.0878 | 0.1291** | 0.0424 | 0.1071* |
| No. of bolls plant ⁻¹ | 0.0531 | 0.0868 | -0.1282 | 0.2404** | -0.0801 | 0.1280** | 0.1370** | 0.6484** |
| Boll weight | 0.1269** | -0.0592 | 0.0340 | 0.0620 | 0.0367 | -0.1361 | -0.1016 | 0.6080** |
| Relative chlorophyll content | -0.1288 | 0.0173 | 0.1086* | -0.1103 | 0.1226** | -0.0121 | -0.0197 | -0.0621 |
| Seed index | 0.7235** | -0.4584 | 0.0446 | 0.2106** | 0.0721 | -0.0896 | -0.0231 | -0.1296 |
| Lint index | 1 | 0.2032** | -0.0735 | 0.2853** | -0.0596 | -0.0111 | 0.0459 | 0.1934** |
| Ginning out turn | 0.0370 | 1 | -0.1303 | -0.0213 | -0.1441 | 0.0406 | 0.0702 | 0.4316** |
| 2.5% span length | -0.2713 | -0.2080 | 1 | -0.4027 | 0.5865** | -0.3734 | -0.1023 | -0.1443 |
| Micronaire value | 0.3447** | -0.1033 | -0.6894 | 1 | -0.3188 | 0.3109** | 0.2703** | 0.1824** |
| Bundle strength | -0.1315 | -0.1889 | 0.8655** | -0.5116 | 1 | -0.2632 | 0.1165** | -0.1185 |
| Uniformity ratio | 0.1122* | 0.2318** | -0.7888 | 0.6775** | -0.4296 | 1 | 0.2474** | 0.0515 |
| Elongation | 0.0498 | 0.1042* | -0.2491 | 0.4693** | 0.2590** | 0.6555** | 1 | 0.0397 |
| Lint yield plant ¹ | 0.2443** | 0.2091** | -0.2038 | 0.2481** | 0.0559 | 0.3799** | 0.4531** | 1 |
| Seed cotton yield plant ⁻¹ (P) | 0.1104* | 0.0094 | -0.0258 | 0.1576** | 0.0032 | -0.0352 | 0.0080 | 0.8297** |
| Seed cotton yield plant ⁻¹ (G) | 0.1785** | -0.1940 | -0.0401 | 0.2473** | 0.2351** | 0.2465** | 0.3992** | 0.9096** |
| | | | | | | | | |

BAYYAPU REDDY et al.

Continued from page 27

*significant at 5% level **significant at 1% level

no. of monopodia plant¹, no. of sympodia plant¹, no. of bolls plant¹, boll weight (g), relative chlorophyll content, seed index (g), lint index (g), seed cotton yield plant¹ (g) and lint yield plant¹ (g). The data on days to 50% flowering, ginning out turn (%), 2.5% span length (mm), micronaire value (10⁻⁶g/inch), Obundle strength (g/tex), uniformity ratio and elongation (%) were recorded on plot basis. The fibre quality parameters were studied at Central Institute for Research on Cotton Technology (CIRCOT), RARS, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh. The data was statistically analysed to estimate genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients (Falconer, 1964) and path coefficient analysis (Dewey and Lu, 1959).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance indicated significant differences among the genotypes for all the characters (Table 1.). Genotypic correlation coefficients in general were higher than phenotypic correlation coefficients (Table 2.). Seed cotton yield per plant was significantly and positively correlated with plant height, no. of sympodia plant¹, no. of bolls plant¹, boll weight, seed index, lint index, micronaire value and lint yield plant¹ at phenotypic level, where as with plant height, no. of sympodia plant¹, no. of bolls plant¹, boll weight, seed index, lint index, micronaire value and lint yield plant¹ at phenotypic level, where as with plant height, no. of sympodia plant¹, no. of bolls plant¹, boll weight, seed index, lint index, micronaire value, bundle strength, uniformity ratio, elongation and lint yield plant¹ at genotypic level. Similar results were reported by Rajamani *et al.* (2013), Rumesh Ranjan *et al.* (2014) and Santosh Kumar *et al.* (2014).

Significant and positive correlations at both the levels were also observed between component characters themselves like that of days to 50% flowering with 2.5% span length, bundle strength, and elongation % (Muraleedhar, 2005); plant height with no. of sympodia plant⁻¹, no. of bolls plant⁻¹, seed index, micronaire value and lint yield plant⁻¹ (Kumari Vinodhana *et al.*, 2013 and Chitti *et al.*, 2014); number of sympodia plant⁻¹ with no. of bolls plant⁻¹, micronaire value, uniformity ratio and lint yield plant¹ (Rajamani et al., 2013 and Krishna Mohan, 2011); number of bolls plant⁻¹ with micronaire value, uniformity ratio, elongation %, and lint yield plant⁻¹ (Eswar Rao, 2008); boll weight with seed index, lint index and lint yield plant⁻¹ (Kumari Vinodhana et al., 2013 and Santosh Kumar Pujer et al., 2014); seed index with lint index and micronaire value (Kumari Vinodhana et al., 2013 and Santosh Kumar Pujar et al., 2014); lint index with micronaire value and lint yield plant⁻¹ (Rajanna et al., 2011 and Rajamani et al., 2013); ginning out turn with lint yield plant⁻¹ (Krishna Mohan, 2011); 2.5% span length with bundle strength (Kumari Vinodhana et al., 2013 and Santosh Kumar Pujer et al., 2014); micronaire value with uniformity ratio, elongation %, and lint yield plant⁻¹ (Eswar Rao, 2008); bundle strength with elongation % (Rajanna et al., 2011); and elongation %, uniformity ratio with elongation % (Krishna Mohan, 2011).

The correlation coefficient estimates mostly indicated inter-relationship of different characters but it did not furnish information on cause and effect. Under such situation path analysis helps the breeder to identify the index of selection. Path coefficient analysis was done in order to study the direct and indirect effects of individual component characters on the dependent variable *i.e.*, seed cotton yield plant¹. Study of path coefficients enable the breeders to concentrate on the variables which show high direct effect on seed cotton yield. The genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients of seed cotton yield with other yield and fibre quality traits was further partitioned into direct and indirect effects and the results were presented in Table 3 and 4.

The component of residual effect of path analysis in yield and fibre quality traits is 0.0450 at genotypic level and 0.3128 at phenotypic level. The lower residual effect indicated that the characters chosen for path analysis were adequate and

| | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Seed index | 0.0015 | 0.0014 | 0.0003 | -0.0006 | -0.0092 | 0.0834 | 0.0019 | 0.0904 | -0.0619 | 0.0393 | 0.0010 | -0.0033 | 0.0014 | 0.0011 | -0.0002 | -0.0455 | 0.1009 |
| Relative chlorophyll content | -0.0010 | -0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | -0.0403 | -0.0227 | -0.0156 | -0.0108 | 0.0110 | -0.0015 | 0.0024 | 0.0017 | 0.0024 | 0.0002 | -0.0002 | -0.0218 | -0.0956 |
| Boll weight | 0.0020 | 0.0009 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0348 | 0.4720 | 0.0008 | 0.0160 | -0.0109 | 0.0051 | 0.0008 | -0.0010 | 0.0007 | 0.0017 | -0.0009 | 0.2135 | 0.7359 |
| No. of bolls plant ⁻¹ | -0.0017 | 0.0015 | -0.0002 | -0.0016 | 0.4056 | 0.0405 | 0.0016 | -0.0021 | -0.0045 | -0.0074 | -0.0029 | -0.0037 | -0.0015 | -0.0016 | 0.0012 | 0.2277 | 0.6508 |
| No. of sympodia plant ⁻¹ | 0.0001 | 0.0038 | -0.0004 | -0.0098 | 0.0657 | -0.0015 | 0.0013 | 0.0057 | -0.0049 | 0.0022 | -0.0013 | -0.0032 | -0.0017 | -0.0016 | 0.0004 | 0.0376 | 0.0924 |
| No. of monopodia plant ⁻¹ | -0.0009 | 0.0015 | -0.0061 | -0.0006 | 0.0117 | -0.0264 | 0.0006 | -0.0039 | 0.0037 | 0.0002 | -0.0009 | -0.0005 | 0.0008 | -0.0008 | 0.0005 | -0.0124 | -0.0334 |
| Plant height | -0.0003 | 0.0112 | -0.0008 | -0.0033 | 0.0529 | 0.0395 | 0.0005 | 0.0114 | -0.0074 | 0.0036 | -0.0024 | -0.0023 | -0.0013 | -0.0010 | 0.0001 | 0.0360 | 0.1365 |
| Days to 50% flowering | -0.0197 | 0.0002 | -0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0343 | -0.0485 | -0.0008 | -0.0068 | 0.0121 | 0.0040 | 0.0025 | 0.0010 | 0.0035 | -0.0008 | 0.0012 | -0.0227 | -0.0408 |
| Character | Days to 50 % flowering | Plant height | No. of monopodia plant ⁻¹ | No. of sympodia plant ⁻¹ | No. of bolls plant ⁻¹ | Boll weight | Relative chlorophyll content | Seed index | Lint index | Ginning out turn | 2.5% span length | Micronaire value | Bundle strength | Uniformity ratio | Elongation | Lint yield plant ⁻¹ | Seed cotton yield plant ⁻¹ |

BAYYAPU REDDY et al.

Continued from page 30

| | | ī | No. of | No. of | No. of | | Relative | - |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Character | Lays to 20% | Plamt boiobt | monopodia | sympodia | bolls | BOII | chlorophyll | Seed |
| | Billiamoli | າແຍງແ | plant ⁻¹ | plant ⁻¹ | plant ⁻¹ | Meißlen | content | Xanıı |
| Days to 50 % flowering | -0.0704 | -0.0072 | 0.0492 | 0.0004 | -0.0133 | 0.0145 | -0.0135 | 0.0074 |
| Plant height | 0.0025 | 0.0246 | -0.0584 | 0.0254 | 0.0130 | 0.0091 | -0.0070 | 0.0119 |
| No. of monopodia plant ⁻¹ | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | -0.0003 | -0.0011 | -0.0006 | 0.0014 | 0.0008 | -0.0002 |
| No. of sympodia plant ⁻¹ | 0.0000 | 0.0029 | 0.0092 | 0.0028 | 0.0019 | 0.0007 | -0.0008 | 0.0012 |
| No. of bolls plant ¹ | 0.0236 | 0.0661 | 0.2259 | 0.0852 | 0.1253 | 0.0476 | -0.0338 | 0.0164 |
| Boll weight | -0.0678 | 0.1214 | -1.4033 | 0.0780 | 0.1250 | 0.3289 | -0.0544 | 0.0892 |
| Relative chlorophyll content | -0.0106 | 0.0159 | 0.1346 | 0.0163 | 0.0149 | 0.0092 | -0.0554 | 0.0215 |
| Seed index | -0.0666 | 0.3071 | 0.4506 | 0.2736 | 0.0835 | 0.1728 | -0.2469 | 0.6370 |
| Lint index | 0.1430 | -0.2529 | 0.1179 | -0.2328 | -0.0986 | -0.0850 | 0.3157 | -0.5112 |
| Ginning out turn | -0.0087 | -0.0034 | -0.1461 | -0.0019 | 0.0068 | -0.0240 | -0.0028 | -0.0347 |
| 2.5% span length | 0.0136 | -0.0161 | 0.0299 | -0.0082 | -0.0067 | 0.0027 | 0.0179 | -0.0041 |
| Micronaire value | 0.0007 | -0.0017 | -0.0096 | -0.0019 | -0.0023 | -0.0001 | 0.0026 | -0.0015 |
| Bundle strength | 0.0140 | -0.0009 | -0.0532 | 0.0004 | 0.0065 | 0.0079 | 0.0069 | 0.0008 |
| Uniformity ratio | 0.0148 | 0.0250 | -0.0063 | 0.0086 | 0.0484 | -0.0026 | -0.0093 | -0.0067 |
| Elongation | 0.0174 | 0.0142 | -0.0108 | 0.0131 | 0.0483 | -0.0011 | -0.0018 | -0.0033 |
| Lint yield plant ¹ | -0.0720 | 0.2569 | -1.0622 | 0.2875 | 0.4474 | 0.4024 | -0.1429 | 0.0471 |
| Seed cotton yield plant ¹ | -0.0663 | 0.5526 | -1.7329 | 0.5456 | 0.7995 | 0.8844 | -0.2247 | 0.2706 |
| | | | | | | | | |

CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS IN UPLAND COTTON

Residual effect = 0.3128 Bold and diagonal values indicate direct effects

| . Direct and indirect effects (Genotypic) of yield components on seed cotton yield of cotton over three environments | (pooled) during <i>kharif</i> , 2013-14 |
|--|---|
| Table 4. | |

| | _ | _ | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | | | T | | T | T | T | T | 1 | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Lint yield plant ⁻¹ | 0.0013 | 0.0011 | 0.0002 | -0.0011 | 0.2630 | 0.2870 | 0.0010 | -0.0117 | -0.0166 | -0.0370 | -0.0032 | -0.0028 | -0.0023 | -0.0006 | 0.0003 | 0.3511 | 0.8297 |
| Elongation | -0.0026 | 0.0002 | -0.0004 | -0.0004 | 0.0556 | -0.0480 | 0.0003 | -0.0021 | -0.0039 | -0.0060 | -0.0023 | -0.0042 | 0.0022 | -0.0031 | 0.0088 | 0.0139 | 0.0080 |
| Uniformity ratio | -0.0012 | 0.0009 | -0.0004 | -0.0013 | 0.0519 | -0.0642 | 0.0002 | -0.0081 | 0.0010 | -0.0035 | -0.0083 | -0.0048 | -0.0051 | -0.0124 | 0.0022 | 0.0181 | -0.0352 |
| Bundle strength | -0.0036 | -0.0008 | -0.0003 | 0.0009 | -0.0325 | 0.0173 | -0.0019 | 0.0065 | 0.0051 | 0.0124 | 0.0131 | 0.0049 | 0.0193 | 0.0033 | 0.0010 | -0.0416 | 0.0032 |
| Micronaire value | 0.0013 | 0.0017 | -0.0002 | -0.0020 | 0.0975 | 0.0293 | 0.0017 | 0.0190 | -0.0244 | 0.0018 | -0.0090 | -0.0155 | -0.0062 | -0.0039 | 0.0024 | 0.0640 | 0.1576 |
| 2.5% span length | -0.0022 | -0.0012 | 0.0003 | 0.0006 | -0.0520 | 0.0160 | -0.0017 | 0.0040 | 0.0063 | 0.0112 | 0.0223 | 0.0062 | 0.0113 | 0.0046 | -0.0009 | -0.0507 | -0.0258 |
| Ginning out turn | 0.0009 | -0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0352 | -0.0279 | -0.0003 | -0.0414 | -0.0174 | -0.0858 | -0.0029 | 0.0003 | -0.0028 | -0.0005 | 0.0006 | 0.1515 | 0.0094 |
| Lint index | 0.0028 | 0.0010 | 0.0003 | -0.0006 | 0.0215 | 0.0599 | 0.0020 | 0.0654 | -0.0856 | -0.0174 | -0.0016 | -0.0044 | -0.0012 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0679 | 0.1104 |
| Character | Days to 50 % flowering | Plant height | No. of monopodia plant ⁻¹ | No. of sympodia plant ⁻¹ | No. of bolls plant ¹ | Boll weight | Relative chlorophyll content | Seed index | Lint index | Ginning out turn | 2.5% span length | Micronaire value | Bundle strength | Uniformity ratio | Elongation | Lint yield plant ⁻¹ | Seed cotton yield plant ¹ |

BAYYAPU REDDY et al.

| 32 |
|------|
| age |
| пр |
| fror |
| ued |
| Jtin |
| Co |

| Character | Lint index | Ginning out turn | 2.5% span length | Micronaire value | Bundle strength | Uniformity ratio | Elongation | Lint yield plant ⁻¹ | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Days to 50 % flowering | 0.0165 | 0.0081 | -0.0152 | 0.0100 | -0.0269 | -0.0106 | -0.0193 | 0.0089 | |
| Plant height | 0.0102 | -0.0011 | -0.0063 | 0.0079 | -0.0006 | 0.0063 | 0.0055 | 0.0111 | |
| No. of monopodia plant ⁻¹ | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | -0.0002 | -0.0006 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | |
| No. of sympodia plant ⁻¹ | 0.0011 | -0.0001 | -0.0004 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0006 | 0.0014 | |
| No. of bolls plant ⁻¹ | 0.0202 | 0.0113 | -0.0134 | 0.0557 | 0.0220 | 0.0619 | 0.0952 | 0.0984 | |
| Boll weight | 0.0458 | -0.1043 | 0.0143 | 0.0055 | 0.0704 | -0.0087 | -0.0058 | 0.2323 | |
| Relative chlorophyll content | 0.0287 | 0.0021 | -0.0158 | 0.0273 | -0.0104 | 0.0052 | 0.0016 | 0.0139 | |
| Seed index | 0.5337 | -0.2926 | -0.0421 | 0.1885 | 0.0141 | -0.0439 | -0.0332 | 0.0527 | |
| Lint index | -0.6101 | -0.0226 | 0.1656 | -0.2103 | 0.0802 | -0.0685 | -0.0304 | -0.1491 | |
| Ginning out turn | 0.0028 | 0.0756 | -0.0157 | -0.0078 | -0.0143 | 0.0175 | 0.0079 | 0.0158 | |
| 2.5% span length | -0.0170 | -0.0131 | 0.0628 | -0.0433 | 0.0543 | -0.0495 | -0.0156 | -0.0128 | |
| Micronaire value | -0.0018 | 0.0005 | 0.0036 | -0.0052 | 0.0027 | -0.0035 | -0.0025 | -0.0013 | |
| Bundle strength | -0.0048 | -0.0070 | 0.0319 | -0.0188 | 0.0368 | -0.0158 | 0.0095 | 0.0021 | |
| Uniformity ratio | 0.0110 | 0.0227 | -0.0772 | 0.0663 | -0.0420 | 0.0979 | 0.0641 | 0.0372 | |
| Elongation | 0.0032 | 0.0066 | -0.0158 | 0.0298 | 0.0165 | 0.0416 | 0.0635 | 0.0288 | |
| Lint yield plant ⁻¹ | 0.1391 | 0.1191 | -0.1161 | 0.1413 | 0.0318 | 0.2164 | 0.2581 | 0.5696 | |
| Seed cotton yield plant ⁻¹ | 0.1785 | -0.1940 | -0.0401 | 0.2473 | 0.2351 | 0.2465 | 0.3992 | 0.9096 | |
| | | | | Ĩ | | | | | |

CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS IN UPLAND COTTON

Residual effect = 0.0450 Bold and diagonal values indicate direct effects appropriate. Path coefficient analysis indicated that plant height, number of sympodia plant⁻¹, number of bolls plant⁻¹, boll weight, seed index and lint yield plant⁻¹ had shown direct positive effect on seed cotton yield plant⁻¹ at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. These results are in conformity with the findings of Kumari Vinodhana *et al.* (2013) and Rumesh Ranjan *et al.* (2014) and Santosh Kumar Pujer *et al.* (2014).

The indirect positive effect on seed cotton yield plant⁻¹ at both phenotypic and genotypic levels by days to 50% flowering with number of bolls plant⁻¹; plant height with number of bolls plant⁻¹, boll weight and lint yield plant⁻¹; number of sympodia plant⁻¹ with number of bolls plant⁻¹ and lint yield plant⁻¹; number of bolls plant⁻¹ with boll weight and lint yield plant⁻¹; boll weight with number of bolls plant¹ and lint yield plant⁻¹; seed index with boll weight; lint index with boll weight, seed index and lint yield plant⁻¹; ginning out turn with lint yield plant⁻¹; micronaire value with number of bolls plant¹ and lint yield plant¹; uniformity ratio with number of bolls plant⁻¹; elongation % with number of bolls plant⁻¹ and lint yield plant⁻¹; lint yield plant⁻¹ with number of bolls plant⁻¹ and boll weight was observed.

CONCLUSION

Selection for high seed cotton yield seems to be possible through number of bolls plant⁻¹, boll weight and lint yield plant⁻¹ as they exerted high positive direct effect as well as had significant and positive association with seed cotton yield plant⁻¹.

REFERENCES

- AICCIP Annual Report. 2015. All India Coordinated Cotton Improvement Project. Coimbatore, Tamilnadu.
- Asha, R., Lal Ahmed, M., Ratna Babu, D and Anil Kumar, P. 2015. Character association and path coefficient analysis for yield and component traits in upland cotton. Journal of

Cotton Research and Development. 29(1): 31-35.

- Chitti, B. K., Rajesh, S.P., Katageri, I.S., Sekhar, L and Khadi, B.M. 2014. Direct and indirect effect of various traits on seed cotton yield in single, double and three way cross derivatives in upland cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 28(2): 195-200.
- Dewey, D.R and Lu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal. 51(9): 515-518.
- Eswar Rao, G. 2008. Genetic divergence in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). M.Sc. Thesis submitted to Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad.
- Falconer, D.S. 1964. An introduction to quantitative genetics. Second Edition. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh. pp. 312-324.
- Krishna Mohan, M. 2011. Combining ability analysis for fibre quality in interspecific hybrids of cotton. M.Sc. thesis submitted to Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad.
- Kumari Vinodhana, N., Gunasekaran, M and Vindhyavarman, P.2013. Genetic studies of variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in cotton genotypes. International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 1(5): 6-10.
- Muraleedhar, S.A. 2005. Genetic divergence in tetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). M.Sc. Thesis submitted to Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad.
- Rajamani, S., Sumalatha, P and Gopinath, M. 2013.
 Correlation and path coefficient analysis in Gossypium hirsutum L. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 27(2):188-190.

- Rajanna, B., Samba Murthy, J.S.V., Lal Ahmed, M and Srinivasa Rao, V. 2011. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 58(2): 151-155.
- Rumesh Ranjan., Sangwan, R.S., Siwach, S.S., Sangwan, O and Sah, M.K. 2014. Correlation and path analysis studies in *Gossypium*

arboreum L. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 28(1): 37-39.

Santosh Kumar Pujer, Siwach, S.S., Sangwan, R.S., Sangwan, O and Deshmukh, J. 2014. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and fibre quality traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 28(2): 214-216.